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ABSTRACT

Objective: To investigate the effects of intravitreal triamcinolone for refractory diabetic maculopathy after 03 unsuccessful intravitreal bevacizumab injections.

Study Design: Prospective observational study.

Place and Duration of Study: Retina department of Al-Shifa Trust Eye Hospital Rawalpindi, from Jun 2016 to Jul 2017.

Methodology: Total 35 eyes of 35 patients who were diagnosed with diabetic maculopathy and having history of minimum three intravitreal Bevacizumab injections were included. Detailed eye examinations included visual acuity, anterior and posterior segment examination and intraocular pressure measurement was performed in all patients. Central macular thickness was also measured. Then intravitreal triamcinolone was administered to eyes by principal researcher (Ophthalmologist).

After one month, follow up examination of patient’s eyes was done to assess the effects of injection.

Results: Majority of the participants were males 19 (55%) in this research. There was statistically significant difference between means of intraocular pressure (mean difference= 4.30 ± 5.41), Visual acuity (mean difference=2.8 ± 2.4) and central macular thickness (mean difference = 236.4 ± 13) pre and post injection was found (p-value<0.05).

Conclusion: There was statistically significant difference of central macular thickness and visual acuity, pre and post intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide injection was found.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is a long-standing disease caused by inability of the pancreas to produce enough insulin. Raised blood glucose level in body damages blood vessels, eyes, kidneys, heart and nerves. Due to increase in associated risk factors like obesity, sedentary life styles and use of refined foods, diabetes has almost reached two folds since 1980 in the adult population. Globally, an estimated 422 million adults were living with diabeties in 2014, compared to 108 million in 1980.1,2

Patients with diabetes are more prone to the risk of visual loss due to secondary eye diseases. Secondary eye diseases due to diabetes are diabetic retinopathy (DR), macular edema, glaucoma, and cataracts. Early identification and treatment of DR can prevent 60% of the visual loss due to DR. In the initial phases, patients with retinopathy are usually asymptomatic and they may not look for an examination at that time. Over one-third of an estimated 285 million diabetic people worldwide had signs of DR in 2010, and a one third of these suffered with vision-threatening diabetic retinopathy (VTDR).3 Diabetic macular edema (DME) is a sight-threatening complication of DR, occurring in up to 12.3% of patients with DR in certain populations. Prevalence of DME increases with advancing stages of retinopathy.4,5 Thus, the treatment of DME remains a central and often a difficult challenge for many ophthalmologists.6

The early treatment DR study found that focal and grid laser photocoagulation reduces the risk of moderate visual acuity loss for all eyes with DME by as much as 50% compared with the observation group but with minimum vision improvement.7,8

Triamcinolone acetonide (TA) is a corticosteroid suspension that is used for the treatment of cystoid macular oedema, Proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR), Age-related macular degeneration (ARMD), Pseudophakic cystoid macular edema (CME) and cystoid macular edema associated with Retinitis Pigmentosa (RP). Favorable outcomes of IVTA has also been reported in the treatment of diffuse DME.9,10

Anti-Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) therapy is recognized as a prompt treatment of DME...
after numerous trials. Trials have showed its favorable outcomes. Although anti-VEGF therapy has dramatic outcomes, but not all DME patients respond to anti-VEGF therapy. TA has been used to treat DME, both intravitreally and through posterior subtenon injection. In current study, we investigated the effects of ITV refractory DME after 3 unsuccessful intravitreal bevacizumab (IVB) injections to improve the visual outcomes and decrease in macular thickness in cases not improving with IVB.

METHODOLOGY

A prospective observational study was conducted at Al-Shifa Trust Eye Hospital Rawalpindi from June 2016 to July 2017. The study was approved by Ethical Review Committee of hospital with a reference number of ERC#55/AST/18. The central macular mean values with standard deviation (540.3 μm ± 96.3 μm) as measured by optical coherence tomography in the reference study were taken for sample size estimation. Putting these values in the WHO sample size calculator at 95% confidence level with 80% power of the study, the calculated sample size became 34.5 which was taken as 35.11

A total of 35 eyes of 35 patients were included and pre and post observations were recorded on them. Informed consent of the patients was obtained to enroll them in study. Both genders of all ages were included. Patients were selected through non-probability convenience sampling.

Inclusion Criteria: Patients diagnosed with diabetic maculopathy (DM) and having history of minimum three IVB injections were included.

Exclusion Criteria: Patients having history of trauma and any other ocular and systemic diseases were excluded.

