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ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the effectiveness of resin infiltration technique in arresting superficial proximal carious lesions of posterior teeth.

Study Design: Quasi experimental study.

Place and Duration of Study: Operative dentistry department, De’ Montmorency College of Dentistry, Lahore Pakistan, from Oct 2018 to Mar 2019.

Methodology: Meeting inclusion criteria were selected. Informed consent was obtained. After application of rubber dam; etchant gel was applied to superficial proximal carious lesions in these patients; water-rinsed and air-dried for 30 sec; resin was then infiltrated into each lesion. Patients were recalled after 6 months for follow up.

Results: Total patients were 30 out of which 18 (60%) were males and 12 (40%) were females. Mean age of patients was 25.77 years ranging from 16-35 years. Success of resin infiltration technique in superficial proximal carious lesions in posterior teeth was 86.7% measured by bitewing radiograph taken on follow up after 6 months to see any progression of carious lesion.

Conclusion: Resin infiltration was effective in 86.7% patients in arresting progress of superficial proximal carious lesions with no significant difference for age and gender. Hence it is suggested that resin infiltration being promising micro invasive approach should be considered in addition to non-operative and operative treatment approaches.
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INTRODUCTION

Dental caries is a major and common disease affecting oral cavity hard tissues. It results in localized demineralization and loss of tooth structure1,2. However, at very initial stages loss of tooth structure occurs beneath an apparently intact but micro-porous enamel surface which gives characteristic opaque, matt appearance called white spot lesion1,3. This is first clinical sign for detection of caries process which, at this stage, can be slowed down or even stopped completely by non-operative measures4. Therefore, early diagnosis and management of white spot lesion is extremely important. Superficial proximal carious lesions may remain undetected as proximal surfaces are not visible on clinical examination5. With favourable factors for caries progression, initial lesions with intact surface layer ultimately leads to cavitation5.

Cavitation of enamel surface warrant invasive treatment methods. Proximal lesions are particularly not accessible to instrumentation due to anatomic location. Cavity preparation results in collateral damage which is very high because large amounts of healthy tooth tissue have to be sacrificed to gain access to these lesions and a circle of treatment and retreatment begins with first invasive intervention7.

Early diagnosis and individual caries risk assessment forms the basis for management of caries with either invasive, minimally invasive or non-invasive methods. Along with prevention like regular cleaning of teeth, low-sugar diet and recommended amount of fluoride8,9, preservation of dental structure and avoidance of surgical treatment are thus major treatment goals. So, in recent decades, non-invasive or recently micro invasive method for treatment of early proximal carious lesion are becoming more popular to avoid need of restoration6.

Sealants have been used on non-cavitated occlusal pits and fissure caries as a minimally invasive method to reduce lesion progression. They have been proven to prevent further tooth decay by acting as diffusion barrier to acid1,6. But sealants do not effectively seal pores within the body of the lesion and barrier is created only on the surface1,2. Thus, there has been a lack of effective procedure for arresting caries progression in one session.

An alternative approach can be then to stop progression of carious lesions by infiltrating these pores with low viscosity light curing resin thus forming diffusion barrier inside the lesion. In this context, a
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new treatment modality to arrest progression of initial carious lesion without use of dental drill has been suggested. It is a novel treatment option for these lesions with intact tooth surface and will help in bridging the gap between non-invasive and minimally invasive treatment options of initial dental caries, thus possibly delaying the first placement of restoration. The aim of caries infiltration is to soak up the porous lesion body with a low viscosity light polymerising resin (infiltrant) that is subsequently hardened by blue light.

The principal features of this technique are that it is micro invasive, preserves tooth structure, arrest lesion progression, obturate porous deeply demineralized area, has high patient acceptance and can be completed in single visit.

So far various international studies have been conducted on this new treatment option and with various sample sizes. No local study has been done up till now to check the efficacy of this technique in our population. Objective of the present research was to determine the success of this new resin infiltration technique in arresting superficial proximal carious lesions in posterior teeth in patients presented to our dental hospital.

