Comparison of Risk Factors in Patients With and Without Early Post-Operative Complications After Hepaticojejunostomy for Iatrogenic Bile Duct Injury

Basit Ali Cheema, Muhammad Qasim Butt, Nayab Mustansar*, Abdul Rehman, Waqas Farooq Ali, Muhammad Shahzad

Department of Surgery, Combined Military Hospital Rawalpindi/National University of Medical Sciences (NUMS) Pakistan, *Department of Obs & Gynae, Combined Military Hospital Rawalpindi/ National University of Medical Sciences (NUMS) Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Objective: To analyze the risk factors associated with early post-operative complications among patients undergoing hepaticojejunostomy for iatrogenic bile duct injury.

Study Design: Comparative Cross-sectional Study.

Place and Duration of Study: Army Liver Transplant Unit, Rawalpindi Pakistan, From Jan to Oct 2022.

Methodology: Eighty-one patients who underwent different types of hepatobiliary surgeries, had iatrogenic bile duct injury and underwent hepaticojejunostomy for repair. Patients were followed up for couple of weeks after the corrective procedure to look for various early post-operative complications. A comparison was performed for different sociodemographic factors in patients with and without early postoperative complications who were recruited in this study.

Results: Fifty-five (67.9%) patients were male and 26(32.1%) were female. Median age of patients put who underwent hepaticojejunostomy for repair of iatrogenic bile duct injury in our study was 45 years with IQR range of 40. Patients were divided into two groups on basis of presence of complications. 13(16.1%) patients had one or more complications early on after the surgery while 68(83.9%) patients had smooth early postoperative recovery without complications. Advanced age and presence of comorbid illness were the risk factors found more in groups of patients with presence of early post-operative complications (p-value<0.05).

Conclusion: Early post-operative complications were not uncommon after hepaticojejunostomy procedure opted for repair of iatrogenic bile injuries. Patients who were more than 50 years of age and had comorbid medical illnesses were more at risk of early post-operative complications in our study.

Keywords: Bile duct injury, Comparison, Hepaticojejunostomy, Risk Factors.

How to Cite This Article: Cheema BA, Butt MQ, Mustansar N, Rehman A, Ali WF, Shahzad M. Comparison of Risk Factors in Patients with and Without Early Post-Operative Complications After Hepaticojejunostomy for latrogenic Bile Duct Injury. Pak Armed Forces Med J 2025; 75(Suppl-2): S265-S269. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.51253/pafmj.v75iSUPPL-2.9672</u>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Hepatobiliary tract surgeries have been revolutionized by use of minimally invasive techniques in last two decades.¹ Despite adequate safety profile and number of added advantages of laparoscopic and minimally invasive methods of surgery, still few patients get the complications which may be related to number of factors.² Surgical teams need to know about the complications and their immediate remedies in order to save the patients from mortality and morbidity.³

Bile duct injuries during the hepatobiliary surgeries are encountered in both open and laparoscopic surgeries.⁴ Iatrogenic bile duct injuries are considered as serious and major complications during surgery and need immediate attention of the team. If not managed in time, these injuries can result in potentially life threatening consequences for the patients.⁵ Hepaticojejunostomy is one of the procedures commonly done as a corrective measure after iatrogenic bile duct injuries.⁶

Various studies done in recent past assessed the patients who were managed for iatrogenic bile duct injuries for short and long term outcome. Moris et al., technically analyzed the evolution of hepatojejunostomy as a procedure to manage the biliary canal injuries.7 They found it a reliable and efficient technique for the said purpose. Early, intermediate and late repair of bile duct injury with hepaticojejunostomy was assessed in a large multicentre study in 2019. It was concluded that time of repair was not associated with short and long term outcome of the repair procedure.⁸ Ismael et al., in 2017 revealed that 30-day outcomes regarding morbidity and mortality rates was 26.3% and 2% respectively. Sepsis and disability were the risk factors associated with mortality and morbidity in their study participants.9 Existing literature from different parts of

Correspondence: Dr Basit Ali Cheema, Department of Surgery, Combined Military Hospital Rawalpindi Pakistan *Received: 11 Dec 2022; revision received: 24 May 2023; accepted: 29 May 2023*

the world therefore emphasized that surgical teams should not be undermining the presence of complications in these patients and only prevention or timely diagnosing the complication can reduce the mortality and morbidity inpatients and reduce the burden on health system.

