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ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess efficacy of functional endoscopic sinus surgery (FESS) in treatment of postnasal drip (PND) as an ancillary procedure to Septoplasty with Turbinoplasty.

Study Design: Quasi experimental study.

Methodology: Sample size was n=200. Patients were placed in two groups Group A (n=126) had septoplasty with turbinoplasty. Group B (n=74) included patients with Septoplasty and Turbinoplasty combined with functional endoscopic sinus surgery. Post operative results regarding postnasal drip were compared amongst the Two groups.

Results: Data was analysed in SPSS-17, Septoplasty along with turbinectomy was performed in n=126 patients (group A). And septoplasty, turbinectomy along with functional endoscopic sinus surgery was performed in n=74 patients (group B). Post nasal drip was significantly reduced in group B at 3 months follow up (p<0.046). More significant difference was noted at 6 months follow up (p<0.003).

Conclusion: Patients having Chronic rhinosinusitis with postnasal drip as predominant symptom, should undergo functional endoscopic sinus surgery as an ancillary procedure to conventional nasal surgery.
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic Rhinosinusitis (CRS) is one of the most common problems that oto rhino laryngologists have to encounter over the years on routine basis.1 The word sinusitis is applied to a group of disorders represented by inflammation (infective or allergic) of the mucosa of paranasal sinuses (PNS). The inflammation almost always involves the nose along with the PNS; hence, the term rhinosinusitis is used.2 CRS is defined as a disease that causes inflammation of Nasal and PNS mucosa with symptoms that occur for more than Twelve weeks duration.3 Major symptoms of CRS include dull facial pain/pressure, nasal obstruction, post nasal discharge (PND), hyposmia/anosmia and purulence in the nasal cavity. Minor symptoms are headache, halitosis, fatigue, dental pain, cough and ear pain.1 The pathophysiology of CRS is partial/complete blockage in drainage of secretions of PNS. The drainage of osteomeatal complex (OMC) can be affected by, infective processes, nasal allergy, environmental and/or genetic factors. Anatomical variants of symptoms can be deviated nasal septum (DNS), hypertrophied Inferior Turbinates, Concha Bullosa, Everted uncinate process, Bulla ethmoidalis, Haller cells, Agar Nasi cells. The nasal septum, despite the fact that it mainly supports structure of the nose, also plays important role in the nasal respiratory physiology.4 The location, severity, and type of DNS are the factors that influence the dynamics of airflow in the nasal cavity. Compensatory hypertrophy of the mucosa of the contra lateral nasal wall also causes complete nasal obstruction, sinus headache, sneezing, compromised olfaction and last but not the least PND. These changes in the sinonasal cavity affect the quality of life (QOL) and may lead to septum and sinus surgery.5

Septoplasty with tubinoplasty relieves the symptoms of nasal obstruction, sinus headaches, episodic sneezing and to some extent PND. But generally PND has remained a persistent symptom of post surgical patients leading to regular outpatient department follow ups and excessive medication with no results. Before the advent of FESS, patients used to be operated by conventional surgeries i.e. Septoplasty alone or in combination with turbinate surgery. These surgeries used to relieve the symptoms of nasal obstruction, episodic headaches but the problem of PND used to persist causing social problems for the patient and a sorry figure for the treating specialist. As CRS is also caused by the anatomical abnormalities of Osteomeatal complex (OMC) not addressed in conventional
surgery. It was predicted as per studies by Thakur et al., that if FESS is combined with conventional surgery in our set up, the symptom of PND should improve. The objective of this one year study was to assess the efficacy of FESS in improvement of the symptom of PND as an ancillary procedure to the conventional nasal septal surgery.

**METHODOLOGY**

This quasi-experimental study was carried out in a tertiary care hospital in Rawalpindi. Approval by the institutional review board of this hospital, through letter No A/28/3116, was obtained. First two hundred patients, regardless of gender, reporting with CRS to ENT Out Patient Department were included in the study through sequential sampling, making a sample size of n-200.

**Inclusion Criteria:** Encompassed patients with age 18-50 years; having moderate to severe DNS, with or without inferior turbinate hypertrophy and giving history of nasal obstruction, headache & PND. Patients with CRS for more than 12 weeks; either not responding to broad spectrum antibiotics or otherwise history of poor compliance to medicine, were also included.

