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ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine patient preferences in the surgical options of early breast cancers and surgeon’s role in the decision-making.

Study Design: Cross-sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: Breast Surgery Department, Combined Military Hospital, Rawalpindi Pakistan, from Nov 2020 to May 2021.

Methodology: Patients having early carcinoma breast were studied, i.e., clinical stage 1 or 2, and their surgical preference was recorded. The cases were discussed in multidisciplinary meetings, and a discussion session with patient conducted and then final decision taken from the patient was recorded again, and the results compared.

Results: A total of 28 patients were included in this study and their surgical preferences recorded. Of these patients 22 (78.5%) agreed to breast conservation initially, whereas one of the patients wanted mastectomy. Five (17.8%) patients were undecided between the two. After multidisciplinary team recommendation and discussion with surgeon, only one patient opted for mastectomy (3.6%).

Conclusion: Patients with early breast cancer when offered a surgical decision between breast conservation and mastectomy mostly opt for breast conservation; those who select mastectomy do so because of the fear of recurrence.
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INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most common cancer in women, making up about 25.2% of cancers in total, and 14.7% of deaths due to cancer in females worldwide.1 The main modality of breast cancer management rests on surgical resection of the tumor either by mastectomy, or breast-conserving surgery (BCS; lumpectomy), combined with systemic therapies and radiation. Mastectomy was previously the gold standard treatment, but now increasing popularity of BCS has changed all guidelines especially for early breast cancer. Preservation of the breast without decreasing survival, and patient well being led to the popularity of BCS.2 Ever since BCS has made its way into the standard of care, there has been speculation of the perspective of patient regarding the surgical decision between mastectomy and BCS. A number of factors determine the decision between these two different modes of surgery.

Some studies report that bigger tumor size, lymph nodes involvement,3 higher stage and higher histologic grade lead to a preference of mastectomy. The use of chemotherapy preoperatively and the surgical qualification of surgeon (breast surgeon, oncologic surgeon or general surgeon) are suggested to be factors that lead to a preference of BCS by another study.4 In this study, we explore initial, and final patient preferences in regard to BCS and mastectomy in early breast cancer.

METHODOLOGY

This cross-sectional study was conducted at a dedicated Breast Clinic in Combined Military Hospital, Rawalpindi, from Nov 2020 to May 2021. Sampling technique was non-probability sampling. Early breast carcinoma (EBC) cases were studied, i.e. those having clinical stage 1 or 2.

Inclusion Criteria: Patients included in the study were those with histopathological diagnosis of carcinoma breast, no prior history of cancer, aged 30-80 years, who are scheduled to undergo mastectomy or BCS as breast cancer treatment for EBC, ie stages 1 and 2 of breast cancer.

Exclusion Criteria: Patients who had larger tumour size or T4 tumors, metastatic disease, connective tissue...
disorders and those not candidates for BCS were not included in the study.

Their work up was done, and the cases discussed one multidisciplinary meetings. Those in whom both breast conserving surgery and mastectomy were considered as oncologically safe options, these patients were then called to know their preference. The cases were discussed in multidisciplinary meetings, and a discussion session with patient conducted. Then final decision taken from the patient.

Patient histories were taken; demographic factors were noted. The decision prior to discussion in multidisciplinary meetings was noted, and then the decision rediscussed with the patient after MDT recommendation and a detailed session with the surgeon.

IRB approval was obtained. All women gave oral and written informed consent, showing that they were aware of the investigational nature of the study. All data was entered in SPSS version 25, and described in the form of numbers and frequencies, and age describes as mean and standard deviation. The results were tabulated.

RESULTS

Surgical preferences of a total of 28 patients were studied. Of these patients 22 (78.5%) agreed to breast conservation initially, whereas one of the patients wanted mastectomy. Five (17.8%) patients were undecided between the two. After MDT recommendation and discussion with surgeon, only one patient opted for mastectomy (3.6%). The major factor responsible for her preference for mastectomy was found to be the fear of recurrence after conservative surgery as they had heard about a close acquaintance who had a negative outcome after breast cancer diagnosis (Table-I).

