Association of Smoking with Dental Caries and Periodontal Health Status Among Incarcerated Women in Karachi

Hina Shah, Imran Khan*, Sibghat Ullah Khan, Jai Kershan*, Sanaa Ahmed, Sumbul Ayaz

Department. of Dentistry, Sindh Institute of Oral Health Sciences, Jinnah Sindh Medical University, Karachi, Pakistan *Department of Medicine, United Medical & Dental College, Karachi Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess the association of smoking with dental caries and periodontal health status among incarcerated women in Karachi facility, Sindh, Pakistan.

Study Design: Cross-sectional study.

Place and Duration of study: Department of Community, Sindh Institute of Oral Health Sciences, Karachi Pakistan, from Dec 2021 to Feb 2022.

Methodology: All female inmates between the ages of 18-65 years, serving various jail terms, including those sentenced to life, prisoners condemned to death and awaiting trial, were included in the study. For each participant, dental caries and periodontal status examinations were performed. Dental caries was scored using the DMFT index, while periodontal status was scored using the CPI index. Smoking status (yes or no) and duration of smoking were observed.

Results: Out of 131 women, 76(58%), 42(32.1%), and 13(9.9%) had a DMFT score between 0-5, 6-10, and 11 or higher, respectively. 14(63.6%) and 2(9.1%) women smokers had moderate to severe periodontitis. The association between smoking and severe periodontitis was statistically significant (p=0.023). Employment status prior to incarceration was significantly associated with smoking (p=0.02).

Conclusion: We concluded that the rate of severe periodontitis was higher among incarcerated women who were smokers. Women in prison require regular oral health assessment and increased awareness about oral health management. Smoking was not a significant factor associated with dental caries or periodontal disease.

Keywords: CPI index, Dental caries, DMFT, Oral health, Periodontitis, Smoking, tobacco.

How to Cite This Article: Shah H, Khan I, Khan SU, Kershan J, Ahmed S, Ayaz S. Association of Smoking with Dental Caries and Periodontal Health Status among Incarcerated Women in Karachi. Pak Armed Forces Med J 2023; 73(4): 1108-1111. DOI: https://doi.org/10.51253/pafmj.v73i4.9241

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

The World Health Organization's (WHO) data suggests that more than 7 million deaths are attributed to tobacco, which is responsible for the loss of billions of dollars each year worldwide. Tobacco has more than 60 toxic chemicals, nicotine being one of them; these toxic chemicals can invade various systems of the human body, playing a major role in cardiovascular diseases, cancers and other systemic diseases. Additionally, tobacco usage is harmful in terms of the fact that it is responsible for oral diseases.

Dental health prevention primarily focuses on the prevention of Dental Caries, as it is the foremost disease,6 being preceded only by flu.7 The dental caries problem is not just limited to a single age group, but it affects the young as much as the elderly population; thus, it is considered a public health problem.8 Dental caries and infections severity range from mild buccal or gingivitis to severe multi-space diseases.9 Untimely

Correspondence: Dr Hina Shah, Department of Dentistry, Sindh Institute of Oral Health Sciences, Karachi Pakistan Received: 05 Sep 2022; revision received: 14 Dec 2022; accepted: 16 Dec 2022

treatment of dental caries can cause gradual destruction of tooth hard tissue, which perforates into the pulp, leading to inflammatory issues, including pulpitis or periapical inflammation, which leads to tooth loss. Consumption of sugary drinks, some types of food, and microorganisms contribute to the developing of dental caries.¹⁰

The inconsistent findings and paucity of data do not suffice to establish the association between poor oral health status and smoking tobacco; therefore, rigid research on the subject is required to get validation. Thus, considering the dearth of literature involving prisoners, especially women prisoners, the current study explored the relationship between smoking and dental caries and the severity of periodontitis.

METHODOLOGY

The cross-sectional study was conducted from December 2021 to March 2022 at the Department of Community and Preventive Dentistry, Sindh Institute of Oral Health Sciences and Women's Prison Karachi. The study was started after permission from the Institutional Review Board of Jinnah Sindh Medical

University (Ltr no. JSMU/IRB/2021/579) and permission from the Prison Facility.

Sample size was calculated taking prevalence of dental caries as 47% among women in Pakistan.⁹

Inclusion Criteria: All female inmates serving various jail terms, including those sentenced to life, prisoners condemned to death and awaiting trial, were included in the study.

Exclusion Criteria: Women younger than 18 or older than 65 and those who refused to consent to participate were excluded.

Data collection was initiated using predesigned structured questionnaires and oral assessments. The demographic information, such as age, period of incarceration, literacy level, family size, marital status, there was no significant association found between dental caries and tobacco smoking (p=0.150) (Table-I).

Table-I: Association of Severity of Dental Caries with Smoking among Incarcerated Women (n=131)

uniong mearcerated voluen (ii 101)						
Smoking	DMFT score					
status	0-5	6-10	>11	value		
Yes	9(40.9%)	9(40.9%)	4(18.2%)	0.150		
No	67(61.5%)	33(30.3%)	9(8.3%)	0.150		
Total	76(58.0%)	42(32.1%)	13(9.9%)			

Five out of nine women with severe periodontitis were not active smokers. However, 14(63.6%) and 2(9.1%) women smokers had moderate to severe periodontitis. The association between smoking and severe periodontitis was statistically significant (p=0.023) (Table-II).

