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ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare the outcome in early versus delayed oral intake in patients after cesarean section under regional anesthesia.

Study Design: Quasi-experimental study.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Combined Military Hospital Lahore, from Dec 2017 to May 2018.

Methodology: A total of 352 women undergoing elective cesarean section under regional anesthesia, nil by mouth for at least 8 hours before surgery were divided in 2 groups. In group 1, patients were given sips of clear oral fluid (water) <5 hours after cesarean section and in group 2, patients received sips of water 8 hours following surgery. Bowel motility was assessed after surgery on hourly basis. Data about time interval to first bowel movement and ileus were noted.

Results: Mean age of patients was 32.329 ± 3.44 years and 33.051 ± 3.64 years in group 1 and 2 respectively. Mean gestational age and body mass index was 38.50 ± 0.93 weeks and 29.824 ± 4.97 kg/m² in group-1 versus 37.937 ± 0.98 weeks and 27.779 ± 2.81 kg/m² in group 2. Mean duration of surgery was 47.096 ± 6.65 minutes in group-1 and 47.647 ± 8.76 minutes in group 2. Ileus was seen in 17% patients in group-1 and 34.1% in group-2 (p<0.001). Mean time interval for first bowel movement was 8.323 ± 1.20 hours in group-1 and 13.034 ± 2.54 hours in group 2 (p<0.001).

Conclusion: Early feeding after an uncomplicated cesarean section has reduced rate of ileus symptoms and mean time interval for bowel movements to appear.
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INTRODUCTION

Conventionally, oral intake by the patient is usually withheld after abdominal surgery for a variable period of time, which may be until the evidence of propulsive bowel sounds or the passing of flatus or stool which signifies return of bowel function.¹ There is a wide variation among the institutional and individual practices which ranges from early oral feeding with fluids to delayed feeding with fluids and food after 24 hours or even more. The discrepancy in practices raises considerable concern about the bases of different practices. During an uncomplicated cesarean section (C-section), the bowels are usually not handled and exposed and so there is minimal disturbance of bowel function. This fact is used an assumption to allow early oral feed after the C-section.²

The rate of C-section delivery is increasing around the world.³ C-section is an abdominal delivery, and postoperative care in nutrition and hydration is of main concern for women undergoing cesarean delivery. According to Devi et al, a graduated traditional dietary schedule, “nothing by mouth” for 24 hours, or till the return of bowel sounds or the patient passes flatus is still being practiced after C-section.⁴

Recently, there have been recommendations in favor of early oral intake rather than the traditional delayed oral intake after cesarean delivery.⁵,⁶ There have been concerns regarding the effects of early oral intake on postoperative ileus plus other complications after cesarean delivery.⁷ A meta-analysis in 2002 revealed there was no evidence of increased incidence of ileus or other postoperative complications after early oral intake. Rather, there was evidence that gastrointestinal recovery may be improved after early oral intake.⁸

Studies have also shown that there is no increase in gastrointestinal complications if early postoperative oral feeding is started after C-section.⁹,¹⁰ Results of international studies cannot be generalized on all populations. Therefore, the objective of our study was to compare the time interval to first bowel movement in early versus delayed oral intake in patients after C-section in
our general population to get the local evidence on this topic.

The purpose of the study was to compare the management outcomes of early and delayed oral intake in patients after C-section in terms of time interval, which would help us in improving our patient care and reducing the morbidity associated with C-section.

**METHODOLOGY**

This quasi-experimental study was conducted in the Obstetrics & Gynaecology department, Combined Military Hospital Lahore, from December 2017 to May 2018. A minimum sample size of 221 was calculated with 5% significance level with power of 80% and p1 of 10 (19.6%), p2 of 10 (31.1%) where p1 was the expected proportion (ileus) in population 1 and p2 was the expected proportion (ileus) in population 2. However, a sample size of 352 (176 patients in each group) was finalized for the study. Non-probability consecutive sampling technique was used.

