DIAGNOSTIC ACCURACY OF MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING IN DIAGNOSING BONE TUMORS KEEPING HISTOPATHOLOGICAL CORRELATION AS GOLD STANDARD
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the diagnostic accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging in diagnosing bone tumors keeping histopathological as correlation gold standard.

Study Design: Descriptive cross-sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: Departments of Radiology, Orthopedics and Pathology of Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences, Islamabad, from Jan 2018 to Jan 2019.

Methodology: All the patients who had complain of bone deformity or pain with high suspicion of neoplastic pathology involving bone and soft tissue on radiograph were included in study. Patients were first diagnosed on conventional radiography followed by magnetic resonance imaging. Magnetic resonance imaging with contrast was done on Phillips 1.5 tesla machine. T1W, T2W, STIR images, Fat suppressed, PD spair and post contrast T1W images were obtained. Positive magnetic resonance imaging findings which were noted were; marrow involvement, cortical break, soft tissue involvement, joint involvement, neurovascular bundle involvement and post contrast enhancement on T1W imaging. Then bone biopsy was performed. The removed bone was sent for histopathological examination in all cases. The histopathological reports were collected. The results were entered in structured proformas. Data was collected after the informed consent. All the data was entered and analyzed using SPSS version-23.

Results: Fifty patients of both genders were recruited during our study duration. 32 (64.0%) were male while 18 (36.0%) were female patients. Mean age of the patient was 54.5 ± 14.3 years with range 18 to 80 years. Among the benign tumors vertebral hemangiomas were most commonly found 5 (10.0%) followed by osteochondroma which was 4 (8.0%), while among malignant tumors metastatic deposits/secondaries were most commonly found 11 (22.0%), followed by multiple myeloma 6 (12.0%) then Ewing Sarcoma and Osteosarcomas. Study showed sensitivity, specificity and accuracy in differentiating benign from malignant of 94.12%, 90% and 92.59%.

Conclusion: In evaluating bone tumors, magnetic resonance imaging plays an important role. Whenever a bony pathology is detected that cannot be characterized and shows features of aggressive pathology magnetic resonance imaging is indicated. Magnetic resonance imaging is superior in detecting osseous lesions with marrow invasion and soft tissue component. It is helps to locally stage the disease, and by identifying the perilesional invasion and extension it helps the surgeon to plan the surgery. It is also used to assess the response of neoadjuvant therapy followed by restaging. It can further be used for follow up assessment of patient after completion of treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

Bone tumors and tumor like lesions usually require more than one imaging modality, which includes conventional radiography, bone scintigraphy, CT, MRI and PET. The primary method for evaluating a bone tumors and tumor-like lesions is conventional radiograph. Whenever a bony pathology is detected that cannot be characterized and shows features of aggressive pathology MRI is indicated. The excellent contrast resolution and multiplanar capabilities of MRI has led to much superior evaluation of intra-
Compartamental and extra compartamental extent of bone tumor, particularly with extension of tumor into the perilesional muscles, neurovascular bundle, displacement or invasion of adjacent fat planes, extent of bone marrow involvement, degree of intra-articular extension and presence of intra-tumoral necrosis and haemorrhage. When a definite final diagnosis cannot be reached, MRI helps us to narrow down the differential diagnosis. And this is how it has changed the approach to diagnose bone tumors and bone tumor like lesions from a single modality based diagnosis which initially included conventional radiograph only to multi-modality based diagnosis which now includes MRI as well. Newer 3 Tesla MRI machines are faster with improved SNR (signal to noise ratio), resulting in better spatial and contrast resolution.

Cases with aggressive and indeterminate lesions tend to be confirmed histopathologically for staging and treatment plan. The contaminated biopsy tract needs to be surgically removed. Contrast-enhanced MRI (CEMRI) reveals the most vascularized parts of the tumor and MRI guidance makes it possible to avoid taking biopsy from the necrotic area of the tumor. The most vascularized parts of the cancer can be found in the contrast enhanced MRI (CEMRI) and MRI guidance can help prevent necrotic biopsies. It helps in determining proper size and dimensions of the bony lesion, detecting intramedullary extension, invasion of adjacent physeal plates, joints, muscles, and neurovascular bundles for localized staging of disease process. It helps the orthopedic surgeon in surgically planning. Re-evaluation after neoadjuvant therapy and surgical treatment should also be done by MRI.