Table-II: Association of Severity of Periodontitis with Smoking among Incarcerated Women (n=131)

	Severity of Periodontitis						
	Healthy=<0.1 mm (n=37)	Mild=0.1-1.0 mm (n=34)	Moderate=1.1-2.0 mm (n=52)	Severe=2.1-3.0 mm (n=7)	131	<i>p</i> - value	
Smoking status							
Yes	1(4.5%)	5(22.7%)	14(63.6%)	2(9.1%)	22(100%)		
No	36(33.0%)	29(26.6%)	38(52%)	5(5.5%)	109(100%)	0.023	
Total	37(28.2%)	34(26.0%)	52(39.7%)	8(6.1%)	131(100%)		

occupation of husband, and smoking status, was obtained using a predefined proforma.

Oral examinations were conducted on each participant. A mouth mirror, explorer, and CPITN probe were used to examine dental caries and periodontal condition in direct sunlight while wearing suitable personal protective equipment (PPE). The DMFT index was used to score dental caries. All of the findings were extensively documented. To minimize the possibility of bias, the examination was completed by a dentist with over five years of expertise who was blinded to the purpose of the study.

Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26.0 was used for the data analysis. Quantitative variables were expressed as Mean±SD and qualitative variables were expressed as frequency and percentages. Chi-square test was applied to explore the inferential statistics. The p-value of ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

A total of 131 women prisoners participated in the study. The mean age of prisoners was 34.73±9.94 years. A total of 76(58%), 42(32.1%), and 13(9.9%) women had a DMFT score between 0-5, 6-10, and 11 or higher, respectively. Although 4(18.2%) of the women with a positive smoking status reported a DMFT score >11,

Certain socio-demographic factors were significantly associated with smoking status, as demonstrated in Table-III. Employment status prior to incarceration was significantly associated with smoking (p=0.02).

DISCUSSION

The results of this study provided a unique opportunity for analyzing the oral health status. Although almost 18% per cent of women with severe dental caries were active smokers, the association between tobacco smoking and dental caries in our study was not found to be significant. 16 out of 22 women with severe periodontitis reported indulging in tobacco smoking, and a significant association was found between severe periodontitis and tobacco smoking (p=0.023). No association between tobacco smoking and age, ethnicity and education status was found. However, employment before imprisonment showed a positive association with smoking, as almost one-fourth of women who smoked were employed before incarceration. Previous studies on this topic have supported our results.^{11,12} Findings from a study conducted by Aziz highlighted that smoking and increased tobacco consumption correlate with caries development (net DT increment) in adults.¹³ Other studies also supported our findings; their studies

Table-III: Association of Sociodemographic Factors with Smoking status (n=131)

