## COMPARISON OF VARIABLE RATE PHENYLEPHRINE INFUSION WITH RESCUE PHENYLEPHRINE BOLUSES VERSUS RESCUE BOLUSES ALONE FOR PREVENTION OF HYPOTENSION DURING SPINAL ANESTHESIA FOR ELECTIVE CESAREAN DELIVERY

Sumbal Rana, Ali Arslan Munir, Huma Fatima\*

Combined Military Hospital, Gilgit Pakistan, \*Razi Hospital, Rawalpindi Pakistan

### ABSTRACT

*Objective:* To compare effectiveness of infusion with phenylephrine plus rescue boluses and rescue boluses in preventing frequency of maternal hypotension in patients undergoing cesarean section under spinal anesthesia. *Study Design:* Quasi experimental study.

*Place and Duration of Study:* Anesthesia Department, Holy Family Hospital Rawalpindi, from Nov 2015 to May 2016.

*Methodology:* A total of 80 patients were randomly divided using lottery method in group A and B. Spinal anesthesia was given with co-loading as 15 ml/kg of ringer lactate, with 12mg of hyperbaric bupivacaine (0.75%). No pre-medication was used. Patients received variable amount phenylephrine infusion starting at 0.75µg/kg/ min (group A) or variable rate prophylactic saline infusion at 0.0075ml/kg/min (group B) (control group). Maternal hypotension was treated with rescue boluses of phenylephrine (50-100µg).

*Results:* Mean age was  $30 \pm 2.23$  years' vs.  $31 \pm 2.81$  years (group A Vs group B) (p=0.0818). The two groups did not differ in American society of Anaesthesiologists ASA status. Mean BMI in group A and group B was  $24 \pm 2.813$  vs.  $25 \pm 3.114$  (p=0.1358). Mean NIBP in group A and group B was 110/70mm Hg  $\pm 8.62$  vs. 111/80mm Hg  $\pm 10.224$  (p=0.6376). Phenylephrine infusion with rescue boluses was operational in 32 (80%) subjects (there was less incidence of maternal hypotension) compared to boluses only was efficacious in 14 (35%) of all patients p<0.001.

*Conclusion:* Our study concluded that phenylephrine infusion with rescue boluses provided better control of blood pressure than rescue boluses alone during cesarean section under spinal anesthesia.

Keywords: Boluses, Cesarean section, Hypotension, Phenylephrine infusion, Spinal anesthesia.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The type of anesthesia, whether general or regional depends upon the indication of cesarean, its urgency, physical condition of patient and also the choice of patient and surgeon. Spinal anesthesia is preferred for cesarean sections over general anesthesia for its obvious benefits like avoidance of airway instrumentation, less risk of aspiration, awake mother, extension of analgesia to postoperative period and prevention of depressant effects of anesthetic drugs on fetus<sup>1-3</sup>.

A frequent problem following spinal anesthesia is hypotension. Its incidence is 50-90% if no prophylactic measures are taken<sup>1</sup>. Hypotension ensues due to vasodilation by sympathetic blockade and is provoked by diminished venous return due to aorto-caval compression<sup>1,2</sup>. It adversely effects both mother and fetus. It can cause nausea, vomiting, dizziness, headache, impaired utero placental circulation and organ hypo perfusion in mother while in fetus it may lead to fetal acidosis<sup>1,4</sup>. To counter hypotension, several methods can be adopted including fluids and different vasopressors but to date, no method has been established with complete success. At present, different fluid regimes and vasopressors are used including physical maneuvers (left uterine displacement & compression stockings), intravenous fluid expansion with crystalloids and colloids and prophylactic use of vasopressors<sup>3,5</sup>. The vasopressors include ephedrine, phenylephrine, methoxamine, dopamine and mephenter-

**Correspondence: Dr Sumbal Rana,** OT Complex, Combined Military Hospital, Gilgit Pakistan

Email: surgeon001733@yahoo.com

Received: 31 Jan 2019; revised received: 15 Aug 2019; accepted: 16 Aug 2019

mine but out of these phenylephrine is most preferred for optimal control of blood pressure and reduced incidence of fetal acidosis<sup>1-3</sup>. Phenylephrine is a selective alpha-1agonist and has both direct & indirect sympathomimetic effects. Direct effects are mediated by alpha-1 receptors and indirect effects result from norepinephrine release from nerve terminals<sup>5</sup>. It is currently drug of choice for maternal hypotension as it is associated with less fetal acidosis, contrary to old belief, according to which ephedrine was considered gold standard<sup>2,5</sup>. It is more desirable for hypotension with bradycardia. Different infusion rates of phenylephrine show dose related reduction in cardiac rate<sup>2,5,6</sup>.