First detailed eye examinations of all patients was performed which included visual acuity check with early treatment diabetic retinopathy study, slit lamp bio-microscope was used for anterior segment examination, and intraocular pressure (IOP) was checked with Goldmann Applanation Tonometer. After papillary dilatation, fundus examination was performed with 90 dioptre lenses. Central macular thickness was measured on a Heidelberg Spectralis OCT. Then IVT was administered to eyes by principal researcher (Ophthalmologist). After one month follow up, patient's eyes examination was done to assess the effect of injection. Visual acuity, IOP and macular thickness was checked and recorded. Data was collected using a structured proforma. All the findings at the time of injection and after one month were noted.

Data was entered and analyzed using SPSS-20. The quantitative variables like visual acuity in Log Mar, IOP and central macular thickness were presented as means and standard deviations. Normality was assessed as a pre-requisite before applying parametric test of statistical significance. Data was found to be normally distributed with a KS statistics >0.05. Paired sample (dependent) t-test was applied to compare the means of pre and post IVB values of respondents. The p-value ≤0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Thirty-five eyes with pre and post values were included in this exposure series study. Majority of the participants were males (19, 55%) in this study.

Table-I shows the mean pre and post IVB injection values of visual acuity, intraocular pressure (IOP) and central macular thickness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre Intra Vitreal Triamcinolone (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Post Intra Vitreal Triamcinolone (Mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in Intra Ocular Pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>14.75 ± 2.45</td>
<td>19.05 ± 6.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Central Macular Thickness (mm)</td>
<td>514.5 ± 132</td>
<td>278.1 ± 106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Visual Acuity (log mar)</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Paired sample t-test showed there was statistically significant difference between means of IOP pre and post injection (p-value=0.002). Statistically significant difference of central macular thickness and visual acuity, pre and post injection was also found (p-value =0.001) and (p-value=0.001) respectively. There was strong effect size for visual acuity, IOP and macular thickness according to Cohen’s Criteria as shown in Table-II.

DISCUSSION

Triamcinolone acetonide is a corticosteroid and is generally used for the treatment of inflammation. In the current study central macular thickness was decreased in respondents after injection and similar findings were reported by a study conducted by Lashay et al, and William et al, in which the central macular thickness was reduced by 42.85% and 32% respectively after single injection of TA.12,13
Visual acuity was also improved in current study that was in conformity with Lashy et al, study.\textsuperscript{14} Another study conducted by Mehmood et al, in Lahore Pakistan proved that visual acuity improves after administration of IVTA injection. IVTA is an alternate treatment for eye with DME refractory to medical and laser treatments.\textsuperscript{15}

Paccola et al, found in his study which he conducted for comparing the effectiveness of TA injection with bevacizumab injection in reducing central macular thickness. Central macular thickness was significantly reduced in the IVT group as compared with the bevacizumab group. Visual acuity was significantly higher in the intravitreal triamcinolone group compared with the bevacizumab group. Single intravitreal injection of triamcinolone may have better effect with respect to central macular thickness changes as compared to bevacizumab in the short-term management of refractory DME.\textsuperscript{16}

The only complication in current study was found to be rise in intraocular pressure and similar complications were reported by Kanon somnaz and Vasconcelos et al, who reported rise in IOP as the most common complication of administering IVTA.\textsuperscript{17,18} Paccola et al, also found in his comparative study that IOP was significantly raised in group with triamcinolone injection as compared to bevacizumab.\textsuperscript{16} The elevation in IOP after steroid treatment is thought to be due to microstructural changes at the trabecular meshwork which cause an increased resistance to aqueous outflow.\textsuperscript{19}

Jonas et al, reported in a study that patients who received IVTA, up to 50% had raised IOP. Most patients after an initial injection do not have a raised IOP and did not report rise in pressure with even further injections.\textsuperscript{20}

**CONCLUSION**

Central macular thickness was decreased and visual acuity was significantly improved after IVT injections in patients with DM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>Effect Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visual Acuity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.36 log mar</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.25 log mar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intra Ocular Pressure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>14.75 ± 2.45mm Hg</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-6.83</td>
<td>-1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post</td>
<td>19.05 ± 6.37mm Hg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Central Macular Thickness</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>514.5 ± 132mm</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>173.8</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post</td>
<td>278.1 ± 106mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conflict of Interest:** None.

**Authors’ Contribution**

AAG: Conception of idea, drafting of synopsis, data collection, US: Methodology write up, Data cleaning, data entry, analysis of data, results write up, referencing, KH: Literature review, Introduction write up, data collection, ZKOK: Data collection, final write up and review of the draft.

**REFERENCES**