Open Access Original Article

Postpartum Depression among Mothers of Low-Birth-Weight Babies

Hira Shafqat, Abeera Choudry, Hamza Rizwan*, Sadaf Mumtaz, Zainab Abbas Mirza, Aleena Khan**

Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, Pak Emirates Military Hospital, Rawalpindi / National University of Medical Sciences (NUMS) Pakistan, *Department of Medicine, Combined Military Hospital, Rawalpindi/National University of Medical Sciences (NUMS), Pakistan, **Department of Public Health, Armed Forces Institute of Cardiology, Rawalpindi/National Institute of Heart Diseases/National University of Medical Sciences (NUMS), Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the Postpartum depression among mothers of low-birth weight babies and its associated risk factors. *Study Design*: Cross-sectional analytical study.

Place and Duration of Study: Pak Emirates Military Hospital, Rawalpindi Pakistan, from Jun to Dec 2021.

Methodology: A total of 144 women (44 with low-birth weight (LBW) and 100 with normal-birth-weight babies) were enrolled using a consecutive sampling technique. Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) was used to assess postpartum depression (PPD). Variables included age, education status, ethnicity, occupation, parity, socioeconomic status, BMI, gestational age at delivery, mode of delivery, comorbidity, antenatal depression, past or family history, suicidal attempts, history of antidepressants, and stressful life events. social support, sleep deprivation, birth weight, gender, feeding practice, length of hospital stays, stay with mother in neonatal period, and ongoing illness.

Results: Mothers of LBW babies had a higher rate of PPD 47.4% as compared to mothers of normal birth weight babies 23.0%. Lower socioeconomic status (p=0.018), preterm delivery (p=0.003), stressful life events (p=0.03), lack of social support by in-laws (p=0.05), antenatal depression (p=0.001), baby not being with mother (p=0.003), bottle-fed babies (p<0.0001), ongoing illness (p=0.002), and prolonged hospital stay (p<0.0001) were associated with PPD with significant p-values.

Conclusion: Health care workers need to be mindful that a low-birth weight baby increases the risk of developing PPD in mothers. Prevention revolves around social support and building confidence among these mothers.

Keywords: Postpartum depression, low-birth weight babies, mothers, risk factors

How to Cite This Article: Shafqat H, Choudry A, Rizwan H, Mumtaz S, Mirza ZA, Khan A. Postpartum Depression among Mothers of Low-Birth-Weight Babies. Pak Armed Forces Med J 2025; 75(Suppl-7): S1110-S1116. DOI: https://doi.org/10.51253/pafmj.v75iSUPPL-7.8557

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Maternal postpartum depression (PPD) is defined as persistent low mood, along with symptoms of feeling low, useless, irritable, and tearful, felt by mothers in their postpartum period. The incidence of this psychiatric disorder is 10 to 15 percent, but it is much higher in the developing world.² Among South Asian countries, Pakistan has the highest prevalence of postpartum depression, reaching up to 63 % in some studies.³ Worldwide statistics say that 7 to 12 percent of all births belong to preterm births, and low income countries are contributing significantly to this issue leading to more low birth weight babies.4 PPD is dangerous for both the mother and the baby, resulting in maternal mental disorders, infanticide, increased suicidal rates, and late sequelae involves children with growth and behavioral problems.⁵ The Edinburgh postpartum depression scale (EPDS) is a 10-item questionnaire used to evaluate PPD in mothers. Treatment includes supportive therapy, cognitive behavioral therapy, and pharmacological

Correspondence: Dr Hira Shafqat, Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, Pak Emirates Military Hospital, Rawalpindi Pakistan Received: 14 Apr 2022; revision received: 03 Sep 2025; accepted: 03 Sep 2025

management. Several risk factors for PPD have been identified in multiple studies, but the association with birth weight has seldom been made clear. The objective of our study is to determine the rate of postpartum depression among mothers with low-birth-weight babies and their association with other risk factors.

METHODOLOGY

The cross-sectional analytical study was conducted at Pak Emirates Military Hospital Rawalpindi, Pakistan from June to December 2021. Sample size of 144 was calculated by taking the prevalence of post-partum depression as 15%, at 95% Confidence level and 5% margin of error using the WHO sample size calculator. Non-probability consecutive sampling technique was used to collect data.

Inclusion Criteria: Pregnant patients who delivered an alive baby after 28 completed weeks of gestation and were willing to participate were included in the study.

Exclusion Criteria: Women with an anomalous baby or twin gestation and postpartum or intrapartum

Low-Birth-Weight Babies

complications. Data was collected from patients at 6 weeks postpartum after taking written informed

consent who met the inclusion criteria.