Hepatobiliary and laparoscopic surgery are evolving fields in our part of the world and more and more centres are emerging as centres of excellence in these specialities. A recent case series was published from SUIT highlighting the management of iatrogenic bile injuires.10 Surgery remained gold standard for management of these injuries with good long term outcome. Limited local data has been available for short term outcome for these patients. We therefore planned this study with the rationale to analyze the risk factors associated with early post-operative complications among patients undergoing hepaticojejunostomy for iatrogenic bile duct injury at Army Liver Transplant Unit Rawalpindi.

METHODOLOGY

This comparative cross-sectional study was conducted at the Army liver transplant unit, Rawalpindi Pakistan, from January to October 2022. Sample size was calculated by WHO Sample Size Calculator. Two groups were used for this purpose. Group I had no complications =79%. Group II had presence of significant complications =7%.¹¹ Non probability Consecutive sampling technique was used to gather the sample.

Inclusion Criteria: Patients between the age of eighteen and sixty five years who underwent any type of hepatobiliary surgery, had iatrogenic bile duct injury and underwent hepaticojejunostomy for the repair were included in the study.

Exclusion Criteria: Those patients who were having comorbid medical illnesses which were poorly controlled (Diabetes mellitus, hypertension or any other medical illness). Biopsy proven CA gallbladder or other tumors of solid or hematopoietic origin were excluded from study. Patients who after injury did not undergo hepaticojejunostomy for the repair or those who were shifted to other hospitals after the surgery were also not included.

Letter number A/28/202/EC/468/2022 was the ethical approval granted for this study from reveiw board committee of our hospital. Written informed consent from patients with iatrogenic bile injury was taken before they were recruited for study from Army

liver transplant unit, Rawalpindi Pakistan. Iatrogenic bile injury was diagnosed by treating surgeon along with his team on the basis of per-operative findings.¹² Those patients who fulfilled the criteria for hepaticojejunostomy underwent this procedure by consultant general or hepatobiliary surgeon in Army liver transplant unit Rawalpindi.13 Reapir was done under aspetic technique by the team by set protocols after taking consent from the patient and letting him know the details of previous procedure and complications. Patients who underwent hepaticojejunostomy were monitored closely for 48 hours and then assessed after a week to look for other early post-operative complications.14 Surgical team members who were assigned to observe the patients for presence of different complications did not participate in the hepaticojejunostomy procedure. All the information was entered in a proforma designed for this study by the research team before at the time of planning of study.

Statistical Package for the social sciences (SPSS) version 24:00 was used to enter all the data collected in study duration and get it processed. Gender of patients and all the complications after the procedure of hepaticojejunostomy were calculated in terms of frequencies along with percentages. Age of the patients recruited in study was calculated as mean and standard deviation. Pearson chi-square test and Fischer exact test by keeping the *p*-value<0.05 as significant were used to look for different complications factors associated with after hepaticojejunostomy.

RESULTS

A total of 81 patients who underwent hepaticojejunostomy for repair of iatrogenic bile duct injury were included in the final analysis. Out of these, 55(67.9%) were male and 26(32.1%) were female. Median age of patients put who underwent hepaticojejunostomy for repair of iatrogenic bile duct injury in our study was 45 years with IQR range of 40. Table-I summarized the basic characteristics of patients recruited in this study. Two groups were made for the purpose of comparison on the basis of presence or absence of post-operative complications. Out of all the study participants, 13(16.1%) patients had one or more complications early on after the surgery while 68(83.9%) patients had smooth early postoperative recovery without complications.

Table-II mentioned the results of application of statistical tests. Two groups were made for the

purpose of comparison. One group had no Significant post-operative complications while group II had one or more complications. It was revealed that advanced age and presence of comorbid illness were the risk factors found more in group of patients with presence of early post-operative complications in our study participants (*p*-value<0.05) as compared to group of patients without presence of complications.