**Exclusion Criteria:** Entailed patients with Allergic rhinitis confirmed by Laboratory investigations, Vasomotor rhinitis, intra nasal polyposis. Patients with history of previous nasal surgery or having co morbid conditions like Diabetes, Hypertension, etc were also not included in the study. Our study period ranged from April 2019, to March 2020. Informed written consent was taken from all the patients. Data was collected on structured proforma endorsing demographic details along with type and outcomes of surgery. All the patients were admitted a day prior to surgery preceded by clinical work-up through ENT & endoscopic examination; relevant investigations like CT scan of nose & PNS without contrast were done. The patients underwent elective surgery the next day by ENT consultant under general anesthesia. All were placed, postoperatively, on broad spectrum I/V antibiotic cover for 48 hours and later in continuation on oral antibiotics for further 5 days. Nasal decongestants, intranasal saline douches, antihistamines and NSAIDs were also prescribed. Follow up visits were advised after 2 weeks, 12 weeks and 24 weeks post operatively. The patients were informed about both types of surgeries, n-126 opted for Septoplasty and turbinoplasty and n-74 opted for FESS as well, our study may have a bias here, reason for more patients opting conventional surgery may be affordability or no worry for PND. The patients were placed into two groups on the basis of surgery performed. Group-A (n-126) included patients operated by Septoplasty with Partial Inferior Turbinectomy. (PIT) or turbinoplasty. While group-B (n-74) included those who underwent septoplasty, turbinoplasty and FESS. On Follow up, PND was measured by Nose Score, as persistent, reduced and no PND. Complaints of nasal obstruction and headache were secondary variables. Data collected was endorsed in SPSS-17 for analysis. Gender, age, type of surgery were independent variables. Quantitative variables expressed as Mean ± SD (Standard Deviation), qualitative as percentage/frequencies. Cross-tabulation was done via chi-square to generate p-value; the latter being ‘less than 0.05’ was taken as significant.

**RESULTS**

Sample size was n-200 patients. The age ranged from 18-50 years with mean age 33.8, median and mode 35years with SD ± 9.26. Gender distribution was males 50.5% and females 49.5%. Patients in (group A) were n-126 male, female ratio. In (group B) n-74 with male to female ratio. Group B had a significant improvement in PND as compared to Group A at 3 months follow up and 06 months follow up, p-value being respectively (p<0.046) and (p<0.003) Table-I, Figure-1.

Table: Results of follow-up for pnd after 3 months and 6 months.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Total No.</th>
<th>Follow-Up (After 3 Months)</th>
<th>Follow-Up (After 6 Months)</th>
<th>p-value after 6 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No PND</td>
<td>Reduced PND</td>
<td>Persistent PND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regarding complaints of nasal obstruction in group A 106 patients had marked improvement and n-20 patients had partial improvement, in group B n-64 had marked improvement and n-20 had partial improvement, (Figure-II). As far as headaches were concerned in group A n-68 got relief, n-39 had partial relief and n-19 had no improvement, in group B, headache was relieved in n-48, partial improvement was noted in n-22 and no improvement was observed in n-4 patients, (Figure-III). This data was analyzed and was statistically not significant.
DISCUSSION

In our study, group A was subjected to Septoplasty & partial turbinoplasty. In this group only 28.57% of the patients had improvement in PND. Rachna Singh et al, conducted a similar small scale study (n=50), and concluded that septoplasty with turbinectomy alone does not significantly improve the symptoms of CRS and recommended that FESS should be concomitantly combined with it.1 FESS is one of the most common surgical procedure performed these days for chronic sinus diseases.6 Patients in group B were subjected to FESS, in addition to the conventional septoplasty and turbinate surgery, with the aim of removal of the diseased tissue causing obstruction of Osteo meatal complex & restoring normal sinonasal ventilation. FESS was performed by the standard surgical technique, practiced worldwide. Uncinectomy, was the first step, to expose middle meatus after its medialisation. Middle meatal antrostomy was done and diseased mucosa cleared. The maxillary antrum was flushed with normal saline, the Ethmoidal, frontal and sphenoidal sinuses were only addressed depending upon the extent of involvement on CT Scan and assessment by the surgeon. This group had a significant (48.65%), improvement in PND.