METHODOLOGY

This quasi experimental study was conducted at department of Operative Dentistry, de’ Montmorency College of Dentistry/Punjab Dental Hospital Lahore, from October 2018 to March 2019 after approval from institutional review board certificate number 1525/DCD. Lesion on mesial or distal surface of a tooth with an intact enamel surface detected as a radiolucent area involving enamel that may extend up to dentin on a bitewing radiograph was defined as superficial proximal carious lesion. Sample size of 30 patients between 16-35 years of age were selected from both genders using non probability consecutive sampling technique. Sample size was calculated using WHO calculator with 95% confidence level, 10% margin of error and taking expected percentage of success i.e. 91.1%, of resin infiltration technique. After detailed history and clinical examination, patients with poor oral hygiene presenting with calculus, gingivitis or periodontitis, multiple missing posterior teeth, removable partial denture or fixed bridges and handicapped patient were excluded from study. Bitewing radiographs were made with individually customized XCP® film holders and standard parameters of taking radiograph. Only one superficial proximal carious lesion extending radiographically either to inner enamel or outer third of dentin was selected from each patient. The parameters and equipment which were used during diagnostic radiograph were noted in each patient’s proforma so to make follow up radiographs comparable.

Teeth were isolated with rubber dam and specially designed wedges were placed between the teeth to be treated. The applicator was then placed into interdental area and Icon etch gel (Icon® DMG Germany) containing 15% hydrochloric acid was applied for two minutes on affected proximal area. The site was rinsed thoroughly for 30 seconds and then Icon dry (Icon® DMG Germany) was applied containing 99% ethanol to facilitate dehydration of area. The new proximal tip was then screwed onto the icon infiltrant syringe containing low viscosity resin and applied to treatment area. The green side of proximal tip was aligned to surface being treated. The low viscosity icon resin was then applied in ample amount by turning piston into shaft of syringe. The infiltrant was then allowed to penetrate into body of lesion through demineralized surface and pores created by etchant. Applicator syringe was slightly moved to enhance infiltration by capillary action and small amount of material was added during this process. During first application this low viscosity resin was left in place for 3 minutes. Application tip was then removed. Before polymerization by light dental floss was used to remove excess resin infiltrant. The low viscosity resin was then light cured from three different directions for 40 seconds. A new proximal-tip was then taken and applied to same infiltrant syringe. Second application of resin was then done by following same procedure but this time resin was left in place for one minute to penetrate. Light curing was done from occlusal, lingual and buccal sides for 40 seconds after removing excess resin by floss. Wedge and rubber dam were removed and polishing strips were used for surface finish. To check the effectiveness of resin infiltration technique, a clinical and radiographic follow-up was initiated and compared with baseline investigation made preoperatively to detect any progression of carious lesions after 6 months. Bitewing radiographs were taken using same customized film holders and equipment which were used in diagnostic radiograph. The data was collected on a structured proforma by the researcher and was analyzed.

Data was entered and analyzed by SPSS version 18. Mean ± standard deviation for quantitative variables and frequency and percentage for qualitative variables were calculated. chi-square test was applied with p-value ≤0.05 as significant.
RESULTS

Out of total 30 patients, 18 (60%) were males while 12 (40%) were females. Age ranged from 16-35 years with mean age 25.77 ± 4.59 years. Eleven (36.7%) patients were <25 while 19 (63.3%) were >25 years of age (table-I).

Patients were called for follow up after 6 months and clinical and radiographic examination was carried out. Resin infiltration technique was taken as successful if: i). There was no progression of caries into cavitation clinically and enamel surface remained intact as seen preoperatively: ii). There was no radiological progression of lesion compared with baseline bitewing radiograph taken preoperatively.

On radiographic and clinical examination during follow up after 6 months, 4 (13.3%) carious lesions out of 30 showed radiological progression when compared with baseline radiograph, therefore not meeting success criteria according to operational definition. So, success of resin infiltration technique in superficial proximal carious lesions in posterior teeth was 86.7% (table-II).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Group Age</th>
<th>Mean Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;25 Years</td>
<td>&gt;25 Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>7 (23.3%)</td>
<td>11 (36.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>4 (13.3%)</td>
<td>8 (26.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-II: Results of resin infiltration technique after 6 months follow up.

