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ABSTRACT

Objective: To observe the effect of modified ultrafiltration on hemodynamics of pediatric patients.

Study Design: A descriptive cross-sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: Pediatric Cardiac Surgery department, AFIC/NIHD Rawalpindi, from Jun 2019 to Dec 2019.

Methodology: A total of 60 pediatric patients were included undergoing open-heart surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass, having age ≤6 years and weights ≤15kg. To assess hemodynamics parameters observed were pre modified ultrafiltration and post modified ultrafiltration measurements of haemoglobin level, systolic pressure, diastolic pressure, central venous pressure and the number of transfusions given after off-bypass. The data was entered and analyzed in SPSS-23.

Results: The pre-operative mean Hb level of the 60 sampled patients was 12.08 ± 2.89 g/dl. The findings taken pre modified ultrafiltration and post modified ultrafiltration of haemoglobin level was (9.91 ± 0.91 g/dl) and 13.09 ± 1.38 g/dl, p<0.05) after an average filtration of 370.83 ± 66.56 ml of the filtrate. The mean of systolic pressure was (61.3 ± 2.01 mmHg and 70.68 ± 1.76 mmHg, p<0.05), diastolic pressure was (49.95 ± 1.35 and 59.7 ± 6.85, p<0.005), Central Venous Pressure was (10.07 ± 1.18 and 9.9 ± 1.09, p>0.005) compared pre modified ultrafiltration and post modified ultrafiltration respectively.

Conclusion: The study concluded that modified ultrafiltration has a significant impact on haemoglobin levels after bypass, decreases the allogenic transfusions and also improve the hemodynamics of the patient.
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INTRODUCTION

Since 1953, the first time of usage of cardiopulmonary bypass and the heart-lung machine, there had been a lot of challenges and modifications in techniques to improve outcomes of cardiopulmonary bypass, patient safety, and perioperative care1. One of these challenges is the hemodilution which is necessary during CPB, which is the use of large volumes of perusatetato prime the circuit, to improve oxygenation during cardiopulmonary bypass by reducing the viscosity of blood and reduce the need of banked blood thus decreasing the post-transfusion complications2-4. However, with all these benefits accompanies the disadvantages of hemodilution as well which includes hypotension, hypoxia, hypocoagulation and decreased colloid oncotic pressure, which leads to interstitial oedema during cardiopulmonary bypass5. Ultrafiltration can be used to combat the undesirable disadvantages of hemodilution6.

Ultrafiltration is a widely used technique to reverse hemodilution where excess plasma water and solutes are filtered out of the blood across a semipermeable membrane driven by positive transmembrane hydrostatic pressure7. Using ultrafiltration during cardiac surgery to alleviate the adverse effects of CPB, particularly those related to hemodilution, has become common8,9.
There are three techniques of ultrafiltration used before, during and after CPB procedures, which is pre bypass ultrafiltration (Pre-BUF), conventional ultrafiltration (CUF) and modified ultrafiltration (MUF) respectively.

In 1991, Naik et al, studied and introduced MUF for pediatric patients so that the remaining blood of the extracorporeal circuits were ultrafiltrated and transfused to the patients while still being cannulated and attached to the extracorporeal circuit. MUF enables to concentrate and transfude nearly all of the circuit contents to the patient without the risk of hypervolemia, while the circuit remains primed with the crystalloid solution. One disadvantage of MUF is that it requires the patient to remain cannulated for 10 to 20 minutes after CPB termination, and, to maintain the integrity of the extracorporeal circuit, protamine may not be administered. Duringa subsequent study, Naik et al, conducted a prospective randomized trial comparing a MUF group to nonfiltered controls. The author noted decreased blood loss, fewer blood transfusions, and an increase in arterial blood pressure, particularly in the low-temperature and low-flow patients, while achieving a post-MUF hematocrit of 40%10,11.

In contrast to conventional ultrafiltration (CUF), modified ultrafiltration (MUF) is performed after discontinuation of CPB and is independent of circuit volume. Because of this technical difference, more fluid can often be removed with MUF than with CUF, assuming that no or minimal additional volume is added to the circuit during CUF. Some studies have investigated the potential advantages of MUF that it is associated with reduced blood loss and lactate levels12-14. Some studies have supported the use of modified ultrafiltration as it reduces the level of Interleukin-6 which is known as a pro-inflammatory cytokine in the acute phase of inflammation and recommended MUF to be used on pediatric patients as a routine intervention15-17. The objective of this study was to observe the effect of MUF on hemodynamics in pediatric patients.