Sociodemographic Factors Yes (n=22) No (n=109) Age group	status (n=131)					
Age group				<i>p</i> -value		
Syears		\ /				
Sindhi 5(20.8%) 19(79.2%) Punjabi 3(8.3%) 33(91.7%) Baloch 6(37.5%) 10(62.5%) Pashtum 0(0) 11(100%) Other 8(18.2%) 36(81.8%) Bulletia 3(16.7%) 11(100%) Other 8(18.2%) 36(81.8%) Education 14(17.5%) 24(82.8%) Matric to Intermediate 3(16.7%) 15(83.3%) Bachelors or above 0(0%) 4(100%) Employment Status (Prior to incarceration) Unemployed 15(25.4%) 44(74.6%) 0.02* Relationship Status Married 7(13.2%) 46(86.8%) 0.02* Relationship Status Number of Children Not married 2(100%) 18(90.0%) 0.238** No children 10(33.3%) 20(66.7%) 3 to 6 2(12.5%) 49(66.7%) 3 to 6 2(12.5%) 49(66.7%) 3 to 6 2(12.5%) 49(66.7%) 3 to 6 2(12.5%) 4(75%) Residence (Prior to incarceration) Urban 18(17.8%) 83(82.2%) 0.782* Rural 4(13.3%) 26(86.7%) 1.3 7(12.5%) 4(75%) Residence (Prior to incarceration) Yes 2(20%) 8(80%) No 20(16.5%) 101(83.5%) 0.675* History of Diabetes Mellitus Yes 2(20%) 8(80.0%) No 17(15.5%) 93(84.5%) 0.349* History of Psychiatric Disease (Depression/anxiety, etc.) Yes 5(18.5%) 22(81.5%) No 17(16.3%) 87(83.7%) 0.675* No 17(16.3%) 87(83.7%) 0.665* No 17(16.3%) 87(83.7%) 0.665* No 17(16.3%) 87(83.7%) 0.665* No 17(16.3%) 87(83.7%) 0.						
Ethnicity Sindhi 5(20.8%) 19(79.2%) 19(79.2%) 3(8.3%) 33(91.7%) 10(62.5%) 10(62.5%) 10(62.5%) 10(62.5%) 10(62.5%) 10(62.5%) 10(62.5%) 10(62.5%) 10(62.5%) 10(62.5%) 10(62.5%) 10(62.5%) 10(62.5%) 10(62.5%) 10(62.5%) 10(100.5%) 10(10				0.128		
Sindhi	7					
Punjabi 3(8.3%) 33(91.7%) Baloch 6(37.5%) 10(62.5%) Pashtun 0(0) 11(100%) Other 8(18.2%) 36(81.8%) Education No formal education 14(17.5%) 66(82.5%) Primary to Secondary 5(17.2%) 24(82.8%) Matric to Intermediate 3(16.7%) 15(83.3%) Bachelors or above 0(0%) 4(100%) Employment Status (Prior to incarcration) Unemployed 7(9.7%) 65(90.3%) 0.02* Relationship Status 7(13.2%) 46(86.8%) 0.02* Married 7(13.2%) 46(86.8%) 0.238** Widowed 5(15.6%) 84.4(84.4%) Number of Children Not married 2(10.0%) 18(90%) No children 10(33.3%) 20(66.7%) 1-3 7(12.5%) 49(66.7%) 3 to 6 2(12.5%) 4(75%) 4(75%) Residence (Prior to incarceration) Urban 18(17.8%) 83(82.2%) 0.782* Mistory of Diabetes Mellitus Yes 2(20%) 8(80%) 0.675* Mistory of Hypertension Yes 5(23.8%) 16(76.2%) No 17(15.5%) 93(84.5%) 0.675* Mistory of Psychiatric Disease Yes 2(20.0%) 8(80.0%) 0.675* Mistory of Psychiatric Disease (Depression/Anxiety, etc.) Yes 5(18.5%) 22(81.5%) 0.777* Category of BMI Underweight 6(22.2%) 21(77.8%) (418.5%) 22(81.5%) 0.565 Mistory of Psychiatric Disease (Depression/Anxiety, etc.) Yes 5(18.5%) 22(81.5%) 0.565 Mistory of Psychiatric Disease (Depression/Anxiety, etc.) Yes 5(18.5%) 22(81.5%) 0.565 Mistory of Psychiatric Disease (Depression/Anxiety, etc.) Yes 5(18.5%) 22(81.5%) 0.565 Mistory of Psychiatric Disease (Depression/Anxiety, etc.) Yes 5(18.5%) 22(81.5%) 0.565 Mistory of Psychiatric Disease (Depression/Anxiety, etc.) Yes 5(18.5%) 22(81.5%) 0.565 Mistory of Psychiatric Disease (Depression/Anxiety, etc.) Yes 5(1		5(20.8%)	19(79.2%)			
Baloch			` '	1		
Pashtun		` /	. ,	0.054		
