EFFICACY OF INTRA OPERATIVE USE OF TRIAMCINOLONE IN LUMBAR DISCECTOMY

Asim Ishfaq, Muhammad Shahzad

Combined Military Hospital Lahore/National University of Medical Sciences (NUMS) Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the effect of epidural application of triamcinolone during lumbar discectomy on postoperative pain relief.

Study Design: Case control study.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Neurosurgery, Combined Military Hospital Lahore, from Oct 2019 to Feb 2020.

Methodology: Thirty patients receiving intraoperative epidural triamcinolone application compared with 30 controls objective assessment by T-scores of the Timed Up and Go test, back and sciatic leg pain by Visual analog scale and for subjective functional impairment by oswestry Disability Index were calculated pre-op, post-operative day 2 and at 4 weeks.

Results: The baseline demographics, disease specific features and preoperative impairment scores were similar among both groups. At day post-operative day 2, subjective impairment on oswestry disability index for the steroid group was (30.6 vs 36.2, p<0.01), with significant difference in terms of objective functional impairment score between steroid group (T-score 128.2 vs 129.3, p<0.01). At 4 weeks post operatively, the Objective functional impairment was also found to be significantly less in the steroid group (T-score 100.5 vs 110.3, p<0.01), with less disabled steroid group patients with oswestry disability index (17.0 vs 24, p<0.01). Complication rates in both groups were similar. The duration of hospital stay was shorter among the steroid group (5.0 vs 5.8 days, p=0.06).

Conclusion: The application of triamcinolone in lumbar discectomy leads to benefit in both subjective and objective pain parameters in the early postoperative period.

Keywords: Disc, Steroid, Triamcinolone.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Herniated lumbar disc leads to back pain and sciatica via compression and irritation of the nerve roots^{1,2}. Although discectomy removes the pressure on the compressed nerve root, the inflammatory response persists for many weeks postsurgery³. The resultant pain leads to difficulty in early mobilization and physio-therapy with consequential longer hospital stay and delay in recovery⁴. Intraoperative use of epidural steroids was first described by Jones and Barnett in 1955 and they reported a significant benefit with their use⁵. Numerous recent studies have investigated the effects of intraoperative use of epidural steroids ⁶⁻⁸. These studies based on subjective disability measures reported a good pain control in the short term, but this benefit could not be demonstrated in the longer term. The recent introduction of standardized objective tools warrants a repeat analysis of this treatment modality in the management of this patient population^{9,10}. The goal of this study was to evaluate the benefit derived from direct intraoperative application of triamcinolone using objective and subjective functional scales in our local patient population.

METHODOLOGY

Case control study was conducted at Neurosurgery department of Combined Military Hospital (CMH) Lahore. It was approved by the hospital ethics review committee vide refence No. 142/2019. 'Sampsize' online calculator was used for sample size calculation with confidence interval of 95%, margin of error 5% and reference prevalence of 3.6% for degenerative lumbar spine disease¹¹. Sixty patients of both gender with age

Correspondence: Dr Asim Ishfaq, HOD of Neurosurgery, Combined Military Hospital, Lahore Pakistan