**Inclusion Criteria:** Women aged 20-40 years, para 1-4 at gestational age 37-40 weeks on ultrasound and nil by mouth for at least 8 hours before surgery undergoing elective C-section under regional anesthesia with operative time between 30-60 minutes were included in the study.

**Exclusion Criteria:** Women with any associated medical disorder complicating pregnancy like diabetes, hypertension or anemia, intraoperative bowel or omental adhesions or having estimated intraoperative blood loss of more than 1000 ml (blood was measured by using soaked gauzes, pads and blood clots which were weighed and difference of weight of pads and gauzes was calculated before and after use, standardizing one milliliter blood to one gram) were excluded from the study.

Approval of the study was taken from the Research Review Board of the Combined Military Hospital, Lahore (ref. number 54/2017). Demographic information of patients fulfilling the inclusion criteria was taken. Informed consent was taken from each patient while ensuring confidentiality. The subjects were divided into two groups. One hundred and seventy six patients were in early intake group or group 1 while 176 patients were in delayed intake group or group 2. In group 1, patients were given sips of clear oral fluid (water) at half hourly intervals <5 hours after C-section and in group 2, patients received sips of water at half hourly intervals 8 hours following surgery. Bowel motility was assessed after surgery on hourly basis. Data about time interval to first bowel movement and ileus was noted as per operational definition. Data was recorded on especially designed proforma.

Early oral intake was defined as patients were given sips of clear oral fluid (water) <5 hours after C-section. Delayed oral intake: as patients were given sips of oral fluid (water) 8 hours after C-section. An outcome was in terms of mean time interval to first bowel movement and ileus. Mean time interval to first bowel was the time period from the end of surgery till the detection of first active bowel sounds (by stethoscope) in hours. Bowel motility was assessed after surgery on hourly basis. Ileus was defined as when ultrasound findings show dilated bowel loop (diameter >2.5 cm) and decreased bowel peristalsis after 24 hours of surgery.

All surgeries were done by same surgical team to reduce bias in the study. Data was analyzed with SPSS version-20. Frequency and percentage was computed for qualitative variables, and mean ± SD was presented for quantitative variables. Chi-square test was applied to compare ileus and the differences in the meantime interval to first bowel movement of the two groups were statistically tested using the student t-test, taking p≤0.05 as significant.

**RESULTS**

A total of 352 patients (176 patients in each group 1 and 2) participated in the study (Table-I), mean age of patients was 32.329 ± 3.44 years in group 1 and 33.051 ± 3.64 years in group 2 (age range 20-40 years). Ileus was seen in 30 (17%) patients in group 1 as compare to 146 (83%) in group 2 (p<0.001) as shown in Table-II. Association of ileus and time interval for first bowel movement in both groups with regard to age,
gestational age, parity, BMI and duration of surgery were shown in Table-III.

Table-II: Comparison of ileus and time interval between early and delayed feeding groups (n=352).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Ilues n (%)</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>146</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-III: Association of early and delayed feeding groups for age, Parity, BMI and surgery with regard to Ileus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-30 (n=110)</td>
<td>10 (9)</td>
<td>16 (14.54)</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40 (n=242)</td>
<td>20 (8.27)</td>
<td>44 (18.18)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37-38 (n=226)</td>
<td>15 (6.64)</td>
<td>48 (21.24)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39-40 (n=126)</td>
<td>15 (11.90)</td>
<td>12 (9.52)</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 (n=143)</td>
<td>13 (9.09)</td>
<td>17 (11.89)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4 (n=209)</td>
<td>17 (8.13)</td>
<td>43 (20.57)</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (Kg/m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25 (n=111)</td>
<td>1 (0.90)</td>
<td>14 (12.61)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥25 (n=241)</td>
<td>29 (12.03)</td>
<td>46 (19.09)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery (mins)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-45 (n=116)</td>
<td>2 (1.72)</td>
<td>13 (11.20)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-60 (n=236)</td>
<td>27 (11.44)</td>
<td>47 (19.92)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