Other 8(18.2%) 36(81.8%) Education 14(17.5%) 66(82.5%) Primary to Secondary 5(17.2%) 24(82.8%) Matric to Intermediate 3(16.7%) 15(83.3%) Bachelors or above 0(0%) 4(100%) Employment Status (Prior to incarceration) Employed 15(25.4%) 44(74.6%) Unemployed 7(9.7%) 65(90.3%) Relationship Status Married 7(13.2%) 46(86.8%) Divorced 8(32.0%) 17(68.0%) Unmarried 7(13.2%) 46(90.0%) Widowed 5(15.6%) 84.4(84.4%) Number of Children 10(33.3%) 20(66.7%) Not married 2(10.0%) 18(90.0%) Not married 10(33.3%) 20(66.7%) 1-3 7(12.5%) 49(66.7%) 3 to 6 2(12.5%) 16(88.9%) >6 1(25%) 4(75%) Residence (Prior to incarceration) Urban 18(17.8%) 83(82.2%) Rural 4(13.3%) 26(86.7%) History of Diabetes Mellitus Yes 2(20%) 8(80%) No 127(15.5%) 93(84.5%) History of Hypertension Yes 5(23.8%) 16(76.2%) No 17(15.5%) 93(84.5%) History of Psychiatric Disease (Pepression/anxiety, etc.) Yes 5(18.5%) 22(81.5%) No 17(16.3%) 87(83.7%) Category of BMI Underweight (18.5-24.9kg/m²) 0.565 Healthy weight (18.5-24.9kg/m²) 0.565 Overweight (25-29.9 6(19.4%) 25(80.6%) Overweight (25-2		, ,		0.001		
Reducation			, ,	1		
Primary to Secondary	and the second s	0(20,271)	00(021071)			
Primary to Secondary	No formal education	14(17.5%)	66(82.5%)			
Matric to Intermediate 3(16.7%) 15(83.3%) Bachelors or above 0(0%) 4(100%) Employment Status (Prior to incarceration) Employed 15(25.4%) 44(74.6%) Unemployed 7(9.7%) 65(90.3%) Relationship Status	Primary to Secondary	, ,				
Bachelors or above			. ,	0.840		
Employment Status (Prior to incarceration) Employed	and the second s	, ,	, ,			
Employed		\ /	. ,			
Unemployed 7(9.7%) 65(90.3%) 0.02°				0.001		
Relationship Status Married 7(13.2%) 46(86.8%) Divorced 8(32.0%) 17(68.0%) Unmarried 2(10.0%) 18(90.0%) Widowed 5(15.6%) 84.4(84.4%) Number of Children 10(33.3%) 20(66.7%) 1-3 7(12.5%) 49(66.7%) 3 to 6 2(12.5%) 46(88.9%) >6 1(25%) 4(75%) Residence (Prior to incarceration) Urban 18(17.8%) 83(82.2%) Rural 4(13.3%) 26(86.7%) History of Diabetes Mellitus Yes 2(20%) 8(80%) No 20(16.5%) 101(83.5%) History of Hypertension Yes 5(23.8%) 16(76.2%) No 17(15.5%) 93(84.5%) History of Cardiovascular Disease Yes 2(20.0%) 8(80.0%) No 20(16.5%) 101(83.2%) History of Psychiatric Disease (Depression/anxiety, etc.) Yes 5(18.5%) 22(81.5%) No 17(16.3%) 87(83.7%) Category of BMI Underweight (18.5-24.9kg/m²) 6(22.2%) 21(77.8%) Healthy weight (18.5-24.9kg/m²) 6(19.4%) 25(80.6%) Obesity (30.0kg/m² and above) 6(75.0%) Both 1(5.3%) 18(94.7%) Frequency of Food intake Once daily 0(0%) 3(100%) Twice Daily 20(16.9%) 98(83.1%) O.711**		7(9.7%)	` '	0.02*		
Married 7(13.2%) 46(86.8%) Divorced 8(32.0%) 17(68.0%) Unmarried 2(10.0%) 18(90.0%) Widowed 5(15.6%) 84.4(84.4%) Number of Children Not married 2(10%) 18(90%) No children 10(33.3%) 20(66.7%) 1-3 7(12.5%) 49(66.7%) 3 to 6 2(12.5%) 4(75%) A(75%) A(,			
Divorced 8(32.0%) 17(68.0%) Unmarried 2(10.0%) 18(90.0%) Widowed 5(15.6%) 84.4(84.4%) Widowed 5(15.6%) Widowed 10(33.3%) 20(66.7%) Unstan 10(33.3%) 20(66.7%) Unstan 18(17.8%) 83(82.2%) Widowed 4(15.5%) 4(75%) Widowed 4(15.3%) 26(86.7%) Widowed 4(13.3%) 26(86.7%) Unstan 18(17.8%) 83(82.2%) Unstan 18(17.8%) 83(82.2%) Unstan 18(17.8%) 83(82.2%) Unstan 18(17.8%) 83(82.2%) Unstan 18(17.8%) 8(80.0%) Unstan 18(17.8%) 8(80.0%) Unstan 18(17.8%) 8(80.0%) Unstan 10(16.5%) 101(83.5%) Unstan 10(16.5%) Unstan Unstan 10(16.5%) Unstan 1		7(13.2%)	46(86.8%)			