Optimal dosing regimen for phenylephrine administration is not well established. Although prophylactic infusion avoids hypotension but also leads to reactive hypertension and bradycardia, on contrary bolus administration is easier but more hypotension and bradycardia are associated. In a study conducted by Siddik-Sayyid *et al*, incidence of hypotension in infusion group was only 20% compared to 90% in recue boluses alone<sup>5</sup>. So variable rate infusion can be started at 50µg/min with greater hemodynamic stability and can be increased up to 75-100µg/minandfor bolus dose ranges from 40-100µg<sup>7-9</sup>.

Rationale of this study was to develop an effective strategy to counter maternal hypotensionas little previous data is available in Pakistan on variable rate infusion of phenylephrine. Formulation of a regimen which provides better and tighter controls of maternal BP will not only help in standardizing the dosages but also reducing cost and patient discomfort.

# METHODOLOGY

This quasi-experimental study was done in Department of anesthesia, Holy Family Hospital, Rawalpindi. A total of 80 patients sample size was calculated with help of WHO sample size calculator, with following parameters: level of significance: 5%, power of test: 90%, anticipated population proportion in group A: 20%, anticipated population proportion in group B is 90%. Inclusion criteria: patients of ASA 1 & 2, age 20-40 years, singleton pregnancy, elective caesarian section under spinal anesthesia were included. Exclusion criteria: patients of American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) 3 & 4, contraindications to spinal block, unwilling for spinal and BMI>35 were excluded. Patients were selected by consecutive non probability technique anddivided randomly by a random numbertable generated by computer in groups A and B. Group were allocated by sealed opaque envelopes. Anaesthesia personnel not involved in anesthetic management prepared either a phenylephrine infusion or saline in 50 ml syringe according to instructions contained within each sealed envelope and gave it to attending anesthesiologist. Intravascular access had been established with two 18 G cannula. Baseline vitality has been assumed. Control group was the one receiving saline infusion (group B). In the sitting position, neuraxial anesthesia was induced with 25 G, Quincke needle at level L2-L3. Under aseptic measures 2ml of 0.75% hyperbaric bupivacaine was givenintrathecal after observing clear CSF flow. Patient was placed supine with 10-degree head down and wedge was sitedbelow right hip to avert aortocaval compromise.

Block was assessed every 2 minutes with iced saline for 10 minutes. All patients were given oxygen by mask at a rate of 5L/min. Each group were coloaded with 15ml/kg lactated Ringer's solution. Patients were randomized to obtain a prophylactic variable infusion of phenylephrine first at 0.75µg/kg/min (group A) or 0.0075ml/ kg/min (group B) prophylactic variable infusion of saline. Blood pressure, heart rate and oxygen saturation were monitored at an interval of 3 minutes up to 15 minutes, hypotension-free efficacy was measured.

Maternal hypotension was treated with rescue boluses of phenylephrine (50-100µg). Cardiac rates below 50 beats/min were treated with 0.5 mg of Atropine accompanied by hypotension. Procedure began as soon as the desired block level was reached. General endotracheal anesthesia was dealt for inadequate or failed block and patients were omittedout of study.

Data collection was done on a well-formed proforma and SPSS 15 version was utilized to analyze it. Frequency was calculated for effectiveness of prevention of maternal hypotension. Means  $\pm$  Standard Deviations was calculated for age, heart rate, baseline NIBP, systolic BP and BMI. Effect modifiers like age, ASA & BMI was controlled by stratification. Post stratification chi square test was used to match the usefulness in two groups. The *p*-value at a level of ≤0.05 had been considered statistically significant.

### RESULTS

Eighty patients were recruited to compare efficacy of phenylephrine infusion plus rescue boluses (group A) and rescue boluses only(group The cardiac rate and mean NIBP were analyzed and are tabulated as table-II.

Both groups were examined for effectiveness and effectivity was noted as group A and group B as 32 (80%) vs 14 (35%) (p<0.001). Chi square test was applied and p-value was found to be <0.01 which is statistically significant as shown in table-III.