Table-I: Characteristics of Patients Managed for Iatrogenic Bile Duct Injuries (n=76)

Study Parameters	n(%)			
Age (years)				
Median (IQR range)	45years (40)			
Gender				
Male	55(67.9%)			
Female	26(32.1%)			
Type of surgeries with iatrogenic bile duct injuries				
Open laparoscopic	65(80.2%)			
Interval	16(19.8%)			
Comorbid medical conditions				
Diabetes mellitus	15(18.5%)			
Hypertension	09(11.1%)			
Rheumatoid arthritis	04(4.9%)			
Ischemic heart disease	06(7.4%)			
Others	03(3.7%)			
Complications				
Surgical site infection	09(11.1%)			
Anastomotic stenosis	05(6.2%)			
Pancreatic fistula	04(4.9%)			
Bile leakage	03(3.7%)			
Others	02(2.4%)			

Table-II: Association of Various Risk Factors with Presence of Complications Among Study Participants

Age<50 years $52(76.4\%)$ $04(30.7\%)$ 0.002 >50 years $16(23.6\%)$ $09(69.3\%)$ 0.002 Gender $00(646.2\%)$ 0.021 Male $48(70.6\%)$ $07(53.8\%)$ 0.247 Female $20(29.4\%)$ $06(46.2\%)$ 0.247 Comorbid illness $01(7.6\%)$ No $43(63.2\%)$ $01(7.6\%)$ 0.247 Diabetes Meliitus $08(11.7\%)$ $07(53.8\%)$ Hypertension $05(7.3\%)$ $04(3.1\%)$ Rheumatoid $03(4.4\%)$ $01(7.6\%)$ arthritis $06(8.8\%)$ $00(0\%)$ Ischemic Heart $06(8.8\%)$ $00(0\%)$ Clean or clean $50(73.5\%)$ $06(46.2\%)$ contaminated $50(73.5\%)$ $06(46.2\%)$ Contaminated or $18(26.5\%)$ $07(52.8\%)$	Factors	No complications n=68	Complications n=13	<i>p</i> -value	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Age				
>50 years $16(23.6\%)$ $09(69.3\%)$ 0.002 Gender Male $48(70.6\%)$ $07(53.8\%)$ 0.247 Male $20(29.4\%)$ $06(46.2\%)$ 0.247 Comorbid illness No $43(63.2\%)$ $01(7.6\%)$ 0.247 Diabetes Meliitus $08(11.7\%)$ $07(53.8\%)$ 0.247 Hypertension $05(7.3\%)$ $04(3.1\%)$ 0.001 Rheumatoid $03(4.4\%)$ $01(7.6\%)$ 0.001 Ischemic Heart $06(8.8\%)$ $00(0\%)$ 0.001 Type of wound Clean or clean contaminated $50(73.5\%)$ $06(46.2\%)$ 0.059 Contaminated or $18(26.5\%)$ $07(52.8\%)$ 0.059	<50 years	52(76.4%)	04(30.7%)	0.002	
Gender Male $48(70.6\%)$ $07(53.8\%)$ 0.247 Female $20(29.4\%)$ $06(46.2\%)$ 0.247 Comorbid illness No $43(63.2\%)$ $01(7.6\%)$ Diabetes Meliitus $08(11.7\%)$ $07(53.8\%)$ 0.247 Hypertension $05(7.3\%)$ $04(3.1\%)$ $01(7.6\%)$ Rheumatoid $03(4.4\%)$ $01(7.6\%)$ 0.001 Ischemic Heart $06(8.8\%)$ $00(0\%)$ $00(0\%)$ Type of wound Clean or clean $50(73.5\%)$ $06(46.2\%)$ 0.059 Contaminated $50(73.5\%)$ $06(46.2\%)$ 0.059	>50 years	16(23.6%)	09(69.3%)		