Unmarried 2(10.0%) 18(90.0%) Widowed 5(15.6%) 84.4(84.4%) Number of Children No children 10(33.3%) 20(66.7%) No children 10(33.3%) 20(66.7%) 1.3 1-3 7(12.5%) 49(66.7%) 0.157** 3 to 6 2(12.5%) 16(88.9%) >6 >-6 1(25%) 4(75%) Residence (Prior to incarceration) Urban 18(17.8%) 83(82.2%) 0.782* Rural 4(13.3%) 26(86.7%) 0.782* History of Diabetes Mellitus Yes 2(20%) 8(80%) 0.675* No 20(16.5%) 101(83.5%) 0.675* History of Hypertension Yes 5(23.8%) 16(76.2%) 0.349* No 17(15.5%) 93(84.5%) 0.349* History of Cardiovascular Disease Yes 2(20.0%) 8(80.0%) 0.675* No 17(15.5%) 101(83.2%) 0.675* History of Psychiatric Disease (Depression/anxiety, etc.) Yes 5(18.5%)	Divorced	` ′	` /	0.000		
Number of Children	Unmarried	· · · · · ·	` '	0.238**		
Number of Children Not married 2(10%) 18(90%) No children 10(33.3%) 20(66.7%) 1-3 7(12.5%) 49(66.7%) 3 to 6 2(12.5%) 16(88.9%) >6 1(25%) 4(75%) Residence (Prior to incarceration) Urban 18(17.8%) 83(82.2%) 0.782* Rural 4(13.3%) 26(86.7%) 10(83.5%) History of Diabetes Mellitus Yes 2(20%) 8(80%) No 20(16.5%) 101(83.5%) 101(83.5%) No 17(15.5%) 93(84.5%) No 17(15.5%) 93(84.5%) History of Cardiovascular Disease Yes 2(20.0%) 8(80.0%) 0.675* History of Psychiatric Disease (Depression/anxiety, etc.) Yes 5(18.5%) 22(81.5%) 0.675* History of Psychiatric Disease (Depression/anxiety, etc.) Yes 5(18.5%) 22(81.5%) 0.777* Category of BMI Underweight (<18kg/m²) 6(22.2%) 21(77.8%) Healthy weight (18.5-24.9kg/m²) 6(19.4%) 25(80.6%) 25(80.6%) Coverweight (25-29.9 8(12.3%) 57(87.7%) 25(80.6%) Source of Food Prison provided 21(18.8%) 91(81.3%) 87(83.7%) Conce daily 0(0%) 3(100%) Twice Daily 20(16.9%) 98(83.1%) 0.711** Prequency of Food intake Once daily 0(0%) 3(100%) Twice Daily 20(16.9%) 98(83.1%) 0.711** Prequency of Eads Prison aday 2(20.0%) 8(80.0%)	Widowed		84.4(84.4%)	1		
No children 10(33.3%) 20(66.7%) 1-3 7(12.5%) 49(66.7%) 3 to 6 2(12.5%) 16(88.9%) >6 1(25%) 4(75%)	Number of Children	, ,	, ,			
1-3	Not married	2(10%)	18(90%)			
Sto 6 2(12.5%) 16(88.9%) >6 1(25%) 4(75%)	No children	10(33.3%)	20(66.7%)			
Test	1-3	7(12.5%)	49(66.7%)	0.157**		
Category of BMI Underweight (<18kg/m²) Category of BMI Underweight (<18kg/m²) Category of BMI Underweight (25-29.9 kg/m²) Overweight (25-29.9 kg/m²	3 to 6	2(12.5%)		1		
Urban	>6	1(25%)	4(75%)			
Rural 4(13.3%) 26(86.7%)	Residence (Prior to incarce	eration)				
History of Diabetes Mellitus Yes 2(20%) 8(80%) 0.675* No 20(16.5%) 101(83.5%) 0.675* History of Hypertension Yes 5(23.8%) 16(76.2%) 0.349* No 17(15.5%) 93(84.5%) 0.349* History of Cardiovascular Disease Yes 2(20.0%) 8(80.0%) 0.675* No 20(16.5%) 101(83.2%) 0.675* History of Psychiatric Disease (Depression/anxiety, etc.) Yes 5(18.5%) 22(81.5%) 0.777* No 17(16.3%) 87(83.7%) 0.777* Category of BMI Underweight (<18kg/m²) 6(22.2%) 21(77.8%) (<18kg/m²) 57(87.7%) 0.565 Lealthy weight (18.5-24.9kg/m²) 6(19.4%) 25(80.6%) 0.565 kg/m²) Obesity (30.0kg/m² and above) 2(25.0%) 6(75.0%) 6(75.0%) Source of Food Prison provided 21(18.8%) 91(81.3%) 80th 1(5.3%) 18(94.7%) Frequency of Food intake Once daily 0(0%) 3(100%) Twice Daily 20(16.9%) 98(83.1%) 0.711** >2 times a day 2(20.0%) 8(80.0%)	Urban	18(17.8%)	83(82.2%)	0.792*		
Yes 2(20%) 8(80%) 0.675* No 20(16.5%) 101(83.5%) 0.675* History of Hypertension Yes 5(23.8%) 16(76.2%) 0.349* No 17(15.5%) 93(84.5%) 0.349* History of Cardiovascular Disease Yes 2(20.0%) 8(80.0%) 0.675* No 20(16.5%) 101(83.2%) 0.675* History of Psychiatric Disease (Depression/anxiety, etc.) Yes 5(18.5%) 22(81.5%) 0.777* No 17(16.3%) 87(83.7%) 0.777* 0.777* Category of BMI Underweight (<18.5-	Rural	4(13.3%)	26(86.7%)	0.762"		
