Hypospadias is an abnormal opening of external urethral meatus in male child at birth, and can be anywhere along the ventral side of shaft of the penis or perineum. It is one of the common congenital anomalies occurring in approximately 1 in 200-300 male live births. Hypospadias are classified into Anterior (distal), Middle and Posterior (proximal) according to site of native meatus. There are many surgical procedures for repair, and none of them is superior to the others. There have probably been over 200 reported original methods of urethral reconstruction and they continue as modification of modifications.

Temporary urinary diversion is routinely employed after hypospadias repairs. There are many techniques for urine drainage and some of them require prolonged hospital stay with discomfort to the child. The prevention of urine from making contact with the repair site remains a major challenge because of the toxic effect it has on exposed raw tissues which results in cells death and subsequent fistula formation or complete breakdown of the repair.

Different methods of urinary diversion and their associated complications after hypospadias repair have been described. Many Pediatric urologists use either suprapubic or transurethral drainage to prevent

Correspondence: Dr. Shahzad Ahmad Qamar, Medical Officer/Post Graduate Resident, Bahawal Victoria Hospital, Bahawalpur.
Email: netygeulis749@hotmail.com
Received: 08 June 2012; Accepted: 26 Nov 2012
complications from urine leakage at the suture line which results in urethral stenosis or complete breakdown of the repair. However, these methods of urinary diversion itself have many complications which effect the desired results. This necessitates the need to get a method of diversion or stenting that would give satisfactory urinary diversion and minimal complications after hypospadias repair.

The purpose of this study was to compare the patient comfort and results of suprapubic cystostomy versus urethral catheter used for urinary diversion after hypospadias repair.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

These randomized controlled trials were conducted at the Department of Urology and Renal Transplantation, Bahawal Victoria Hospital/Quaid-e-Azam Medical College, Bahawalpur from June 2010 to December 2011. Patients admitted to Department of Urology with distal or middle hypospadias were included in this study. The patients with age of less than 1 year and more than 10 years were excluded from this study along with patients of proximal hypospadias and history of previous hypospadias repair. Investigations like complete blood count, urine routine examination, Ultrasonography abdomen were done. Detailed history asked about previous repair and its outcome for exclusion from this study.

A total of 60 patients were studied which were divided into two groups i.e. I and II with 30 patients in each group. In Group I, hypospadias repair was done over an improvised urethral stent distal to the external sphincter that did not extend to drain the urinary bladder. In these patients suprapubic cystostomy was used for urinary diversion. While in Group II, 8 Fr to 12 Fr Foley’s catheter was used both for urinary diversion and urethral stenting which was anchored to the tip of the glans penis with a single non absorbable stitch to prevent its dislodgment.

All patients were operated under general anesthesia. A tourniquet was applied at base of penis to maintain a bloodless field for duration of about 40 minutes and released if more time was required to complete repair. Tubularized incised plate urethroplasty and Mathieu’s repair were commonly used techniques. All the patients were operated by the same team of surgeons. Sandwiched dressing was used which will be removed after 48 hours postoperatively.

All patients were maintained on antibiotic prophylaxis. Stent was kept for 7-14 days. Patient discharged from hospital at 72 hours postoperatively with urethral catheter or suprapubic cystostomy intact. Patients were followed for subsequent outcome. Follow up was initially fortnightly and then at 1 month intervals. Minimum follow up period was 3 months and maximum 18 months for these particular patients.

The collected data was analyzed by computer software SPSS version 16. Mean and standard deviation was calculated for age of patients. Frequency and percentage was calculated for qualitative variables. Chi Square was applied to compare the frequency of complications and cosmetic results. $P$ value < 0.05 was considered as significant.

RESULTS

A total of 60 patients were studied. In Group I (n=30) patients, suprapubic cystostomy was used for urinary diversion while in Group II (n=30) patients transurethral catheter was used for urinary diversion. Age range was from 1 year to 10 years with the mean of 3±0.75 years. %age of patients according to age groups is shown in Table-I.

Tubularized incised plate urethroplasty and Mathieu’s repair were the commonly used repairs for both groups as shown in Table-II. Painful trigone irritation was common and distressing in 12(40%) patients in group II which was treated by antispasmodics in 07 patients while in 05 patients it resulted in early catheter removal and subsequent development of urethrocutaneous fistula in 01 patient and meatal stenosis in 01 patient. The post-operative nursing care was easier in patients with suprapubic diversion as children with transurethral catheter tried to pull their catheter due to discomfort and straining on voiding.
which resulted in repair disruption in 2 patients. Overall complication rate was 6.67% and 23.33% in group I and group II respectively (p<0.001). The type of repair performed and results of both groups were shown in Table-II.

**DISCUSSION**

Hypospadias surgery is challenging. The fact that there are wide variations in the presentation and extent of malformations as well as tissue characteristics make every hypospadias patient distinct. The proposal of a universal comprehensive algorithm for hypospadias repair is difficult.3

There is a great controversy about urinary diversion after hypospadias repair. In all the cases except for the most minor defects, some form of urinary diversion (using an indwelling catheter or suprapubic cystostomy) is employed for 1-2 weeks at the time of operation. This is to enable the reconstructed tissue to remain dry during the critical period of healing, thus
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Table I: Percentage of patients according to age groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th></th>
<th>Group II</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%age</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 years</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10 years</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p=1.000
The %age of patients according to type of hypospadias in both groups are shown in Figure-I.