Male $48(70.6\%)$ $07(53.8\%)$ 0.247 Female $20(29.4\%)$ $06(46.2\%)$ 0.247 Comorbid illness 0.247 No $43(63.2\%)$ $01(7.6\%)$ Diabetes Meliitus $08(11.7\%)$ $07(53.8\%)$ Hypertension $05(7.3\%)$ $04(3.1\%)$ Rheumatoid $03(4.4\%)$ $01(7.6\%)$ Ischemic Heart $06(8.8\%)$ $00(0\%)$ Type of wound Clean or clean $50(73.5\%)$ $06(46.2\%)$ Contaminated $50(73.5\%)$ $06(46.2\%)$ 0.059	Gender				
Female $20(29.4\%)$ $06(46.2\%)$ 0.247 Comorbid illness No $43(63.2\%)$ $01(7.6\%)$ Diabetes Meliitus $08(11.7\%)$ $07(53.8\%)$ $Hypertension$ $05(7.3\%)$ $04(3.1\%)$ Rheumatoid $03(4.4\%)$ $01(7.6\%)$ 0.001 arthritis $06(8.8\%)$ $00(0\%)$ 0.001 Type of wound Clean or clean contaminated $50(73.5\%)$ $06(46.2\%)$ 0.059 Contaminated or $18(26.5\%)$ $07(52.8\%)$ 0.059	Male	48(70.6%)	07(53.8%)	0.247	
Comorbid illness No 43(63.2%) 01(7.6%) Diabetes Meliitus 08(11.7%) 07(53.8%) Hypertension 05(7.3%) 04(3.1%) Rheumatoid 03(4.4%) 01(7.6%) Ischemic Heart 06(8.8%) 00(0%) Type of wound Clean or clean 50(73.5%) 06(46.2%) Contaminated $18(26.5\%)$ $07(52.8\%)$ 0.059	Female	20(29.4%)	06(46.2%)		
No 43(63.2%) 01(7.6%) Diabetes Meliitus 08(11.7%) 07(53.8%) Hypertension 05(7.3%) 04(3.1%) Rheumatoid 03(4.4%) 01(7.6%) Ischemic Heart 06(8.8%) 00(0%) Type of wound Clean or clean 50(73.5%) 06(46.2%) Contaminated $18(26.5\%)$ $07(52.8\%)$ 0.059	Comorbid illness				
Diabetes Meliitus $08(11.7\%)$ $07(53.8\%)$ Hypertension $05(7.3\%)$ $04(3.1\%)$ Rheumatoid $03(4.4\%)$ $01(7.6\%)$ arthritis $06(8.8\%)$ $00(0\%)$ Ischemic Heart $06(8.8\%)$ $00(0\%)$ Type of wound Clean or clean $50(73.5\%)$ $06(46.2\%)$ Contaminated $18(26.5\%)$ $077(52.8\%)$ 0.059	No	43(63.2%)	01(7.6%)		
Hypertension $05(7.3\%)$ $04(3.1\%)$ (3.1%) Rheumatoid $03(4.4\%)$ $01(7.6\%)$ (3.1%) arthritis $03(4.4\%)$ $01(7.6\%)$ (3.1%) Ischemic Heart $06(8.8\%)$ $00(0\%)$ (3.1%) Type of wound (2.2%) (2.2%) (0.059) Contaminated (3.2%) (3.2%) (0.059)	Diabetes Meliitus	08(11.7%)	07(53.8%)	<0.001	
Rheumatoid arthritis $03(4.4\%)$ $01(7.6\%)$ <0.001 Ischemic Heart disease $06(8.8\%)$ $00(0\%)$ $Type of wound<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<$	Hypertension	05(7.3%)	04(3.1%)		
Ischemic Heart disease06(8.8%)00(0%)Type of wound00(0%)Clean or clean contaminated50(73.5%)06(46.2%)Contaminated or Contaminated or18/26.5%)0.059	Rheumatoid arthritis	03(4.4%)	01(7.6%)		
Type of woundClean or clean contaminated50(73.5%)06(46.2%)Contaminated or19(20.5%)0.059	Ischemic Heart disease	06(8.8%)	00(0%)		
Clean or clean contaminated $50(73.5\%)$ $06(46.2\%)$ Contaminated or $18/2(5\%)$ 0.059	Type of wound				
contaminated 50(75.5%) 60(40.2%) 0.059 Contaminated or 19/20 5%) 07(52.8%) 0.059	Clean or clean	50(73.5%)	06(46.2%)	0.059	
Contaminated or	contaminated				
dirty 18(26.5%) 07(53.8%)	Contaminated or dirty	18(26.5%)	07(53.8%)		