In our study, improvement in PND was measured using Nose score 7 and documented at 03 months (p<0.046) & then at 6 months (p<0.003) of follow up; which was statistically significant. A similar study supporting our result was conducted by Dr Chattopadhyay in which there was significant improvement in PND after FESS with a p-value of 0.01.2 Other symptoms like nasal obstruction, headache had compatible outcomes in both the groups.

CRS is a common disease encountered in daily ENT practice. Diagnosis of chronic and recurrent sinusitis can be made clinically upon history and examination, by finding pus in the meatus, nasal cavity or throat, either anteriorly or posteriorly. Radiographs should be obtained to confirm the diagnosis which, often, illustrate an opaque sinus and thickened mucous membrane. But since the advent of FESS, coronal CT scan of PNS with or without contrast, has become investigation of choice as it helps the endoscopic surgeon view to the sino nasal cavity.8 Reported prevalence rate of CRS, ranges from 1-12% worldwide.9 It affects both genders equivocally. It involves an increasing proportion of the adult population until the sixth decade, declining thereafter.1 Likewise, mean age of our patients was 33.8 with a standard deviation of ± 9.625.

By definition it is a chronic inflammatory disease of the PNS lasting >12 weeks affecting two or more major sinuses; with or without findings on physical examination.1 Anatomical variations and mucosal hyper trophy of the nose & PNS lead to the symptoms of CRS. The maxillary sinus is the commonest to be affected due to DNS obstructing OMC.10 Common symptoms include dull facial pain/pressure, nasal obstruction, rhinorrhea or post nasal discharge, hyposmia/anosmia, purulence in the nasal cavity on examination.11 Nasal discharge/PND remains a major presenting symptom in CRS.2 Rudmik et al, owing to the...
chronic nature of the condition, warranted long-term conservative control by medications or surgery for successful outcomes.\textsuperscript{12} Medical and especially local treatment is the first line of treatment for the relief of CRS symptoms. Surgical treatment is indicated and performed on patients noncompliant to medication and conservative topical treatment.\textsuperscript{13} In our study all the patients were first subjected to conservative treatment for consecutive 3 weeks, and only inducted in the study if their symptoms persisted, reoccurred or showed no improvement by twelve weeks. CT scan remains the standard diagnostic tool for CRS, by delineating the extent of disease, and also elaborating anatomy of OMC for FESS. Septoplasty i.e. surgical correction of the deviated nasal septum, is the most common ENT-surgical procedure in adults. The main indication for septoplasty is nasal obstruction. Septoplasty (with or without turbinate surgery) is performed to widen nasal passages and thus improve nasal airflow.\textsuperscript{14}

FESS remains the most effective treatment option for the surgical treatment of CRS. Huang et al, conducted a study in USA, and concluded that FESS had a success rate of 98% in effectively treating CRS.\textsuperscript{15} A similar study in Japan concluded that FESS is safe and standard treatment in management of CRS refractory to non surgical treatment.\textsuperscript{16} Combined conventional nasal surgery with modern endo-sopic approaches rendered better results as shown by Chaitanya et al.\textsuperscript{17} They observed that when FESS was performed without correcting the anatomical abnormalities like DNS, there was 20.62% incidence of residual or recurrent disease. They further added, when pre and postoperative quality of life improvement was tabulated using SNOT 22 symptoms, there was more improvement when septoplasty was performed along with FESS. However, ENT surgeons like Goel et al\textsuperscript{18} & Bayiz et al.\textsuperscript{19} Conducted studies which strongly opine that septoplasty alone can be adequate in treating CRS with DNS.

**LIMITATION OF STUDY**

Surgery included smaller sample size, a large scale meta analysis would further prove the role of FESS in improving the PND. Uneven distribution of patients in the two groups is another bias, this was because of patient not opting for an additional surgery. The study is one of its kind in the country and would help other researchers to take it further.

**CONCLUSION**

Patients with CRS having PND secondary to DNS, as dominant symptom should undergo FESS as ancillary procedure to Septoplasty and turbinoplasty.
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