In many cases of musculoskeletal neoplasia, the high contrast resolution of MRI in soft tissue studies has replaced the need for CT scans. MRI is the best way to locally assess the disease process. In areas such as arm, leg and foot, which are poor deficient in fat with limited fat planes, are poorly assessed by CT, where as MR imagery is much better in defining the extent of the soft tissue mass.

MRI is most sensitive and accurate for evaluating changes in bone marrow, it can be helpful in differentiating benign from malignant lesions but it is not always specific as many lesions show variable characteristics on T1 and T2 weighted imaging. So characterization of bony lesions can be further improved using MR diffusion-weighted (DW) imaging and apparent diffusion coefficients (ADC). MR DW imaging is sensitive in detecting changes in micro diffusion of water in intra and extra cellular compartment of the lesion. While ADC is dependent on the ratio of extracellular and intracellular components, cell density, intracellular organelles, matrix fibers, and soluble macromolecules. Literature has insufficiently explored the role of MRI in predicting malignancy.

This study aims to discuss MRI characteristics to help differentiate the bone tumors and to determine the accuracy of MRIs in the diagnostics of bone tumors with histopathology as gold standard.

**METHODOLOGY**

It was a descriptive cross-sectional study carried out at Departments of Radiology, Orthopedics and Pathology of Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences, Islamabad from January 2018 till January 2019. All the patients who had complaint of bone deformity or pain with high suspicion of neoplastic pathology involving bone and soft tissue on radiograph were included in study. Patients were first diagnosed on conventional radiography followed by MRI. MRI with contrast was done on Phillip 1.5 tesla machine. TIW, T2W, STIR images, Fat suppressed, PDspair and post contrast TIW images were obtained.

Positive MRI findings which indicated benign etiology were; 1) Well defined lesion with well circumscribed margins, 2) lobulated in shape, 3) small size, 4) absence of periosteal reaction, 5) absence of neurovascular involvement, 6) absence of soft tissue component and 7) presence of normal fatty marrow.

MRI features that indicated malignant etiology were; 1) Large lesion, 2) showing periosteal
reaction, 3) cortical destruction, 4) neurovascular involvement, 5) soft tissue component, 6) higher degree of enhancement on T1W post contrast studies and, 7) bone marrow involvement.

All the patients with positive MR findings were then referred to orthopedic surgery department for bone biopsy. The removed bone was sent for histopathological examination. The histopathological reports were collected. The results were entered in structured proformas. Data was collected after the informed consent. All the data was entered and analyzed using SPSS version-23.

RESULTS

Fifty patients of both genders were recruited during our study duration. 32 (64.0%) were male while 18 (36.0%) were female patients. Mean age of the patient was 54.5 ± 14.3 years with range 18 to 80 years. Among the benign tumors vertebral hemangiomas were most commonly found 5 (10.0%) followed by osteochondroma which was 4 (8.0%), while among malignant tumors metastatic deposits/secondaries were most commonly found 11 (22.0%), followed by multiple myeloma 6 (12.0%) then Ewing Sarcoma and Osteosarcomas as shown in table-I. Comparisons of benign and malignant tumors are described in table-II.

The association of MRI diagnosis and histopathological diagnosis was carried out by using Chi-square test, which showed statistical significance with p<0.04 as shown in table-II. Study sho-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor Characteristics</th>
<th>Benign Tumors</th>
<th>Malignant Tumors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size &lt;5cm</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size &gt;5cm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-defined</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobulated</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregularly margined</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periosteal Reaction</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical Breech</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurovascular bundle</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterogeneous</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homogenous</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Involvement</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft Tissue Extension</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of fat component</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-III: Comparison of diagnosis made on the basis of MR Imaging and histopathological diagnosis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MRI Diagnosis</th>
<th>Histopathology Diagnosis</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benign Cases</td>
<td>16 (32%)</td>
<td>18 (36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant Cases</td>
<td>34 (68%)</td>
<td>32 (64%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-IV: Shows diagnostic variables of MR imaging.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic Variables</th>
<th>MRI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity; TP/(TP+FN)</td>
<td>94.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity; TN/(TN+FP)</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Predictive Value; TP/(TP+FP)</td>
<td>94.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Predictive Value; TN/(TN+FN)</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic Accuracy; (TP+TN)/ All Patients</td>
<td>92.59%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

Imaging assessment of bone tumors is important as it helps to distinguish between malignant and benign lesions. The distinguishing of multiple myeloma from secondaries requires history and pathological correlation. MRI helps us in describing the lesion and making an early diagnosis. In our study of 50 patients, vertebral hemangiomas were in 5 patients (14.0%), which was commonest among benign tumors while amid malignant tumors, metastatic deposits/secondaries were most commonly found, 11 patients (22.0%), followed by multiple myeloma in 10 patients (20.0%) and then Ewing Sarcoma and Osteosarcomas through MRI.