### DISCUSSION

Phenylephrine is a selective alpha-1 agonist and has both direct & indirect sympathomimetic effects. It is currently drug of choice for maternal hypotension.

Our study shows that the mean age in group A and group B was  $30 \pm 2.23$  years' vs  $31 \pm 2.81$  years (*p*=0.0818). The two groups did not differ in ASA status. Mean BMI in group A and group B

Table-1. Demographic of study population (n=40).			
	Group A	Group B	<i>p</i> -value
	Mean ±SD	Mean ±SD	
Age (years)	30 ± 2.223	$31 \pm 2.81$	0.0818
Body Mass Index	$24 \pm 2.813$	$25 \pm 3.114$	0.1358
Table-II: Group wise physiol	ogic variables (n=40).		
	Group A	Group B	<i>p</i> -value
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	
Heart Rate (bpm)	82 ± 18.772	85 ± 20.347	0.4951
Baseline NIBP (mm Hg)	$110 \pm 8.621$	$111 \pm 10.224$	0.6376
Table-III: Efficacy status.			
Efficacy	Group A	Group B	<i>p</i> -value
Effective	32 (80%)	14 (35%)	< 0.001
Not effective	8 (20%)	26 (65%)	

Table-I: Demographic of study population (n=40).

B) and the outcomes were scrutinized in terms of less incidence of maternal hypotension between the two groups: The mean age of study sample was  $30.78 \pm 6.81$  years. Demographic profile is tabulated as table-I.

T-test was used to calculate the *p*-value in table-I & II.

Ingroup A systolic BP of 32 (80%) patients were ranging 100 to 110mm Hg vs 8 (20%) 111 to 120mm Hg. Whereas in group B 33 (83%) patients had systolic BP range 100 to 110 mm Hg vs 7 (17%) 111 to 120 mm Hg. Mean systolic BP of group A and group B was  $110 \pm 8.621$  mm Hg vs  $111 \pm 10.224$  mm Hg. was  $24 \pm 2.813$  vs  $25 \pm 3.114$  (*p*=0.1358). All the patients in both groups had ASA Grade II. Phenylephrine infusion with rescue boluses was effective in preventing maternal hypotension in 32 (80%) patients while boluses only was efficacious in 14 (35%) patients.

Similar findings were detected in another study by Siddik-Sayyid*et al*, incidence of hypotension in infusion group was only 20% compared to 90% in recue boluses alone<sup>5</sup>. So variable rate infusion can be started at 50µg/min with greater hemodynamic stability and can be increased up to 75-100µg/minandfor bolus dose ranges from 40-100µg<sup>10</sup>. Wang *et al*<sup>11</sup> in their meta-analysis have found norepinephrine as superior substitute to phenylephrine. It prevents maternal hypotension to the same extent and gives additional benefit of prevention of bradycardia and intraoperative nausea and vomiting.

In another study conducted by Ngan *et al*<sup>12</sup> in which the prophylactic infusion of IV phenylephrine for the avoidance of hypotension through spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery was investigated in a randomized, double-blind, controlled trial. Phenylephrine was instilled at 100 µg/min (n=26) for 3 min closely after intrathecal injection. Phenylephrine was infused at 100 µg/min from the time of delivery whenever systolic blood pressure (SAP) (which was noted every minute) was lower than the baseline<sup>13-16</sup>. After each measurement of SAP <80% of the starting point, a control group (n=24) expected IV bolus phenylephrine 100 µg. Phenylephrine infusion decreased incidence 6 (23%) from 26 to 21 (88%) from 24; p<0.0001of hypotension compared to control. In the infusion group, the heart rate was significantly slower compared to the control group (p < 0.0001) over time<sup>17,18</sup>. Even though the infusion group received a large total dose of phenylephrine compared to the control group, umbilical cord blood gas and APGAR scores were comparable. Infusion of prophylactic phenylephrine is a modest, innocuous and effective technique of preserving blood pressure in the delivery of cesarean spinal anesthesia.

#### CONCLUSION

Our study concluded that phenylephrine infusion with rescue boluses provides better control of blood pressure than rescue boluses alone during cesarean section under spinal anesthesia.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

This study has no conflict of interest to be declared by any author.

#### **REFERENCES**

 Sen I, Hirachan R, Bhardwaj N, Jain K, Suri V, Kumar P. Colloid cohydration and variable rate phenylephrine infusion effectively prevents postspinal hypotension in elective Cesarean deliveries. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol 2013; 29(3): 348–55.