Received: 23 Jul 2020; revised received: 17 Aug 2020; accepted: 21 Aug 2020

range from 14-60 years who were planned for discectomy for lumbar disc herniation without fusion were selected after taking informed consent from patient, using non-probability consecutive sampling technique. Patient were divided into two groups, 30 patients receiving intra-operative epidural triamcinolone application (SA group) were compared with 30 patients who underwent lumbar discectomy without steroid during surgery (control group). The database collected included general information (age, gender, smoking, and working status), opioid use, co-morbidities, anesthesiology risk (American Society of Anesthesiologists [ASA] physical status classification) and motor impairment. OFI (objective functional impairment) was identified by employing the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test both before and after surgery. This test is used to establish a standardized and objective assessment in lumbar degenerative disc disease. It assesses simple but important functions essential for patients to resume their activities of daily living and retain quality of life in the context of their disease. It measures the time taken by a person to rise up from a chair, walk three meters, turn around, walk back and sit on the chair. Before surgery, patients were asked to score their back and leg pain from 0 to 10 on the visual analog scale. The subjective measure of functional disability was calculated by using the Oswestry disability index (ODI). This data was collected before the operation, second day after surgery and then at the fourth week post-operatively. Information on post-operative complications like cerebrospinal fluid leak, repeat surgery and wound infection were noted till fourth post-operative week. Length of hospitalization was also recorded. All the data was entered on pre-designed proforma. Surgery was performed under general anesthesia in the lateral position in all patients. Infection prophylaxis was achieved with a single preoperative dose of 2 gram intrave-nous ceftriaxone. The incision was marked using anatomic landmarks. Skin was incised at the midline. Opening was made in the fascia using cautery and subperiosteal dissection used to expose the laminae.

The microscope was used at this stage and laminotomy was performed of the superior lamina using high speed drill. Thinning of ligamentum flavum was carried out and the bone work was completed. A foraminotomy was performed using Kerrison rongeur where required. The nerve root was retracted followed by sequestrectomy or partial discectomy as needed. After irrigation with saline and securing hemostasis, the epidural space of the decompressed root was irrigated with 40 mg injection of triamcinolone. No wound infiltration of local anesthetic agent was done so that the effect of per operative steroids on early post-operative pain could be assessed. No drains were used and wound was then closed in layers.

Data was analysed using SPSS software version 20. Frequencies, percentages and means were calculated for quantitative variables. Chi-square test was applied to analyse correlation amongst categorical variables. The *p*-value of \leq 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Sixty patients of both groups had mean age of 48.2 ± 11.6 years and 38 (63.3%) patients were male. The baseline demographics and disease specific features were essentially similar among both groups as evidenced by table-I. Two levels or more had disc degeneration in 1 (3.3%) of steroid group and 2 (6.7%) of control group of patients whereas L5/S1 was the most common level i.e. 15 (50%) of steroid group and 14 (46.7%) of control group. Modic degenerative changes was present in 15 (50%) steroid group and 17 (56.7%) control group. Table-II shows the similarity of preoperative pain levels along with objective (Timed up and go) and subjective impairment criteria (Visual analogue score back and leg, Oswestry disability index) of the two groups. At day 2, the difference in terms of objective functional impairment between steroid application group (T-score 128.2) and the control group (T-score 129.3) was significant (p < 0.01). Impairment on oswestry disability index for the steroid application group was 30.6 vs 36.2 for the control group which was also significant (p<0.01). At week 4, the objective functional impairment was found to be significantly less in the steroid application group (T-score 100.5 vs 110.3, p<0.01). The patients in the steroid application group were also less disabled on the oswestry disability index

