

Comparison of Efficacy of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors (ACEIs) and Angiotensin Receptor Blockers (ARBs) in Delaying Diabetic Nephropathy

Fraz Tahir, Syeda Arsheen Rizvi, Faisal Naveed Akhtar

Department of Medicine, Pakistan Navy Ship Hafeez Hospital, Islamabad Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare the effect of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers on renal damage in terms of change in mean albumin-to-creatinine ratio.

Study Design: Cross-sectional study.

Study Place and Duration: PNS Hafeez, Islamabad, Pakistan, from Feb to Jul 2021.

Methodology: We included 1300 patients using non-probability purposive sampling, divided into two groups. Patients aged between 30-60 years, suffering from Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus with detectable microalbuminuria having Urine Albumin to Creatinine Ratio >30mg/g, before starting the medication with either angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or ARB, were considered for inclusion. Patients with ischemic heart disease, vasculitis, glomerulonephritis, protein-losing enteropathy, liver disease, malignancy, urinary tract infection, and any contraindication of ACE inhibitor and ARBs were excluded.

Results: In Group A, the duration of disease was 9.22 ± 3.45 years, and in Group B, it was 9.11 ± 3.58 years. The delay in nephropathy progression between the two groups was established by measuring the mean change in ACR after 3 months in both groups. It was established that angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (mean change 0.93 ± 0.16 mg/g) was adequately efficient in delaying the albuminuria over three months of treatment than ARBs (mean change 1.097 ± 0.26 mg/g) ($p < .001$).

Conclusion: In comparison, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors were more adequate than angiotensin receptor blockers in creating change in mean albumin-to-creatinine ratio.

Keywords: Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors (ACEIs), Albumin-creatinine ratio, Angiotensin Receptor Blockers (ARBs), Diabetic nephropathy.

How to Cite This Article: Tahir F, Rizvi SA, Akhtar FN. Comparison of Efficacy of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors (ACEIs) and Angiotensin Receptor Blockers (ARBs) in Delaying Diabetic Nephropathy. Pak Armed Forces Med J 2025; 75(2): 258-261. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51253/pafmj.v75i2.9146>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a condition detected by presence of high glucose concentration in blood which causes glycosylation of glomerular proteins, leading to manifold changes in the structural unit of the kidneys, through deposition of extracellular matrix which causes proliferative changes and atrophy of the kidney tissue.^{1,2} Due to the kidney's permeability changes, loss of proteins in the urine occurs, termed as microalbuminuria, and derangement in the glomerular filtration of the waste products leads to permanent kidney damage.³ The prevalence of diabetes has been increasing in recent times with the World Health Organization (WHO) stating that the percentage of Type 2 Diabetes accounts for over 90% individuals globally.⁴ Findings in literature suggest that 40% of the individuals with Diabetes, both Type 1 and 2, develop kidney failure with albuminuria in less than 20 years if not managed,⁵ with more than 20%

affected individuals in Pakistan alone,⁶ however, one author established that nephropathy was noted in 31% of the sample collected from Karachi, Pakistan.⁷ A randomized controlled trial conducted in Kohat, Pakistan revealed that both ARBs and ACEI had a similar effect on ACR in patients with diabetes,⁸ which was unlike the results of another study,⁹ which stated that ARBs are more effective. Hence, the rationale of our study was to compare ARBs and ACEIs in Pakistani patients, as ACEI are generally cheaper than ARBs,¹⁰ and are frequently prescribed. Our aim was to evaluate the effects of ACEI versus ARBs in delaying the progression of diabetic nephropathy.

METHODOLOGY

This was a cross-sectional study carried out at the Medicine Unit of PNS Hafeez, Islamabad, Pakistan, from February to July 2021. The ethics committee of the hospital permitted the study via letter IRB: A-345/6. All individuals were informed about the study and consent was attained while maintaining confidentiality. Sample size was calculated by using WHO sample size calculator, with 18.8%,¹¹ prevalence

Correspondence: Dr Syeda Arsheen Rizvi, Department of Medicine, Pakistan Navy Ship Hafeez Hospital, Islamabad Pakistan

Received: 12 Aug 2022; revision received: 29 Sep 2022; accepted: 04 Oct 2022

of diabetic nephropathy, 95% confidence level, 80% study power, and 10% precision. ACR reference value of 30mg/g was considered with a sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 100%.^{12,13} A total sample of 1300 was collected during the study period, which fulfills the minimum requirement in order to test the hypothesis. The sampling technique we used was non-probability purposive sampling.