METHODOLOGY

Institutional consent was taken from the Institutional ethics committee, Armed Forces Institute of Cardiology (AFIC/NIHD) and permission from the Department of Cardiac Perfusion, AFIC/NIHD Rawalpindi with Letter No: 29/8/R & D/2019/17. The sample size was calculated with the anticipated frequency of 6%, using Raosoft sample size calculator, at 95% confidence interval and 5% margin of error sample size calculated was 60. All the patients undergoing open-heart surgery with CPB with congenital heart diseases regardless of age and gender were included in the study with weight ≤15 kg and age ≤06 years. The exclusion criteria included off-pump cases, patients with surgery of multiple stages, emergency cases and reopen cases. When the patient was weaned off from CPB, the volume remaining in the extracorporeal circuit was returned to the patient in concentrated form by removing excess of water using hemoconcentrator. The hemoconcentrators used during the study were Sorin and Medica. The protocols of the procedure MUF is to remove the excess of water according to the SOPs of AFIC/NIHD, which is 30 ml/kg. The ideal MUF time is 10 to 15 minutes, but it varies depending upon the surgeon's preference and the patient's condition. During MUF, average MUF volume of 370.83 ± 66.56 ml was also taken from the aorta through the aortic cannula and after filtration, the concentrated red cells returned to the patient through the venous cannula. The variables of haemoglobin, systolic, diastolic and central venous pressure pre MUF and post MUF were noted. 

Data Analysis

Data were coded and entered in Microsoft excel 2010 and Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS version 23). Data were analyzed such as frequency, mean, standard deviation of quantitative variables were obtained. To assess the effect of MUF on haemodynamics of pediatric patients, the values of haemoglobin, systolic, diastolic and central venous pressure were
compared using paired t-test pre MUF and post MUF respectively.

RESULTS

Total samples of 60 patients were included in the study to determine the effects of MUF. The patients included in the study were ≤15 kg in weight. The male-female ratio 34/26, mean height, weight and BSA of our study sample was 87.08 ± 12.67 cm, 10.76 ± 3.12 kg and 0.503 ± 0.11 m² respectively.

Table I: Demographic variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean ± SD, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body Surface Area (BSA) (m²)</td>
<td>0.503 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>87.08 ± 12.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>10.76 ± 3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>n=34, 56.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>n=26, 43.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II: Mean of variables; pre MUF and post MUF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressures (mmHg)</th>
<th>Mean ± SD (mmHg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-operative Hb Level (g/dl)</td>
<td>12.08 ± 2.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUF Volume (ml)</td>
<td>370.83 ± 66.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre MUF Hb (g/dl)</td>
<td>9.91 ± 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post MUF Hb (g/dl)</td>
<td>13.09 ± 1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre MUF Systolic Pressure</td>
<td>61.3 ± 2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post MUF Systolic Pressure</td>
<td>70.68 ± 1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre MUF Diastolic Pressure</td>
<td>49.95 ± 1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post MUF Diastolic Pressure</td>
<td>59.7 ± 6.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre MUF CVP</td>
<td>10.07 ± 1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post MUF CVP</td>
<td>9.9 ± 1.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III: Table of association.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pairs</th>
<th>T-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre MUF Hb level-Post MUF Hb Level</td>
<td>28.731</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre MUF Systolic Pressure-Post MUF Systolic Pressure</td>
<td>27.978</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre MUF Diastolic Pressure-Post MUF Diastolic Pressure</td>
<td>10.552</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre MUF CVP-Post MUF CVP</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>&gt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Paired t-test

87.08 ± 12.67 cm, 10.76 ± 3.12 kg and 0.503 ± 0.11 m² respectively.