Table-I: Baseline	demographics.			
	Steroid		Control	
Variable	Application		Group	
	Group, n (%)		n (%)	
Age	45.3 ± 13.6	5	47.9	0 ± 10.4
Gender				
Male	20 (66.7%)		18 (60%)	
Female	10 (33.3%)		12 (40%)	
Smoking				
Yes	12 (40%)		10 (33.3%)	
No	18 (60%)		20 (66.7%)	
Work Status				
Full/part-time	18 (60%)		23 (76.7%)	
Not working	7 (23.3%)		5 (16.7%)	
Disabled	2 (6.7%)		1 (3.3%)	
Retired	3 (10%)		1 (3.3%)	
Opioid use				,
No	23 (76.7%))	24 (80%)	
Yes	7 (23.3%)			(20%)
ASA				<u> </u>
0-1	8 (26.7%)		11 (36.7%)	
2-3	22 (73.3%)			63.3%)
Table-II: Preoperative pain and disability.				
Table-II: Preoper				
Table-II: Preoper		isabili	ity.	
	ative pain and d	isabili Cor	ity. itrol	<i>p</i> -
Table-II: Preoper Measure	ative pain and d Steroid Application Group	isabili Cor Gro	ity. itrol oup	
	ative pain and d Steroid Application	isabili Cor	ity. itrol oup	<i>p</i> -
	ative pain and d Steroid Application Group (n + SD)	isabili Cor Gro	ity. itrol oup SD)	<i>p</i> - value
Measure Visual Analogue Score (back)	ative pain and d Steroid Application Group	isabili Cor Gro (n + 3.7	ity. itrol oup SD)	<i>p</i> -
Measure Visual Analogue Score (back) Visual Analogue	ative pain and d Steroid Application Group (n + SD) 3.63 + 1.49	isabili Cor Gro (n + 3.7 1. 5.2	ity. htrol oup SD) 3 + 43 3 +	<i>p</i> - value 0.792
Measure Visual Analogue Score (back)	ative pain and d Steroid Application Group (n + SD)	isabili Con Gro (n + 3.7 1. 5.2 1.	ity. htrol pup SD) 3 + 43 3 + 43 3 + 47	<i>p</i> - value
Measure Visual Analogue Score (back) Visual Analogue Score (leg) Oswestry	ative pain and d Steroid Application Group (n + SD) 3.63 + 1.49 5.63 + 1.77	isabili Con Gro (n + 3.7 1. 5.2 1.	ity. htrol oup SD) 3 + 43 3 +	<i>p</i> - value 0.792 0.346
Measure Visual Analogue Score (back) Visual Analogue Score (leg) Oswestry Disability Index	ative pain and d Steroid Application Group (n + SD) 3.63 + 1.49	isabili Con Gro (n + 3.7 1. 5.2 1. 54.0	ity. htrol pup SD) 3 + 43 3 + 43 3 + 47	<i>p</i> - value 0.792
Measure Visual Analogue Score (back) Visual Analogue Score (leg) Oswestry	ative pain and d Steroid Application Group (n + SD) 3.63 + 1.49 5.63 + 1.77 50.07 + 8.81	isabili Con Gro (n + 3.7 1. 5.2 1. 54.0 10	ity. itrol pup SD) 3 + 43 3 + 47 07 +	<i>p</i> - value 0.792 0.346 0.107
Measure Visual Analogue Score (back) Visual Analogue Score (leg) Oswestry Disability Index Timed Up and Go test (T-score)	ative pain and d Steroid Application Group (n + SD) 3.63 + 1.49 5.63 + 1.77 50.07 + 8.81 139.6 + 9.63	isabili Con Gro (n + 3.7 1. 5.2 1. 54.0 10 143 12	ity. itrol pup SD) 3 + 43 3 + 47 07 + .06 .8 + .15	p- value 0.792 0.346 0.107 0.143
Measure Visual Analogue Score (back) Visual Analogue Score (leg) Oswestry Disability Index Timed Up and	ative pain and d Steroid Application Group (n + SD) 3.63 + 1.49 5.63 + 1.77 50.07 + 8.81 139.6 + 9.63	isabili Con Gro (n + 3.7 1. 5.2 1. 54.0 10 143 12	ity. itrol pup SD) 3 + 43 3 + 47 07 + .06 .8 + .15	p- value 0.792 0.346 0.107 0.143