Inclusion Criteria: Individuals of both genders between 30-60 years of age were included along with individuals having only Diabetes Mellitus Type 2 with detectable microalbuminuria (ACR>30mg/g) before starting the medication no longer than three months prior.

Exclusion Criteria: Individuals on dialysis, with ischemic heart disease, vasculitis, glomerulonephritis, protein-losing enteropathy, liver disease, malignancy, urinary tract infection and taking medication other than ACEI and ARBs were excluded.

Individuals taking Losartan (ARB) in a dose of 50 mg per day were considered for analysis, post-data collection, as Group A, while patients taking Enalapril (ACEI), in a dose of 10 mg per day, were considered as Group B for comparison and data analysis. Pre-medication and post-medication (3 months later) spot urine test reports of all patients were documented in a data collection tool through laboratory records and ACR was calculated. Other information retrieved from the reports included duration of disease, comorbidities and medication history. Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 23 was used to compile and analyze the data. T-test was applied to evaluate the difference in pre-medication and post-medication urine ACR calculated for each group while the *p*-value ≤ 0.05 was considered as significant.

RESULTS

The mean age of individuals in Group A (ARBS) was 42.66±9.55 years, and in Group B (ACEI) it was 42.06±9.32 years. Out of 1300 patients, 744(57%) were males, and 556(43%) were females. The total number of males (373,57%) was found to be more in Group A as compared to females (277,43%). Similarly, the total number of males (371,57%) was more in the Group B as compared to the females (279,43%). The delay in nephropathy progression in the two groups was established by measuring the mean change in urine ACR by comparing pretreatment medical reports and post treatment, three months after medication. It was established that ACEI was significantly more efficient in delaying albuminuria over three months of

treatment than ARBS (*p*-value<0.001). This was established by comparing the means of the difference of pre and post treatment ACR levels between the two groups (ACEI: 0.93±0.16 < ARBS: 1.097±0.26, *p*-value=<0.001).

Table-I: Comparison of Means of Change in the Albumin-to-Creatinine Ratio (n=1300)

Mean change in albumin-to-creatinine ration with treatment (mg/g)	Groups		<i>p</i> -value (≤ 0.05)
	Group A (ARB)	Group B (ACEI)	
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	< 0.001
	1.097±0.26	0.93±0.16	

Table-II: Means of Change in Albumin-to-Creatinine Ratio with Age (n=1300)

Parameters	Group 1 (30-40 years) (n=633)	Group 2 (41-50 years) (n=296)	Group 3 (51-60 years) (n=371)	<i>p</i> -value (≤ 0.05)
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	
Mean change in albumin to creatinine ratio with treatment (mg/g)	1.00±0.245	1.01±0.224	1.02±0.217	0.669

*SD: Standard Deviation

The groups were further categorized into subgroups of five- and ten-years age-groups for disease duration comparison. The comparison of means of the difference of ACR pre and post treatment between the age-groups was evaluated for the two-drug regimens under study (Group 1 mean: 1.00±0.245, Group 2: 1.01±0.224 and Group 3: 1.02±0.217, *p*=0.669).

Table-III: Inter-Group Comparison (Post-Hoc Analysis) (n=1300)

Parameters	Grou p 1 VS Grou p 2	Grou p 2 VS Grou p 3	Grou p 1 VS Grou p 3	Grou p 2 VS Grou p 4	Grou p 1 VS Grou p 4	Grou p 3 VS Grou p 4
	<i>p</i> -value (≤ 0.05)	<i>p</i> -value (≤ 0.05)	<i>p</i> -value (≤ 0.05)	<i>p</i> -value (≤ 0.05)	<i>p</i> -value (≤ 0.05)	<i>p</i> -value (≤ 0.05)
	Mean change in albumin to creatinine ratio with treatment	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

The duration of Diabetes Mellitus was found to be almost equal among the two groups with Group A

reporting 9.22±3.45 years, which was almost equal Group B, having 9.11±3.58 years. The mean change in the outcomes with respect to the duration of disease did not show significant difference.