Hemangioma is the commonest spinal benign bone tumor as seen in our study. MRI can efficiently detect the presence of lipid tissue or hematopoietic marrow in the areas of even very little trabecular bone. Presence of normal fatty marrow that gives high signal intensity on T1WI imaging similar to the subcutaneous fat in the lesion is highly suggestive of a benign etiology as can be seen in hemangioma. Osteochondroma is the second most common benign tumor according to our study. It is part of a growth plate which separates from the parent bone and it continues to grow independently without any epiphyses. The medullary cavity is continuous with the parent bone which is diagnostic. The lesion can be either sessile or pedunculated. It typically arises from the metaphyseal region, projecting away from epiphysis of the parent bone. MRI is very important in demonstration of its cartilaginous cap. It is low to intermediate signal on T1WI and high on T2WI. The cartilaginous cap thickness is the best predictor to detect sarcomatous transformation of the tumor.

Multiple myeloma is the second commonest cause of malignant bone tumors according to our study. It is a common blood cancer with skeletal involvement seen in 80-90% of patients. It can affect any bone, vertebral involvement is seen in 65% of cases. It typically shows low signal on T1WI, intermediate to high on T2WI, high signal Fat-suppression and with intense avid post contrast enhancement.

Benign lesions are sharply defined and well demarcated from the perilesional normal tissues. Malignant lesions tend to extensively invasive and destructive involving the neighboring structures with extension into adjacent soft tissue component. It is the highest predictor of malignancy. Malignant lesions tend to cause cortical disruption with intra medullary extension of the parent bone. Our results were also in concord with it. Neurovascular bundle involvement is another very important predictor of malignancy, which can be best assessed on MRI in contrast to CT and conventional angiography. Its best predictor is the loss of perivascular/perineural fat with encasement and associated stenosis, it is best assessed on axial plane using T2 WI, fat supressed, PDpair and post contrast studies.

Metastatic deposits are the commonest type of malignant bone tumor according to our study. Bony metastatic deposits are the commonest type of among the metastatic deposits (among liver and lung deposits) and they are even far more prevalent than primary bone tumors. Our results also showed similar findings. Because of its excellent soft tissue resolution MRI is the modality of choice for assessing invasion of the marrow cavity by the tumor, and its extension into the surrounding structures. It is highly sensitive for detecting metastatic deposits in the skeleton. It can demonstrate an intramedullary metastatic deposit in advance of cortical destruction even before pathologic osteoblastic process can be manifested as a focal accumulation of radiotracer on a bone scan. It is the modality of choice in patients with suspicion of cord compression due to pathologic vertebral body fractures where a damaged edematous cord shows an abnormal high signal on T2 and turbo short tau inversion recovery (STIR) weighted image sequences.

Our study showed sensitivity, specificity and accuracy in differentiating benign from malignant of 94.12%, 90% and 92.59%. Study by
Bhuyan et al, showed sensitivity and specificity in diagnosing bone tumor to be 100% and 98%\textsuperscript{23}. However for differentiating benign from malignant, he found specificity of 94.7%. Our results were also in concord with it. Another study by Lange showed higher sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of MRI in comparison to other imaging modalities. MRI sensitivity, specificity and accuracy was 90.5%, 80.1% and 87.1%, while CT showed 75.6%, 80.2% and 81.1%, PET showed 92.3%, 62.2% and 82.7%, bone scintigraphy 74.1%, 62.5% and 71.4% and x-rays of 33%, 96.1% and 69.5\textsuperscript{24}.

Our study had a few limitations, time period of the study was short due to which specific limited no of patients data could be collected. Secondly the machine in our department does not have the diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) sequences with apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC), which can further improve the characterization of the bony lesion.
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CONCLUSION

In evaluating bone tumors, MRI plays an important role. Whenever a bony pathology is detected that cannot be characterized and shows features of aggressive pathology MRI is indicated. MRI is superior in detecting osseous lesions with marrow invasion and soft tissue component. It is helps to locally stage the disease, and by identifying the perilesional invasion and extension it helps the surgeon to plan the surgery. It is also used to assess the response of neoadjuvant therapy followed by restaging. It can further be used for follow up assessment of patient after completion of treatment.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

This study has no conflict of interest to be declared by any author.

REFERENCES