No 20(16.5%) 101(83.5%) 101(83.5%) History of Hypertension Yes 5(23.8%) 16(76.2%) 0.349* No 17(15.5%) 93(84.5%) 0.349* History of Cardiovascular Disease Yes 2(20.0%) 8(80.0%) 0.675* No 20(16.5%) 101(83.2%) History of Psychiatric Disease (Depression/anxiety, etc.) Yes 5(18.5%) 22(81.5%) 0.777* No 17(16.3%) 87(83.7%) Category of BMI Underweight (<18kg/m²) 6(22.2%) 21(77.8%) Healthy weight (18.5-24.9kg/m²) 8(12.3%) 57(87.7%) Overweight (25-29.9 6(19.4%) 25(80.6%) Kg/m²) Obesity (30.0kg/m² and above) 2(25.0%) 6(75.0%) Source of Food Prison provided 21(18.8%) 91(81.3%) Both 1(5.3%) 18(94.7%) Frequency of Food intake Once daily 0(0%) 3(100%) Twice Daily 20(16.9%) 98(83.1%) 0.711** >2 times a day 2(20.0%) 8(80.0%)	History of Diabetes Mellit	us				
No 20(16.5%) 101(83.5%) History of Hypertension Yes 5(23.8%) 16(76.2%) 0.349* No 17(15.5%) 93(84.5%) 0.349* History of Cardiovascular Disease Yes 2(20.0%) 8(80.0%) 0.675* No 20(16.5%) 101(83.2%) 0.675* History of Psychiatric Disease (Depression/anxiety, etc.) Yes 5(18.5%) 22(81.5%) 0.777* No 17(16.3%) 87(83.7%) 0.777* Category of BMI Underweight (<18kg/m²) 6(22.2%) 21(77.8%) (174.8%) (184.3%) 57(87.7%) 0.565 (19.4%) 25(80.6%) (19.4%) 25(80.6%) (19.4%) 25(80.6%) (19.4%) (19.5%	Yes	2(20%)	8(80%)	0.675*		
Yes 5(23.8%) 16(76.2%) 0.349* No 17(15.5%) 93(84.5%) 0.349* History of Cardiovascular Disease Yes 2(20.0%) 8(80.0%) 0.675* No 20(16.5%) 101(83.2%) 0.675* History of Psychiatric Disease (Depression/anxiety, etc.) Yes 5(18.5%) 22(81.5%) 0.777* No 17(16.3%) 87(83.7%) 0.777* Category of BMI Underweight (<18.5~2 21(77.8%) 0.777* Healthy weight (18.5~24.9kg/m²) 8(12.3%) 57(87.7%) 0.565 Verweight (25-29.9 kg/m²) 6(19.4%) 25(80.6%) 0.565 Obesity (30.0kg/m² and above) 2(25.0%) 6(75.0%) 0.195* Fource of Food Prison provided 21(18.8%) 91(81.3%) 0.195* Frequency of Food intake 0.0ce daily 0(0%) 3(100%) 0.711** Pice Daily 20(16.9%) 98(83.1%) 0.711** P2 times a day 2(20.0 %) 8(80.0%)	No	20(16.5%)	101(83.5%)	0.075		
No	History of Hypertension					
No	Yes	5(23.8%)	16(76.2%)	0.349*		
Yes 2(20.0%) 8(80.0%) 0.675* No 20(16.5%) 101(83.2%) 0.675* History of Psychiatric Disease (Depression/anxiety, etc.) Yes 5(18.5%) 22(81.5%) 0.777* No 17(16.3%) 87(83.7%) 0.777* Category of BMI Underweight (<18.5~			93(84.5%)	0.547		
No 20(16.5%) 101(83.2%) 0.675* History of Psychiatric Disease (Depression/anxiety, etc.) Yes 5(18.5%) 22(81.5%) 0.777* No 17(16.3%) 87(83.7%) 0.777* Category of BMI Underweight (18.5-24.9kg/m²) 21(77.8%) 21(77.8%) Healthy weight (18.5-24.9kg/m²) 8(12.3%) 57(87.7%) 0.565 Overweight (25-29.9kg/m²) 6(19.4%) 25(80.6%) 0.565 Source of Food 2(25.0%) 6(75.0%) 0.195* Fource of Food 1(5.3%) 18(94.7%) 0.195* Frequency of Food intake 0nce daily 0(0%) 3(100%) 0.711** Once Daily 20(16.9%) 98(83.1%) 0.711** >2 times a day 2(20.0 %) 8(80.0%)	History of Cardiovascular			,		
No 20(16.5%) 101(83.2%) History of Psychiatric Disease (Depression/anxiety, etc.) Yes 5(18.5%) 22(81.5%) 0.777* No 17(16.3%) 87(83.7%) 0.777*	and the second s		/	0.675*		
Yes 5(18.5%) 22(81.5%) 0.777* No 17(16.3%) 87(83.7%) 0.777* Category of BMI Underweight (18.5-24.9kg/m²) C1(77.8%) 21(77.8%) 21(77.8%) 21(77.8%) 0.565 24.9kg/m²) 6(19.4%) 25(80.6%) 0.565 kg/m²) Obesity (30.0kg/m² and above) 2(25.0%) 6(75.0%) 80rce of Food Prison provided 21(18.8%) 91(81.3%) 0.195* Frequency of Food intake Once daily 0(0%) 3(100%) Twice Daily 20(16.9%) 98(83.1%) 0.711** >2 times a day 2(20.0 %) 8(80.0%)				0.075		