The pre-operative Hb levels mean was 12.08 g/dl ± 2.89 g/dl. The mean pre-MUF Hb was 9.91 ± 0.91 g/dl and the mean post-MUF Hb was 13.09 ± 1.38 g/dl after filtration of average fluid about 370.83 ± 66.56 ml. After an average MUF of 370.83 ± 66.56 ml, the rise in mean Hb level is 3.19 g/dl. The mean of systolic pressure was 61.3 ± 2.01 mmHg and 70.68 ± 1.76 mmHg. Diastolic pressure was 49.95 ± 1.35 and 59.7 ± 6.85, Central Venous Pressure was 10.07 ± 1.18 and 9.9 ± 1.09 compared pre MUF and post MUF respectively.

Paired t-test has been applied on pre and post values of Hb level, systolic pressures, diastolic pressures and CVP. There exists strong significant difference between pre and post MUF systolic and diastolic pressures as represented p-value, while no significant difference exist for CVP pre and post MUF. Out of 60 patients, only 3 (5%) patients were given blood infusion in OT after CPB due to excessive bleeding. The majority of patients do not receive blood infusion after MUF.

DISCUSSION

The primary purpose of modified ultrafiltration after CPB is to manage the volume by remo-
ving the excess water and concentrate the cellular elements and proteins in the blood in pediatric patients. But the electrolytes and other solutes are also removed in equal concentration to the patient's plasma water. Modified ultrafiltration has shown to decrease postoperative blood loss and transfusion requirements, increases in arterial blood pressure and cardiac output, improved pulmonary function, reduced postoperative ventilator requirement and fewer days in the intensive care unit (ICU)\(^{18}\).

The present study aimed to elucidate the effects of MUF on haemoglobin levels, systolic, diastolic and central venous pressure comparing pre-MUF with post-MUF and postoperatively number of transfusion were observed. MUF resulted in immediate improvement in hemodynamics.

In 2 previous studies, the effects of MUF on pediatric patients were analyzed\(^{10,13}\), however, the population of patients was heterogeneous. The present study essentially holds the same messages as the previous two studies did\(^{10,13}\).

Jia et al, concluded that MUF does not improve oxygenation but in the present study, it was observed that there is an improvement in arterial blood pressure after MUF and reduction in central venous pressure thus improving perfusion and oxygenation\(^{19}\).

Timpa et al, in 2016 conducted a study on CUF and MUF group and he concluded that implementing multidisciplinary bleeding and transfusion protocol significantly decreases perioperative blood product transfusion and also improves some bleeding outcomes\(^{20}\). The present study is comparable with these conclusions. We observed that very few patients required transfusion after MUF and that the hematocrit levels raised by 8-10% approximately after applying MUF in the sixty patients. And that the number of transfusions reduced significantly after cardiopulmonary bypass due to the usage of MUF.

Similar results were concluded in Netherlands by Golab et al, in 2015, but applied conventional ultrafiltration only. The study found that the use of conventional ultrafiltration allowed achieving higher hematocrit levels at the end of the operation and without additional transfusions of allogenic blood\(^{21}\). The results of this study are comparable with our study, but we had observed the effect of modified ultrafiltration after cardiopulmonary bypass in pediatric patients yielding similar results. There are a large number of studies which compared CUF effects with MUF effect however in our study we did not compare the CUF with MUF as the CUF is done according to the attending perfusionist who decide applying or not applying CUF to the patient, taking circulating volume and actual hematocrit at the start of CPB into account, together with assumed results during perfusion\(^{14}\). In 2018, Milovanovic et al, compared MUF and CUF and divided the patients into two groups. They concluded that conventional ultrafiltration provides adequate hemoco- ncentration and modified ultrafiltration is better to reduce post-operative transfusion but requires more fresh frozen plasma. Present study corroborates with the study that modified ultrafiltration reduces the post-operative transfusions\(^{22}\).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Dealing with the hemodilution in pediatric patients during CPB is challenging. In the present study, we concluded that post MUF, immediate improvement in hemodynamics occurs and Hb level raises, which results in reduced allogenic infusions postoperatively. Although MUF indeed contributed in better patient outcome by reducing transfusions, this sole perfusion strategy is not enough to deal with hemodilution. To improve postoperative course of pediatric open-heart surgeries, further refinements from surgery, anesthesia and postoperative management are therefore essential to achieve better outcomes after open-heart surgery in pediatric patient.
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