Table-IV: Means of Change in ACR with Duration of Disease (n=1300)

Mean change in Albumin to Creatinine ratio with treatment (mg/g)	Group1 (Less than 10 years) (n=1023)	Group 2 (More than 10 years) (n=277)	p-value (≤ 0.05)
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	
	1.01±0.231	1.00±0.23	0.361

DISCUSSION

Our study showed slightly better efficacy of ACEI over ARBS in maintaining the mean ACR over six months, similar to results reported by another author,¹⁴ who measured the kidney functions through glomerular filtration rate and albumin-to-creatinine ratio. More studies^{14,15} have reported showing that ACEI is more efficacious than ARB's in protecting renal functions in patients with diabetes. Another author,¹⁷ reported that both ACEI and ARBS significantly reduced proteinuria progression in patients suffering from Diabetes Mellitus Type 2. Contrary to our results, one researcher,⁹ reported an analysis of 26 RCTs, among which 20 included the efficacy of ACEI and six ARBS, where ARB's treatment decreased albuminuria in individuals with diabetes and hence end stage renal disease risk while ACEI also showed maintenance of creatinine levels but less significant than ARB's. On researcher,¹⁸ also had findings contrary to our research, reporting that ACEI and ARBs had a similar effect on changes in ACR in Indonesian population similar to another author,¹⁹ who also supported that ACEI and ARBs had no difference in decreasing or keeping the ACR constant. Elsaifa E *et al.*²⁰ showed that ACEI and ARBS are effective in protecting against proteinuria with ARBs found to have low toxicity profile and better tolerance among patients. In contrast to our results, Ruggenenti P *et al.*²¹ reported that ARBS were more effective than ACEI in delaying the progression to advanced kidney disease. Our study showed that ACEIs can prove more effective in Type 2 Diabetes with proteinuria. This warrants more work on comparison of individual drugs in reducing ACR and preventing renal functional deterioration.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We sincerely thank Dr. Quratulain Zamir, for her assistance in preparation of the manuscript.

LIMITATIONS OF STUDY

Our study limitations included short duration of study leading to reduced inability to form and conclusions about the long-term effects of the individual drugs over the renal functions.

CONCLUSION

When compared to angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) demonstrated superior efficacy in reducing the mean albumin-to-creatinine ratio (ACR), a key biomarker for kidney function. These findings underscore the clinical relevance of drug selection when targeting proteinuria improvement.

Conflict of Interest: None.

Funding Source: None.

Authors' Contribution

Following authors have made substantial contributions to the manuscript as under:

FT & SAR: Study design, drafting the manuscript, data interpretation, critical review, approval of the final version to be published.

FNA: Data acquisition, data analysis, approval of the final version to be published.

Authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

REFERENCES

1. Cho NH, Shaw JE, Karuranga S, et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global estimates of diabetes prevalence for 2017 and projections for 2045. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2018; 138: 271-281. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2018.02.023>
2. Sun H, Saeedi P, Karuranga S, et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence estimates for 2021 and projections for 2045. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2022; 183: 109119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109119>
3. Umanath K, Lewis JB. Update on Diabetic Nephropathy: Core Curriculum 2018. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2018; 71(6): 884-895. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2017.10.026>
4. Zhang XX, Kong J, Yun K. Prevalence of Diabetic Nephropathy among Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in China: A Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. *J Diabetes Res* 2020; 2020: 2315607. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/2315607>
5. Aldukhayel A. Prevalence of diabetic nephropathy among Type 2 diabetic patients in some of the Arab countries. *Int J Health Sci* 2017; 11(1): 1-4.
6. Yaqub S, Kashif W, Raza MQ et al. General practitioners' knowledge and approach to chronic kidney disease in Karachi, Pakistan. *Indian J Nephrol* 2013; 23(3): 184-190. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0971-4065.115131>

Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors

7. Abro M, Zafar AB, Fawwad A et al. Prevalence of diabetic microvascular complications at a tertiary care unit Karachi, Pakistan. *Int J Diabetes Dev Ctries* 2019; 39(2): 325-330. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13410-018-0683-5>
 8. Tahir M, Hassan WU, Alam HM. Comparison of efficacy of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEIs) vs angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs) in management of microalbuminuria among normotensive type 2 diabetic patients. *Pak Armed Forces Med J* 2016; 66(2): 258-261.
 9. Wang K, Hu J, Luo T et al. Effects of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors and Angiotensin II Receptor Blockers on All-Cause Mortality and Renal Outcomes in Patients with Diabetes and Albuminuria: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Kidney Blood Press Res* 2018; 43(3): 768-779. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000489827>
 10. Zafar S, Khan MK, Pervaiz A et al. Antihypertensive Medication Prescription Patterns and Cost Minimization Possibilities in Pakistan. *Lat Am J Pharm* 2020; 39(9): 1766-1771.
 11. Wu AY, Kong NC, De Leon FA et al. An alarmingly high prevalence of diabetic nephropathy in Asian type 2 diabetic patients: the MicroAlbuminuria Prevalence (MAP) Study. *Diabetologia* 2005;48(1):17-26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-004-1607-8>
 12. Patil P, Shah V, Shah B. Comparison of spot urine protein creatinine ratio with 24 hour urine protein for estimation of proteinuria. *J Assoc Physicians India* 2014; 62(5): 406-410.
 13. Kamińska J, Dymicka-Piekarska V, Tomaszewska J et al. Diagnostic utility of protein to creatinine ratio (P/C ratio) in spot urine sample within routine clinical practice. *Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci* 2020; 57(5): 345-364. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408363.2020.1776676>
 14. Zheng CM, Wang JY, Chen TT et al. Author Correction: Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blocker monotherapy retard deterioration of renal function in Taiwanese chronic kidney disease population. *Sci Rep* 2020;10(1):6631. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-63162-w>
 15. Zhang Y, He D, Zhang W et al. ACE Inhibitor Benefit to Kidney and Cardiovascular Outcomes for Patients with Non-Dialysis Chronic Kidney Disease Stages 3-5: A Network Meta-Analysis of Randomised Clinical Trials. *Drugs* 2020;80(8):797-811. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-020-01292-3>
 16. Liu Y, Ma X, Zheng J et al. Effects of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers on cardiovascular events and residual renal function in dialysis patients: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMC Nephrol* 2017; 18(1): 206. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-017-0619-4>
 17. Coleman CI, Weeda ER, Kharat A et al. Impact of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers on renal and mortality outcomes in people with Type 2 diabetes and proteinuria. *Diabetic Medicine*. 2020; 37(1): 44-52.
 18. Agustina PS, Yunir E, Prawiroharjo P, et al. Comparison of effects of ACEIs and ARBs on albuminuria and hyperkalemia in Indonesian hypertensive type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. *Int J Hypertens* 2020; 5342161. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5342161>
 19. Puspita FM, Yunir E, Agustina PS, et al. Effect of angiotensin receptor blocker and angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor on kidney function and blood potassium level in Indonesian type 2 diabetes mellitus with hypertension: A three-month cohort study. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes* 2021; 14: 3841-3849. <https://doi.org/10.2147/DMSO.S325109>
 20. Elsafa E, Ali PZ. Protective effect of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) and angiotensin II receptor antagonists (ARBs) on microalbuminuria in diabetic patients. *Clin Diabetol* 2020; 9(3): 193-200. <https://doi.org/10.5603/DK.2020.0019>
 21. Ruggenenti P, Trillini M, Barlovic DP, et al. Effects of valsartan, benazepril and their combination in overt nephropathy of type 2 diabetes: A prospective, randomized, controlled trial. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2019; 21(5): 1177-1190. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.13651>
-