Table-2: Type of Repair and Results of both groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group I (n=30)</th>
<th>Group II (n=30)</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of Repair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIP</td>
<td>15(50%)</td>
<td>18(60%)</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathieu’s</td>
<td>12(40%)</td>
<td>09(30%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>03(10%)</td>
<td>03(10%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy Nursing Care</td>
<td>27(90%)</td>
<td>04(13.33%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straining on Voiding</td>
<td>06(20%)</td>
<td>15(50%)</td>
<td>0.0149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accidental Dislodgment</td>
<td>02(6.67%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>0.1503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Painful Trigone Irritation</td>
<td>02(6.67%)</td>
<td>12(40%)</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uretherocutaneous</td>
<td>01(3.33%)</td>
<td>02(6.67%)</td>
<td>0.554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fistula</td>
<td>00(0%)</td>
<td>02(6.67%)</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meatal Stenosis</td>
<td>01(3.33%)</td>
<td>01(3.33%)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urethral Stricture</td>
<td>00(0%)</td>
<td>02(6.67%)</td>
<td>0.1503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair Disruption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfactory Cosmetic Results</td>
<td>27(90%)</td>
<td>21(70%)</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
preventing infection, wound breakdown and other problems.\textsuperscript{5,6,8}

Germiyanoglu C et al\textsuperscript{9} and Sigumonrong YH et al\textsuperscript{10} reported that urinary diversion after hypospadias repair has no proven beneficial effect on surgical outcome. Joshi A et al\textsuperscript{11} and Almodhen F et al\textsuperscript{12} have reported excellent results with stent free Mathieu’s repair and tubularized incised plate urethroplasty respectively. De Badiola F et al\textsuperscript{13} have reported that urethral drainage by catheter or stent does not reduce the risk of complications after hypospadias repair. While Zhang J et al\textsuperscript{14} reported that urinary diversion after one stage hypospadias repair is helpful for spontaneous healing of small fistulae and also useful in reducing the incidence of fistula formation.

Any type of urinary diversion used whether suprapubic cystostomy or transurethral stenting has certain advantages and disadvantages, one over the other, irrespective of the outcome of repair. Transurethral catheterization has the most common complication of bladder spasm owing to irritation of the detrusor muscle by the tip of the catheter.\textsuperscript{15} Transurethral stenting led to significant patient irritability with voiding problems and high possibility of stent dislodgment either accidental or during voiding. Osifo OD et al\textsuperscript{16} have reported prolonged hospital stay with suprapubic urinary diversion as compared to transurethral stenting. In this study, we have found that patients with transurethral catheterization have faced bladder irritability and voiding problems more than suprapubic cystostomy while no difference was noted for the duration of hospitalization.

In the early postoperative period following hypospadias repair, the management of patient should encompass control of pain, care of the urinary catheter and wound dressing. These are particularly important to reduce the stress to patient and for improved outcome.\textsuperscript{17} Our study showed that post operative nursing care was more demanding in patients with transurethral catheterization because the children has to be restricted to bed to avoid any pull on the catheter. This was also required to prevent children from pulling the catheter due to bladder irritation and voiding difficulties which resulted in repair disruption in 2 of our patient. While in suprapubic cystostomy, no special care of the suprapubic tube was required as observed by Osifo OD et al\textsuperscript{16} and Oesterling J et al.\textsuperscript{6}

Demirbilek et al\textsuperscript{18} reported incidence of urethrocystostomy fistula in hypospadias repair, 7.14% in patients with suprapubic urinary diversion and 14.28% in patients with transurethral catheter used for urinary drainage. Radwan MH et al\textsuperscript{19} reported this difference as 2.0% and 11.0% respectively. We have come across this difference as 3.33% in suprapubic diversion and 6.67% in patients with transurethral catheterization. Incidence of Meatal Stenosis was 0-6\% for suprapubic diversion and 2-12\% for transurethral diversion in many previous studies\textsuperscript{6,8,16,18,19} while in our study meatal stenosis was 0\% and 6.67\% respectively. In a study, Osifo OD et al\textsuperscript{16} observed complete repair disruption 1.4\% for suprapubic diversion and 2.4\% for transurethral diversion and Radwan MH et al\textsuperscript{19} reported 0\% and 5.0\% respectively. While in our study, complete repair disruption occurred in 0\% and 6.67\% respectively. Many previous studies showed that different types of urinary diversion used in hypospadias repair does not effect the incidence of urethral stricture, similarly was observed in our study.\textsuperscript{16,18,19}

In our study, cosmetic results were similar with both the methods.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that suprapubic urinary diversion is more effective and favored means of diversion than transurethral catheter in hypospadias repair because of its lower complication rate, less bladder irritability and patient discomfort. However, cosmetic appearance of the external urethral meatus almost similar with both the methods.
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