No 17(16.3%) 87(83.7%) 0.777* Category of BMI Underweight (<18kg/m²)				1		
No		` ′		0.777*		
Underweight (<18kg/m²) 6(22.2%) 21(77.8%) Healthy weight (18.5- 24.9kg/m²) 8(12.3%) 57(87.7%) Overweight (25-29.9 kg/m²) 6(19.4%) 25(80.6%) Obesity (30.0kg/m² and above) 6(75.0%) Source of Food Prison provided 21(18.8%) 91(81.3%) Both 1(5.3%) 18(94.7%) Frequency of Food intake Once daily 0(0%) 3(100%) Twice Daily 20(16.9%) 98(83.1%) 0.711** >2 times a day 2(20.0 %) 8(80.0%)		17(16.3%)	87(83.7%)			
(<18kg/m²)		Т		1		
Healthy weight (18.5- 24.9kg/m²) 8(12.3%) 57(87.7%) 0.565 Overweight (25-29.9 kg/m²) 6(19.4%) 25(80.6%) Obesity (30.0kg/m² and above) 2(25.0%) 6(75.0%) Source of Food Prison provided 21(18.8%) 91(81.3%) 0.195* Both 1(5.3%) 18(94.7%) Frequency of Food intake Once daily 0(0%) 3(100%) Twice Daily 20(16.9%) 98(83.1%) 0.711** 0.711**	O	6(22.2%)	21(77.8%)			
24.9kg/m²) 6(12.5%) 57(87.7%) Overweight (25-29.9 kg/m²) 6(19.4%) 25(80.6%) Obesity (30.0kg/m² and above) 2(25.0%) 6(75.0%) Source of Food Prison provided 21(18.8%) 91(81.3%) Both 1(5.3%) 18(94.7%) Frequency of Food intake Once daily 0(0%) 3(100%) Twice Daily 20(16.9%) 98(83.1%) 0.711** >2 times a day 2(20.0 %) 8(80.0%)		, ,	,			
Overweight (25-29.9 kg/m²) Obesity (30.0kg/m² and above) Source of Food		8(12.3%)	57(87.7%)			
kg/m²) 6(19.4%) 25(80.6%) Obesity (30.0kg/m² and above) 2(25.0%) 6(75.0%) Source of Food Prison provided 21(18.8%) 91(81.3%) Both 1(5.3%) 18(94.7%) Frequency of Food intake Once daily 0(0%) 3(100%) Twice Daily 20(16.9%) 98(83.1%) 0.711** >2 times a day 2(20.0 %) 8(80.0%)		` ′	` ′	0.565		
Obesity (30.0kg/m² and above) 2(25.0%) 6(75.0%) Source of Food Prison provided 21(18.8%) 91(81.3%) Both 1(5.3%) 18(94.7%) Frequency of Food intake Once daily 0(0%) 3(100%) Twice Daily 20(16.9%) 98(83.1%) 0.711** >2 times a day 2(20.0 %) 8(80.0%)		6(19.4%)	25(80.6%)			
above) 2(25.0%) 6(73.0%) Source of Food Prison provided 21(18.8%) 91(81.3%) Both 1(5.3%) 18(94.7%) 0.195* Frequency of Food intake Once daily 0(0%) 3(100%) Twice Daily 20(16.9%) 98(83.1%) 0.711** >2 times a day 2(20.0 %) 8(80.0%)	Obosity (20 Olsa /m² and			-		
Source of Food Prison provided 21(18.8%) 91(81.3%) 0.195* Both 1(5.3%) 18(94.7%) 0.195* Frequency of Food intake Once daily 0(0%) 3(100%) 3(100%) Twice Daily 20(16.9%) 98(83.1%) 0.711** >2 times a day 2(20.0 %) 8(80.0%)		2(25.0%)	6(75.0%)			
Prison provided 21(18.8%) 91(81.3%) 0.195* Both 1(5.3%) 18(94.7%) 0.195* Frequency of Food intake Once daily 0(0%) 3(100%) 3(100%) Twice Daily 20(16.9%) 98(83.1%) 0.711** >2 times a day 2(20.0 %) 8(80.0%)		l		<u> </u>		
Both 1(5.3%) 18(94.7%) 0.193 Frequency of Food intake Once daily 0(0%) 3(100%) Twice Daily 20(16.9%) 98(83.1%) 0.711** >2 times a day 2(20.0 %) 8(80.0%)		21(18.8%)	91(81.3%)			
Frequency of Food intake Once daily 0(0%) 3(100%) Twice Daily 20(16.9%) 98(83.1%) 0.711** >2 times a day 2(20.0 %) 8(80.0%)			. ,	0.195*		
Once daily 0(0%) 3(100%) Twice Daily 20(16.9%) 98(83.1%) 0.711** >2 times a day 2(20.0 %) 8(80.0%)		1(0.070)	10(>1.7/0)	<u> </u>		
Twice Daily 20(16.9%) 98(83.1%) 0.711** >2 times a day 2(20.0 %) 8(80.0%)		0(0%)	3(100%)			
>2 times a day 2(20.0 %) 8(80.0%)				0.711**		
			` '	1		
			- (/ - /	1		