DISCUSSION

Iatrogenic bile duct injury was not very uncommon in patients undergoing various hepatobiliary procedures in our liver unit. Key usually lies in early detection and management of this serious complications. Hepaticojejunostomy is usually the procedure offered after the iatrogenic bile duct injury. As this is the procedure done to counter one serious complications so surgical teams usually become very cautious about safety and prevention of complications in after this procedure. No surgery could be totally free from complications and chance of error remains there. We at our liver transplant unit studied patients of iatrogenic bile injury undergoing hepaticojejunostomy for 10 months and evaluated them for any complications and assessed the risk factors associated with presence of complications.

Popa *et al.,* in 2022 published a retrospective analysis of bile duct injuries treated in a tertiary center of Romania. They revealed that 60% of the patients who had iatrogenic bile duct injury underwent hepaticojejunostomy and most of them had good outcome.¹⁵ 80 patients in 10 months with iatrogenic bile duct injury underwent hepaticojejunostomy at our centre. Out of them 13(16.1%) had one or more complications. Surgical site infection was the commonest short term complication seen in patients in our study.

A recent study published from Denmark analysed the long term outcome of patients undergoing heaticojejunostomies for bile duct injuries. They came up with the findings that complications were found in considerable number of patients and stricture rate was around 30%.16 Our study was slightly different as we studied short term outcome only and found out that early post-operative complications were uncommon not after hepaticojejunostomy procedure opted for repair of iatrogenic bile injuries. Patients who were more than 50 years of age and had comorbid medical illnesses were more at risk post-operative of early complications in our study.

A study from Cyprus summarized 6-year experience of management of bile duct injuries and concluded that mortality rate after management was around 2.4%. Almost 1/3rd of the patients had surgical management in their study.¹⁷ We only included patients who went surgical management for iatrogenic bile duct injuries and found that surgical management was safe and effective approach with limited

complications. Complications were found more in patients with age more than 50 years and having medical comorbid conditions.

A multicenter study from Egypt revealed that complications of surgical repair were seen less in patients who had complete ligation of the bile duct, level E1 bile duct injury or external stent.¹⁸ In our study more favourable outcome was seen in patients who were less than 50 years of age or those who did not have comorbid medical conditions. Still results of a single centre may not give true picture of what actually may be happening in all the clinical settings especially public sector hospitals.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

None.

LIMITATIONS OF STUDY

Short term complications related to surgery were looked for one week after the procedure therefore exact figures regarding all of all the complications could not be determined by this study. Multiple patient related, disease related, surgeon related and facility related factors could be associated with presence of complications which all could not be incorporated via this study design.

CONCLUSION

Early post-operative complications were not uncommon after hepaticojejunostomy procedure opted for repair of iatrogenic bile injuries. Patients who were more than 50 years of age and had comorbid medical illnesses were more at risk of early post-operative complications in our study.

Conflict of Interest: None.

Funding Source: None.

Authors' Contribution

Following authors have made substantial contributions to the manuscript as under:

BAC & MQB: Data acquisition, data analysis, critical review, approval of the final version to be published.

NM & AR: Study design, data interpretation, drafting the manuscript, critical review, approval of the final version to be published.

WFA & MS: Conception, data acquisition, drafting the manuscript, approval of the final version to be published.

Authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

REFERENCES

 Vitale A, Lai Q. New trends and perspectives in hepatobiliary surgery: preface. Transl Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018; 3: 99. <u>https://doi.org/10.21037/tgh.2018.11.06</u>

- Amreek F, Hussain SZM, Mnagi MH, Rizwan A. Retrospective Analysis of Complications Associated with Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy for Symptomatic Gallstones. Cureus 2019; 11(7): e5152. <u>https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.5152</u>
- Renz BW, Bösch F, Angele MK. Bile Duct Injury after Cholecystectomy: Surgical Therapy. Visc Med 2017; 33(3): 184-190. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000471818</u>
- Kapoor VK. Bile duct injury during cholecystectomy. Rozhl Chir 2015; 94(8): 312-315.
- Sharma S, Behari A, Shukla R, Dasari M, Kapoor VK. Bile duct injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: An Indian e-survey. Ann Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg 2020; 24(4): 469-476. <u>https://doi.org/10.14701/ahbps.2020.24.4.469</u>
- Pesce A, Palmucci S, La Greca G, Puleo S. Iatrogenic bile duct injury: impact and management challenges. Clin Exp Gastroenterol 2019; 12(3): 121-128.