Measure Visual Analogue Score (back) Visual Analogue Score (leg) Oswestry Disability Index Timed Up and Go test (T-score) Table-III: Comp	ative pain and d Steroid Application Group (n + SD) 3.63 + 1.49 5.63 + 1.77 50.07 + 8.81 139.6 + 9.63 lications within Steroid	isabili Con Gra (n + 3.7 1. 5.2 1. 54.0 10 143 12 4 weel	ity. itrol pup SD) 3 + 43 3 + 47 07 + .06 .8 + .15 ks of s	<i>p</i> - value 0.792 0.346 0.107 0.143 urgery.
Measure Visual Analogue Score (back) Visual Analogue Score (leg) Oswestry Disability Index Timed Up and Go test (T-score)	ative pain and d Steroid Application Group (n + SD) 3.63 + 1.49 5.63 + 1.77 50.07 + 8.81 139.6 + 9.63 lications within Steroid Application	isabili Con Gra (n + 3.7 1. 5.2 1. 54.0 10 143 12 4 weel	tty. ttrol pup SD) 3 + 43 3 + 47 07 + .06 .8 + .15 ks of s pntrol	<i>p</i> - value 0.792 0.346 0.107 0.143 urgery. Group,
Measure Visual Analogue Score (back) Visual Analogue Score (leg) Oswestry Disability Index Timed Up and Go test (T-score) Table-III: Comp	ative pain and d Steroid Application Group (n + SD) 3.63 + 1.49 5.63 + 1.77 50.07 + 8.81 139.6 + 9.63 lications within Steroid Application Group, n(%)	isabili Con Gra (n + 3.7 1. 5.2 1. 54.0 10 143 12 4 weel	ity. itrol pup SD) 3 + 43 3 + 47 07 + 06 .8 + .15 ks of s pntrol n(%	<i>p</i> - value 0.792 0.346 0.107 0.143 urgery. Group, %)
Measure Visual Analogue Score (back) Visual Analogue Score (leg) Oswestry Disability Index Timed Up and Go test (T-score) Table-III: Comp Complication None	ative pain and d Steroid Application Group (n + SD) 3.63 + 1.49 5.63 + 1.77 50.07 + 8.81 139.6 + 9.63 lications within Steroid Application Group, n(%) 27 (90%)	isabili Con Gra (n + 3.7 1. 5.2 1. 54.0 10 143 12 4 weel	ity. itrol pup SD) 3 + 43 3 + 47 07 + .06 .8 + .15 ks of s pntrol n(% 26 (86)	<i>p-</i> value 0.792 0.346 0.107 0.143 urgery. Group, (6)
MeasureVisual AnalogueScore (back)Visual AnalogueScore (leg)OswestryDisability IndexTimed Up andGo test (T-score)Table-III: CompComplicationNoneRepeat Surgery	ative pain and d Steroid Application Group (n + SD) 3.63 + 1.49 5.63 + 1.77 50.07 + 8.81 139.6 + 9.63 lications within Steroid Application Group, n(%) 27 (90%) 1 (3.33%)	isabili Con Gra (n + 3.7 1. 5.2 1. 54.0 10 143 12 4 weel	ity. itrol pup SD) 3 + 43 3 + 47 07 + .06 .8 + .15 ks of s pntrol n(% 26 (86 2 (6.2)	<i>p</i> - value 0.792 0.346 0.107 0.143 urgery. Group, (~) 5.7%) 7%)
Measure Visual Analogue Score (back) Visual Analogue Score (leg) Oswestry Disability Index Timed Up and Go test (T-score) Table-III: Comp Complication None	ative pain and d Steroid Application Group (n + SD) 3.63 + 1.49 5.63 + 1.77 50.07 + 8.81 139.6 + 9.63 lications within Steroid Application Group, n(%) 27 (90%)	isabili Con Gra (n + 3.7 1. 5.2 1. 54.0 10 143 12 4 weel	ity. itrol pup SD) 3 + 43 3 + 47 07 + .06 .8 + .15 ks of s pntrol n(% 26 (86)	p- value 0.792 0.346 0.107 0.143 urgery. Group, 0,7%) 7%) 3%)