Fifteen patients had right sided disease (53.6%), 12 had left sided disease (42.9%) and one had bilateral disease (3.6%). Stage wise 8 patients presented with T1 disease (28.6%) and 20 patients had T2 (71.4%). Nodal stage wise, 23 patients were N0 (82.1%), and 5 patients had N1, (17.9%).

The rest of 27 patients agreed to and underwent BCS. Eight of these patients undergoing BCS underwent ALND (28.6%). The rest of 20 patients undergoing BCS and one undergoing mastectomy all has SLNB done (71.4%), all of which were negative. 10 patients underwent upfront surgery (35.7%). While 12 were given neoadjuvant systemic therapy first and then proceeded to surgery (42.8%) as shown in Table-II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Final choice of surgery</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Underwent breast conservation surgery</td>
<td>27 (96.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underwent Mastectomy</td>
<td>1 (3.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Radical mastectomy, initially put in practice by William Halsted in 1894, and proved to be a good modality of local disease control, but the knowledge of its curative use limited. The preservation of breast without decreasing overall and disease free survival was the beginning of the era of BCS. Many prospective randomized trials showed that mastectomy had no survival over BCS. BCS has a similar outcome from oncologic point of view, while reducing the psychological negative impact, superior cosmesis, and lesser complications.

The four stages of patient selection for BCS are: A clinical history and examination, radiology, histology, and assessment of the patient's needs and expectations.

The choice of BCS in selected cases remains an oncologically safe one. BCS followed by radiotherapy in early breast cancer has been shown to have a similar outcome in terms of local and distant recurrence, and overall survival in comparison with mastectomy.

If leaving residual breast tissue behind puts the patient at an increased risk of local recurrence, then BCS is not advisable. These include: Multicentricity with foci far from each other, showing diffuse pleomorphic microcalcifications on mammogram, persistent positive resection margin, previous history of radiotherapy to the breast or chest wall, and pregnancy (radiotherapy is a contraindication) BCS remains an option in third trimester, so breast radiotherapy can be started postpartum.

Relative contraindications are connective tissue disorders, notably scleroderma and systemic lupus erythromatosis (SLE), and a big tumor in a small breast. Figure shows the factors that result in the ultimate choice of surgery between mastectomy and BCS in early breast cancer.

In regard to the choice of surgery between BCS and mastectomy, studies have shown that this decision-making is complicated, with factors in play such as...
as anxiety related to cancer recurrence, hearing about failed BCS treatments, concerned about follow-up imaging, or family history of breast cancer.\(^\text{15}\)

The deciding of surgical modality and its interactive process between the doctor and patient is complicated, and difficult to interpret. More and more are aimed towards the process, to ascertain what factors influence the process. Studies done on these individual preferences found that women tend to choose mastectomy because it offers more reassurance to the patient.\(^\text{16}\)

The other significant factor in opting BCS is the doctor’s recommendation, comparable survival, and a lesser aggressive approach surgically involved. A study by Mastaglia et al. in which patients rate factors that influenced their deciding process, physician recommendation was an important one among them.\(^\text{17,18}\) Our study showed, that after a discussion between patient and doctor, patients were more inclined towards conservation surgery than mastectomy.

**CONCLUSION**

Patients with EBC, when offered a choice between breast conservation and mastectomy mostly favour BCS, those who prefer mastectomy mostly do so because of the fear of recurrence. Although offering BCS expertise at breast cancer centers shows the quality of their care, the concurrent discussions and counseling regarding BCS are important. This information aids in deciding for or against BCS. Patients should be counselled and their queries addressed to help in the decision making if they are eligible for BCS.

**Conflict of Interest:** None.

**Authors’ Contribution**


**REFERENCES**