A steadily growing number of studies suggest early oral feeding after C-section instead of classic view of starting oral fluids or food after the return of bowel movement demonstrated by the passage of flatus. There is sufficient evidence from multiple studies that early initiation of oral feeding is well tolerated and also of considerable benefit to the patients.11-15 Most of these studies were conducted in well-equipped specialized hospitals and majority of caesarean sections were performed under general anaesthesia.16 In our study, ileus was seen in 17% patients in group 1 (early oral intake) as compared to 34.1% in group 2 (p<0.001) which was statistically significant. Chantarasorn et al,10 in his study also observed that the rate of mild ileus symptoms in the conventional group was significantly higher than the early feeding group (19.6% versus 31.1%, p-value <0.03). Craciunas et al,17 in his study of 192 women who underwent C-section under both regional and general anaesthesia observed the effect of time of start of oral feeding on patient acceptability and also the benefits on gastrointestinal functions. No significant difference in the incidence of paralytic ileus symptoms was found among the early and conventional feeding groups (15.6% versus 29.5%).

Our study was also comparable to a study of 200 women done by Izbizky et al,18 who evaluated the effect of early versus delayed feeding on patient satisfaction, and the incidence of ileus considered as a secondary outcome in this study was 17% versus 16% among the early and delayed feeding groups. Patolia et al,19 compared the postoperative outcomes associated with early oral feeding (liquid diet two hours after surgery) versus late oral feeding (liquid diet 8 hours after surgery) in 140 women undergoing elective C-section under regional anaesthesia. There were no significant differences between the two groups in the postoperative gastrointestinal complications. Kovavisarach et al,20 studied that to compared the possible adverse gastrointestinal effects after C-section in 151 women who started intake early compared with those whose first intake was delayed (8 hours versus 24 hours). There were no significant demographic differences between the two groups. It was observed that there had been no significant differences in postoperative gastrointestinal complications between the two groups. Similarly, our study also showed that early oral feeding after C-delivery (8 hours) did not cause any significant adverse gastrointestinal effects compared with delayed oral feeding (24 hours). A randomized controlled trial of early initiation of oral feeding after C-section by Jalilian et al,21 evaluated the safety and efficacy of early oral feeding after cesarean delivery under general and regional anesthesia in 200 women. In this trial, women in the early feeding group were encouraged to take sips of water 8 hours after the surgery, followed by 100 ml oral tea at the time of supervision. Women in the routine feeding group received restricted oral intake for the first 24 hours and administration of sips of water 24-48 hours post-operatively. The incidence of symptoms of paralytic ileus was not significantly different between the two groups (15% versus 13%).

Sellers et al22 recommended with-holding of fluids and food for the first 12-24 hours after caesarean section. This was followed by graded oral fluids till full normal fluids were tolerated at about the second postoperative day. Regular diet could be allowed after flatus was passed on about the second postoperative day. Sweet et al,23 suggested that fluids could be allowed soon after the surgery and a light diet could be started.
when the woman felt ready for intake. Only when the surgeon requests that food be withheld until bowel sounds are heard, should the woman be considered for delayed feeding. In our study, mean time interval for first bowel movement was 8.323 ± 1.20 hours in group 1 and 13.034 ± 2.54 hours in group 2 (p<0.001).

Barat and his associates found in a study that for the early oral feeding group, the mean time of the first passage of flatus was found to be 10.2 ± 1.7 hours while it was 10.7 ± 1.6 hours for the delayed feeding group and the difference was significant.24

Devi et al found in another study that women in the early feeding group had significantly shorter time interval to first noticed bowel movement after surgery of 6.97 ± 0.71 hours compared with the conventionally fed women with 14.96 ± 4.97 hours.4

CONCLUSION

This study has demonstrated early feeding after an uncomplicated cesarean delivery has reduced the rate of ileus symptoms and mean time interval for bowel movements to appear. Further studies with a large sample size are needed to confirm the outcome.
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