- 2. Aziz N, Bangash R, Khan P. Comparison between ephedrine and phenylephrine in the prevention of postspinal hypotension during elective cesarean section. J Med Sci 2013; 21(1): 27-30.
- 3. Alkaissi A, Ussbah Q, Al-Bargouthi A. Prophylactic ephedrine versus phenylephrine for maternal hypotension in women undergoing spinal anesthesia for caesarean section-a rando-mized double blind clinical trial. J Biomedical Sci 2017; 6(1): 2-10.
- Habib AS. A review of the impact of phenylephrine adminis-tration on maternal hemodynamics and maternal and neonatal outcomes in women undergoing cesarean delivery under spinal anesthesia. Anesth Analg 2012; 114(2): 377-90.
- Siddik-Sayyid SM, Taha SK, Kanazi GE, Aouad MT. A rando-mized controlled trial of variable rate phenylephrine infusion with rescue phenylephrine boluses versus rescue boluses alone on physician interventions during spinal anaesthesia for elective cesarean deliveries. Anesth Analg 2014; 118(3): 611-18.
- Munoz EA, Abizanda FP, Rodriguez FG. Ephedrine vs. phenylephrine by intravenous bolus and continuous infusion to prevent hypotension secondary to spinal anesthesia during cesarean section: a randomized comparative trial. Rev Anestesiol Reanim 2011; 58(7): 412-16.
- Loubert C, Gagnon PO, Fernando R. Minimum effective fluid volume of colloid to prevent hypotension during cesarean section under spinal anesthesia using a prophylactic phenylephrine infusion; an up-down sequential allocation study. J Clin Anesth 2017; 36(2): 194-200.
- Ngan-Kee WD, Khaw KS, Ng FF. Prophylactic phenylephrine infusion for preventing hypotension during spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery. Anesth Analg 2004; 98(3): 815-21.
- Ngan-Kee WD, Khaw KS, Ng FF. Prevention of hypotension during spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery: An effective technique using combination phenylephrine infusion and crystalloid cohydration. Anesthesiol 2005; 103(4): 744–50.
- 10. Siddik-Sayyid SM, Taha SK, Kanazi GE. A randomized con-trolled trial of variable rate phenylephrine infusion with rescue phenylephrine boluses versus rescue boluses alone on physician interventions during spinal anesthesia for elective cesarean delivery. Anesth Analg 2014; 118(3): 611-18.
- Bottiger BA, Bezinover DS, Mets B, Dalal PG, Prozesky J, Ural S, et al. Phenylephrine infusion for spinal induced hypotension in elective delivery: does pre-load make a difference? J Anaestehsiol Clin Pharmacol 2016; 32(3): 319-24.
- Wang X, Shen X, Liu S, Yang J, Xu S. The efficacy and safety of norepinephrine and its feasibility as a replacement for phenylephrine to manage maternal hypotension during elective caesarian delivery under spinal anesthesia. Biomed Res Int 2018; 2018(6): 31-33.
- 13. NganKee WD. Phenylephrine infusion for maintaining blood pressure during spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery: finding the shoe that fits. Anesth Analg 2014; 118(3): 496-98.
- Veeser M, Hoffman T, Roth R. Vasopressors for the management of hypotension after spinal anaesthesia for elective caesarean section. Systematic review and cumulative meta-analysis. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2012; 56(7): 810-16.
- Heesen M, Klöhr S, Rossaint R. Prophylactic phenylephrine for caesarean section under spinal anaesthesia: systematic review and meta-analysis. Anaes 2014; 69(2): 143–65.
- Sia AT, Tan HS, Sng BL. Closed-loop double-vasopressor auto-mated system to treat hypotension during spinal anaesthesia for caesarean section: a preliminary study. Anaes 2012; 67(12): 1348-55.
- 17. NganKee WD, Lee SWY, Ng FF, Khaw KS. Prophylactic phenylephrine infusion for preventing hypotension during spinal anesthesia for ceaseian delivery. Anesth Analg 2018; 126(6): 1989-94.
- Kee N, Warwick D. A random-allocation graded dose-response study of norepinephrine and phenylephrine for treating hypotension during spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery. Anesthesiol 2017; 127(6): 934-41.

.....