(17.0 vs 24, p<0.01). The complication rate in both groups is shown in table-III. The infection rate was higher in the steroid application group

(p=0.513). The patients receiving steroid also stayed for a shorter duration in the hospital (5.0 vs 5.8 days, p=0.066).

DISCUSSION

The rationale for application of intraoperative steroids during lumbar discectomy is based on the histopathological evidence of chemical irritation and inflammatory changes in nerve roots of patients with prolapsed intervertebral discs^{12,13}. Re.view of randomized control trials by Waqas favored intra operative use of steroids for lumbar disc surgery as it did improve pain in the post-operative period with fewer complications¹⁴. In spite of reported benefits including earlier mobilization, reduced requirement of post-operative pain killers and earlier discharge from the hospital, the technique is not employed routinely amongst the neurosurgeons¹⁵. One possible reason is that the efficacy is still controversial and is supported by subjective measures of outcome improvement only¹⁶. In our study subjective assessment of epidural steroid application based on the oswestry disability index showed marked improvement on the second day (p<0.01) and fourth week after surgery (p < 0.01). We supplemented our findings with objective assessment using timed up and go test provides an easy, reliable and reproducible measure of the dysfunction experienced by patients with lumbar disc pathology^{17,18}. We found out that mean T-score on day 2 was statistically less in steroid group of patients (p=0.00), despite the fact that patients could have wound related pain in early postoperative period. Likewise after four weeks postoperatively, mean T score was also significantly less (p < 0.01) highlighting the objective improvement in terms of pain and disability provided by intraoperative use of epidural steroids.

The fact that steroids blunt the inflammatory response has been used to question the safety of their application and raise concerns about increase in postoperative infection rates^{19,20}. Asomugha observed clinically significant more surgical site infection in patients with use of epidural steroid paste but that was found in patients who

already had peri operative risks of infection²¹. Lowell *et al* even found three cases with epidural abscesses with peri operative steroid use²². Present study did not find any increase in overall complication rate in terms of repeat surgery, cerebrospinal fluid leak and wound infection. Our patients with per operative triamcinolone application also had a shorter length of hospital stay as compared to control group (p=0.06) as substantiated by Ranguis in their study²³.

The limitation of the current study is that it is a non-randomized which can imply selection bias. In order to establish dose-response relationship, different doses of steroids can be injected as done by Probereskin who used 20 and 40 mg of steroid²⁴. Similarly, Mastronardi used a different compound like morphine²⁵. Late complications and potential benefit of steroid on epidural scarring would require a longer duration of follow up in further studies.

CONCLUSION

The use of per operative triamcinolone in lumbar discectomy leads to improvement in pain in early postoperative period in both subjective and objective parameters of pain assessment. Relatively more infection in steroid group of patients is outweighed by the benefits in terms of less disability, reduced requirement of postoperative analgesics and shorter hospital stay.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

This study has no conflict of interest to be declared by any author.

REFERENCES

- 1. Rothman S, Winkelstein B. Chemical and mechanical nerve root insults induce differential behavioral sensitivity and glial activation that are enhanced in combination. Brain Res 2007; 1181(1): 30-43.
- Shin SH, Hwang BW, Keum HJ, Lee SJ, Park SJ, Lee SH: Epidural steroids after a percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2015; 40(15): e859-65.
- Samoladas E, Kapinas A, Papadopoulos D, Gkiatas I, Papastefanou S, Gelalis ID. Intraoperative epidural application of steroid and local anaesthetic agent following lumbar discectomy: A prospective double blinded randomized controlled trial. J Clin Orthop Trauma 2019; 10(Suppl-1): S143-46.
- Jamjoom B, Jamjoom A. Efficacy of intraoperative epidural steroids in lumbar discectomy: a systematic review. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2014; 15(1): 146.