DISCUSSION

Resin infiltration is considered as a micro-invasive approach for treatment of non cavitated superficial proximal carious lesion12. Proximal surfaces of teeth are second more prone to caries due to increase chances of food impaction and inability to properly clean interproximal area by conventional cleaning aids13. Carious lesions on proximal surfaces at initial stages go unnoticed until either an obvious cavitation occurs or seen by chance on radiographic examination. At these initial stages’ preventive or non-invasive approaches such as fluoride application, use of CPP-ACP, oral hygiene measures and dietary advice will strictly depend upon compliance of patient14,15. Resin infiltration is employed to create a diffusion barrier inside of lesion surface with simplified clinical application3. It is a promising micro-invasive treatment option and it will be considered as an added option to conventional non-invasive and invasive treatment approaches. It provides simplified clinical application in one visit thus least dependant on patient compliance which otherwise required in other non-invasive approaches.

In our study, there were thirty patients in total out of which 60% were male. Males predominated over females in this study as frequency of male patients visiting Punjab dental hospital is higher than females. However, in a study done by Paris et al7, percentage of female patients included in study was 64% which was higher than our study. Similarly, some more international studies showed higher female participation16.

Mean age of patients participating in this study was 25.7 ± 4.5 years which was similar to study done by Paris et al7. While study on resin infiltration by Martignon et al10, mean age of participants was 21 years. In his study age range was 21-31 years while in our study age range was 16-35 years. Another study by Ekstrand et al17 was conducted on children with mean age of 7.17 ± 0.68 years.

Success of resin infiltration technique was 86.7% in our study which is very similar to study conducted by Martignon et al10, in which success was 84.2% on radiographic examination. Martignon also evaluated results by digital subtraction radiography with 75.4% success. They concluded that this method is more sensitive than pair wise radiography but also stated that in normal clinical settings radiographic examination is a simpler method. Furthermore, they evaluated results even after 3 years and where success was 67.6%.10 This decline in success was due to long term follow up. Similarly, a study by Abuchaimet et al, also showed 84% success of sealing proximal carious lesion18. Paris et al7, also evaluated efficacy of resin infiltration technique by radiographic lesion progression and by digital subtraction radiography. According to his study 96% of carious lesion showed no progression by radiographic examination which is higher than our study while with digital subtraction radiography, he showed 93% success of resin infiltration technique7.

Ekstrand et al showed in their study that only 23.1% carious lesion showed progression which also showed success of this technique even in children17, Altarabulsi et al19, also evaluated resin infiltration technique and only 2 carious lesions showed progression after this technique. Success of resin infiltration technique was 95.3% in his study which is much higher than our study but similar to Paris et al research7,19.
In our study, effect of other variables was also checked such as gender or age on success of resin infiltration which showed insignificant relationship with both these variables. No clinical problems occurred during use of this technique showing good clinical quality and safety of resin infiltration. Regarding the remedial and preventive effect of resin infiltration of superficial proximal caries, the results showed the success of resin infiltration in hampering caries progression.

The reasons for minor failures of resin infiltration during different studies were described by different authors as inability to achieve effective isolation and control of saliva, poor diagnosis leading to minor cavitation gone unnoticed before proximal sealing or resin infiltration, and the most important reason is that it is hard to be certain that the resin has absolutely provided the barrier or infiltrated the carious lesion. Selection of patient on the basis of caries risk assessment is also important as high caries risk may also play an important part in failures. Despite the fact that failures can occur, proximal resin sealing and proximal resin infiltration will now have a place in the treatment of superficial proximal carious lesions in the present and future era of dentistry due to higher percentages of success.

CONCLUSION

Results of our study confirm resin infiltration technique arrests the progression of non-cavitated caries lesions. This suggests resin infiltration technique is a promising treatment option in addition to non-invasive and invasive approaches. However, case selection, proper diagnosis, right management of case and correct application technique is important in choosing this ultraconservative treatment option. Failure may occur if patient high caries risk and inability to achieve procedure is not taken into account.
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