^{*}Fisher's Exact Test was applied.

found no association between severe periodontitis and dental caries in participants who smoked. However, a study conducted by Ueno $et\ al$. found that smokers have a significantly higher number of decaying, missing and filling teeth (DMF) when compared to nonsmokers (p=0.001).

Two past studies showed that those who actively and passively smoke are at an increased risk of periodontitis, regardless of the type of tobacco used; this may be because nicotine causes peripheral vasoconstriction, which leads to reduced blood supply which is a reason why tobacco and nicotine have harmful effects on periodontal health.^{17,18}

Our study found a substantial relationship between periodontal status with smoking status. Furthermore, smoking in a small area, such as in a prison setting, increases the risk of passive smoking amongst nonsmokers, making them vulnerable to the same health risks as smokers. Moreover, incarcerated women do not have access to proper healthcare facilities and equipment to tackle healthcare issues they may face, and often, their needs and health are neglected. Prison administrators and health staff should address the tobacco issue and take an active part in the education of prisoners about tobacco and the consequences of its use as well and take steps to prioritize healthcare for incarcerated women.

To date, only a limited number of studies have explored the oral health status of active smokers. Our study can be a reference for comparing the unfavourable effects of smoking on health and how smoking affects oral health. Studies in the future can focus on psychological stressors such as increased financial responsibilities, emotional problems, etc., which may increase the risk of smoking among individuals.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the staff of the prison facility who accommodated and facilitated the visit.

CONCLUSION

The present study revealed an association between smoking and periodontal disease among women prisoners. The association between smoking and dental caries remained statistically insignificant. Tobacco smoking is extremely deleterious to health and must be dissuaded. Furthermore, vulnerable populations such as prisoners must undergo regular dental check-ups to ensure improved dental hygiene.

Conflict of Interest: None.

Author's Contribution

Following authors have made substantial contributions to the manuscript as under:

^{**}Freeman-Halton extension of fisher's exact test was applied.

- HS & IK: Conception, study design, drafting the manuscript, approval of the final version to be published.
- SUK & JK: Data acquisition, data analysis, data interpretation, critical review, approval of the final version to be published.
- SA & SA: Critical review, data acquisition, drafting the manuscript, approval of the final version to be published.

Authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

REFERENCES

- Konstantinou E, Fotopoulou F, Drosos A, Dimakopoulou N, Zagoriti Z, Niarchos A, et al. Tobacco-specific nitrosamines: A literature review. Food Chem Toxicol 2018; 118(1): 198-203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2018.05.008
- World Health Organization. WHO report on the global tobacco epidemic, 2017: monitoring tobacco use and prevention policies. World Health Organization, 2017, [Internet] available at: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/255874
- Price SN, Studts JL, Hamann HA. Tobacco use assessment and treatment in cancer patients: a scoping review of oncology care clinician adherence to clinical practice guidelines in the US. Oncolol 2019; 24(2): 229-238. https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2018-0246
- Münzel T, Hahad O, Kuntic M, Keaney Jr JF. Effects of tobacco cigarettes, e-cigarettes, and waterpipe smoking on endothelial function and clinical outcomes. Eur Heart J 2020; 41(41): 4057-4070. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa460
- 5. Yao K, Yao Y, Shen X, Lu C. Assessment of the oral health behavior, knowledge and status among dental and medical undergraduate students: a cross-sectional study. BMC Oral Health 2019; 19(1): 26. https://doi:10.1186/s12903-019-0716-6.
- Janakiram C, Dye BA. A public health approach for prevention of periodontal disease. Periodontology 2020; 84(1): 202-214. https://doi.org/10.1111/prd.12337
- Al-Nasser L. Prevention and management of periodontal diseases and dental caries in the older adults. Periodontology 2020; 84(1): 69-83. https://doi.org/10.1111/prd. 12338

- 8. Jiang X, Jiang X, Wang Y, Huang R. Correlation between tobacco smoking and dental caries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Tob Induc Dis 2019; 17(1): 34. https://doi.org/10.18332%2Ftid%2F106117
- Braimoh OB, Sofola OO, Okeigbemen SA. Caries and periodontal health status of prison inmates in Benin City, Nigeria. Int J Biomed Hlth Sci 2021; 7(3): 1-9.
- World Health Organization. Tobacco product regulation. World Health Organization. Regional Office for the Eastern Mediterranean, 2014, [Internet] available at: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/204197
- Hanioka T, Ojima M, Tanaka K. Daily smoking May independently predict caries development in adults. J Evid Based Dent Pract 2014; 14(3): 151–153. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeb dp.2014.07.005
- 12. Al-Deen SK, al-Jubouri MS, Kamal N. The role of smoking with some salivary parameters, dental caries and gingivitis. Tikrit J Dent Sci 2015; 1(1): 1-5.
- Aziz HK. Caries experience in relation to the tea consumption and salivary parameters among the smokers and non-smokers patients. J Kerbala Uni 2010; 8(2): 70-78.
- 14. Smejkalova J, Jacob V, Hodacova L, Fiala Z, Slezak R, Vellappally S, et al. The influence of smoking on dental and periodontal status. Oral Health Care Pediatr Res Epidemiol Clin Prac 2012; 1(1): 249-270. https://doi:10.5772/33450
- Ghazali AF, Ismail AF, Faisal GG, Halil MH, Daud A. Oral health of smokers and e-cigarette users: a case-control study. J Int Dent Medical Res 2018; 11(2): 428-432.
- Ueno M, Ohara S, Sawada N, Inoue M, Tsugane S, Kawaguchi Y, et al. The association of active and secondhand smoking with oral health in adults: Japan public health center-based study. Tob Induc Dis 2015; 13(1): 19. https://doi.org/10.1186%2Fs12971-015-0047-6
- Vallance JK, Gardiner PA, Lynch BM, D'Silva A, Boyle T, Taylor LM, et al. Evaluating the evidence on sitting, smoking, and health: is sitting really the new smoking?. Am J Public Health 2018; 108(11): 1478-1482. https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.2018. 304649444654
- 18. Butler T, Richmond R, Belcher J, Wilhelm K, Wodak A. Should smoking be banned in prisons?. Tob Control 2007; 16(5): 291–293. https://doi:10.1136/tc.2007.021600.