- 5. Jones KG, Barnett HC. The use of hydrocortisone in spinal surgery. South Med J 1955; 4(6): 617-23.
- 6. Aljabi Y, El-Shawarby A, Cawley DT, Aherne T. Effect of epidural methylprednisolone on post-operative pain and length of hospital stay in patients undergoing lumbar microdiscectomy. Surgeon 2015; 13(5): 245-49.
- Diaz R, Myles S, Hurlbert R. Evaluation of epidural analgesic paste components in lumbar decompressive surgery. Neurosurg 2011; 70(2): 414-24.
- Azimi P, Benzel E. The low-back outcome scale and the oswestry disability index: are they reflective of patient satisfaction after discectomy? A cross sectional study. J Spine Surg 2017; 3(4): 554-60.
- 9. Gautschi OP, Corniola MV, Joswig H, Smoll NR, Chau I, Jucker D, et al. The timed up and go test for lumbar degenerative disc disease. J Clin Neurosci 2015; 22(12): 1943-48.
- Gautschi O, Smoll N, Corniola M, Joswig H, Chau I, Hildebrandt G, et al. Validity and reliability of a measurement of objective functional impairment in lumbar degenerative disc disease. Neurosurg; 79(2): 270-78.
- 11. Ravindra VM, Senglaub SS, Rattani A, Dewan MC, Hartel R, Bisson E, et al. Degenerative lumbar spine disease: estimating global incidence and worldwide volume. Global Spine J 2018; 8(8): 784-94.
- Davis R, Emmons SE. Benefits of epidural methylprednisolone in a unilateral lumbar discectomy: a matched controlled study. J Spinal Disord 1990; 3(4): 299–307.
- 13. Mirzai H, Tekin I, Alincak H. Perioperative use of corticosteroid and bupivacaine combination in lumbar disc surgery. Spine 2002; 27(4): 343-346.
- 14. Waqas M, Shallwani H, Shamim M, Ahmad K. Perioperative steroids for lumbar disc surgery: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Surg Neurol Int 2017; 8(1): 42-50.
- 15. Arirachakaran A, Siripaiboonkij M, Pairuchvej S, Setrkraising K, Pruttikul P, Piyasakulkaew C et al. Comparative outcomes of epidural steroids versus placebo after lumbar discectomy in lumbar disc herniation: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Eur J Orthopaedic Surg Traumatol 2018; 28(8): 1589-99.
- 16. Stienen MN, Smoll NR, Joswig H, Corniola MV, SchallerK, Hildebrandt G, et al: Validation of the baseline severity stratification of objective functional impairment in lumbar degenerative disc disease. J Neurosurg Spine 2017; 26(5): 598-604.
- 17. Joswig H, Stienen M, Smoll N, Corniola M, Chau I, Schaller K et al. Patients' Preference of the timed up and go test or patient-reported outcome measures before and after surgery for lumbar degenerative disk disease. World Neurosurg 2017; 99(1): 26-30.
- Gautschi OP, Joswig H, Corniola MV, Smoll NR, Schaller K, Hildebrandt G. Pre-and postoperative correlation of patientreported outcome measures with standardized Timed Up and Go (TUG) test results in lumbar degenerative disc disease. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 2016; 158(10): 1875-81.
- Lowell TD, Errico TJ, Eskenazi MS. Use of epidural steroids after discectomy may predispose to infection. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2000; 25(4): 516–19.
- 20. Akinduro OO, Miller BA, Haussen DC, Pradilla G, Ahmad FU. Complications of intraoperative epidural steroid use in lumbar discectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Neurosurg Focus 2015; 39(4): e12.
- 21. Asomugha EU, Miller JA, McLain RF. Surgical site infections in posterior lumbar surgery: a controlled-cohort study of epidural steroid paste. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2017; 42(1): 63-69.

- 22. Lowell TD, Errico TJ, Eskenazi MS. Use of epidural steroids after discectomy may predispose to infection. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2000; 25(4): 516-19.
- 23. Ranguis SC, Li D, Webster AC. Perioperative epidural steroids for lumbar spine surgery in degenerative spinal disease. A review. J Neurosurg Spine 2010; 13(6): 745-57.
- 24. Pobereskin LH, Snyed JR. Does wound irrigation with triamci-

nolone reduce pain after surgery to the lumbar spine? Br J Anaesth 2000; 84(6): 731-34.

25. Mastronardi L, Pappagallo M, Tatta C, Roperto R, Elsawaf A, Ferrante L et al. Prevention of postoperative pain and of epidural fibrosis after lumbar microdiscectomy: pilot study in a series of forty cases treated with epidural vaseline-sterile-oil-morphine compound. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2008; 33(14): 1562-66.

.....