https://doi.org/10.2147/CEG.S169492

- Moris D, Papalampros A, Vailas M, Petrou A, Kontos M, Felekouras E. The Hepaticojejunostomy Technique with Intra-Anastomotic Stent in Biliary Diseases and Its Evolution throughout the Years: A Technical Analysis. Gastroenterol Res Pract 2016; 2016(3): 3692096. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/3692096</u>
- A European-African HepatoPancreatoBiliary Association (E-AHPBA) Research Collaborative Study management group; Other members of the European-African HepatoPancreatoBiliary Association Research Collaborative. Post cholecystectomy bile duct injury: early, intermediate or late repair with hepaticojejunostomy an E-AHPBA multi-center study. HPB (Oxford) 2019; 21(12): 1641-1647.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hpb.2019.04.003

- Ismael HM, Cox S, Cooper A, Narula N, Aloia T. The morbidity and mortality of hepaticojejunostomies for complex bile duct injuries: a multi-institutional analysis of risk factors and outcomes using NSQIP. Hepat Pancreat Bil 2017; 19(3): 352-358.
- Hussain M, Khan AW, Khan MA, Muhammad S, Mehdi H, Butt O. Managing iatrogenic bile duct injuries through a multidisciplinary team approach: A SIUT case series. J Pak Med Assoc 2019; 69(10): 1559-1564.
- Otto W, Sierdziński J, Smaga J, Kornasiewicz O, Dudek K, Zieniewicz K. Actuarial Patency Rates of Hepatico-Jejunal Anastomosis after Repair of Bile Duct Injury at a Reference Center. J Clin Med 2022; 11(12): 3396. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11123396</u>
- De'angelis N, Catena F, Memeo R, Coccolini F, Martínez-Pérez A, Romeo OM, et al. 2020 WSES guidelines for the detection and management of bile duct injury during cholecystectomy. World J Emerg Surg 2021; 16(1): 30. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13017-021-00369-w</u>
- Tekant Y, Serin KR, İbiş AC, Ekiz F, Baygül A, Özden İ. Surgical reconstruction of major bile duct injuries: Long-term results and risk factors for restenosis. Surgeon 2022; S1479-666X(22)00052-X. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surge.2022.03.003</u>
- Antolovic D, Koch M, Galindo L, Wolff S, Music E, Kienle P et al. Hepaticojejunostomy--analysis of risk factors for postoperative bile leaks and surgical complications. J Gastrointest Surg 2007; 11(5): 555-561.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11605-007-0166-3

 Popa C, Schlanger D, Zaharie F, Graur F, Mois E et al. A retrospective analysis of bile duct injuries treated in a tertiary center: the utility of a universal classification-the ATOM classification [published online ahead of print, 2022 Aug 10]. Surg Endosc 2022; 10(3): 459.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-022-09497-z

 Stilling NM, Fristrup C, Wettergren A, Ugianskis A, Nygaard J, Holte K et al. Long-term outcome after early repair of iatrogenic bile duct injury. A national Danish multicentre study. Hepat Pancreat bil 2015; 17(4): 394-400. _____

- Çavuşoğlu SD, Doğanay M, Birben B, Akkurt G, Akgul Ö, Keşkek M. Management of Bile Duct Injuries: A 6-year Experience in a High Volume Referral Center. Euroasian J Hepatogastroenterol 2020; 10(1): 22-26. https://doi.org/10.5005/jp-journals-10018-1309
- El Nakeeb A, Sultan A, Ezzat H, Attia M, ElWahab MA, Kayed T et al. Impact of referral pattern and timing of repair on surgical outcome after reconstruction of post-cholecystectomy bile duct injury: A multicenter study. Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int 2021; 20(1): 53-60. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hbpd.2020.10.001</u>