After receiving approval from the Hospital's

(a-100 Frequency (b) (a-10) Frequency (c)	Table-I: Characteristics and Socio-demographic	of Mothers of Normal and Low-Birth-Weight Ba Normal Birth-Weight Mothers	hers of Normal and Low-Birth-Weight Babies (n=144) Normal Birth-Weight Mothers Low-Birth-Weight Mothers		
Age (cons) Indust51)	Variables			<i>p</i> -value	
Indication	Maternal Characteristics				
Illibrate Astroclube 17(70%) 8(18.2%) 1		23.90±2.95	25.90± 4.00	0.01	
Intermediate 64(44.0%) 30/27% 0.22 Graduate 19(19.0%) 0.12(15.1%) 0.32 Graduate 19(19.0%) 0.12(15.1%) 0.12 Graduate 19(19.0%) 30/27% 0.32 Graduate 19(19.0%) 30/28% 0.32 Graduate 19(19.0%) 30/28% 0.32 Graduate 19(10.0%) 30/28% 0.32 Graduate 11(10.0%) 1.2.3% 0.42 Graduate 11(10.0%) 3.4(10.0%) 0.47 Graduate 11(10.0%) 3.4(10.0%) 0.40 Graduate 11(10.0%) 3.4(10.0%) 0.40 Graduate 11(10.0%) 3.4(10.0%) 0.40 Graduate 11(10.0%) 3.4(10.0%) 0.44 Graduate 11(10.0%) 3.4(10.0%) 0.40 Graduate 11(10.		17(17.0%)	8(18.2%)		
Graduate 19(190%) 04(91%)	Intermediate			0.32	
Purple	Graduate				
Urdus spooking	Ethnicity**	` '	. , ,		
Reathmark 3(3.0%) 3 (6.8%) 0.42 Pathan 13(3.0%) 3 (6.8%) 0.42 Pathan 13(3.0%) 3 (6.8%) 0.42 Pathan 14(1.0%) 1 (2.3%) Pathan 14(1.0%) 2 (4.5%) Pathan 14(1.0%) 3 (7.0%) Pathan	Punjabi				
Pathan					
Hinds				0.42	
Cibers 4(40%) 1 (2.3%)					
BMI (Kg/m)** 52-29	Others				
25-29 9 62 (620%) 34 (770%) 0.17 30 and above 14 (140%) 2 (145%) 2 (145%) 0.10 Occupation Housewife 88 (89.0%) 33 (75.0%) 0.10 Socio-economic status Lower 71 (71.0%) 35 (79.5%) 0.54 Middle 29 (29.0%) 9 (20.5%) 0.54 Gestational Age at delivery (weeks) (MeantSD) 39.2341.50 33.341.05 <0.001 Farity 1 44 (44.0%) 35 (79.5%) 0.001 Farity 1 44 (44.0%) 35 (79.5%) 0.001 Farity 2-3 47 (70.0%) 9 (20.5%) 0.001 Farity 3-4 (74.7%) 9 (20.5%) 0.001 Farity 3-4 (7	BMI (Kg/m²)**		, , ,		
30 and above	18-24.5				
Occupation Housewife 89 (89.0%) 33 (75.0%) 0.10				0.17	
Housewife 89 (99.0%) 33 (75.0%) 0.10 Working		14 (14.0%)	2 (4.5%)		
Working 11 (110%) 11 (25%) 0.10	•	80 (80 0%)	22 (75 0%)		
Socio-economic status				0.10	
Lower 71/710% 35 (79.5%) 0.54 Middle 29 (29.0%) 9 (20.5%) 0.54 Gestational Age at delivery		11 (11.0%)	11 (20.0%)		
Middle 29 (29 0%) 9 (20.5%) 0.94	Lower	71(71.0%)	35 (79.5%)	0.54	
(weels, (MeantSD) 39.281.50 33.381.05 <0.001 Parity	Middle	29 (29.0%)		0.54	
Parity 1					
1 44(44.0%) 35(79.5%) 0.001 4 or more 9 9 (9.0%) 0.001 4 or more 9 9 (9.0%) 0.005 4 or more 9 9 (9.0%) 0.005 Who doe of delivery SVD SVD SVD SVD SVD SVD SVD SV		39.23±1.50	33.3±1.05	< 0.001	
2.3		44/44.00()	25/50.50()		
4 or more 9 (9.0%) 0(0%) 0(0%) Mode of delivery SVD 48(48.0%) 23(52.3%) 0.7 14(47.7%) 0.7 15(5CS 52(52.0%) 21(47.7%) 0.7 15(10.0.0%) 4(100.0%) 0(0%) 0.03 16antip history Ves 9 (5(5.0%) 1 (2.3%) 0.6 No 95 (95.0%) 43 (97.7%) 0.6 Past history Yes 2 (2.0%) 0 (0%) 1(0.0%) 1.00 Whistory Yes 8 (8.0%) 1(2.5%) 0.27 Stressful life event Yes 8 (8.0%) 1(2.5%) 0.27 Stressful life event Yes 9 (97.0%) 42 (95.5%) 0.6 Social support by Husband Yes 9 (97.0%) 40 (90.9%) 0.73 The laws Yes 9 (96.0%) 41 (93.2%) 0.6 Own family Yes 9 (98.0%) 41 (93.2%) 0.6 Own family Yes 9 (98.0%) 44 (100.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 9 (98.0%) 44 (100.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 9 (98.0%) 42 (95.5%) 0.6 Own family Yes 9 (98.0%) 43 (97.7%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 9 (98.0%) 44 (100.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 9 (98.0%) 43 (97.7%) 7.5 Succidal attempts Yes 9 (9.0%) 43 (97.7%) 7.5 Succidal attempts Yes 9 (9.0%) 7 (5.9%) 7 (5.9%) 1.00 Baby with mother in postnatal period Yes 9 (40.0%) 7 (5.5%) 0.6 Relationship difficulties with Husband Yes 9 (9.0%) 7 (5.5%) 0.6 Relationship difficulties with Husband Yes 9 (9.0%) 7 (5.5%) 7 (5.5%) 0.6 Relationship difficulties with Husband				0.001	
Mode of delivery				0.001	
SYD		(5.070)	0(0,0)		
SASS	SVD	48(48.0%)	23(52.3%)	0.7	
Yes	LSCS	52(52.0%)	21(47.7%)	0.7	
No					
Family history Yes 5(5.0%) 1 (2.3%) 0.6 Past history Yes 2 (2.0%) 0 (0%) 1.00 No 98(98.0%) 31(100.0%) 1.00 History of PPD Yes 8(8.0%) 1(2.3%) 0.27 Stressful life event Yes 3 (3.0%) 2 (4.5%) 0.6 Social support by Husband Yes 93 (93.0%) 40 (90.9%) 0.73 no 7 (7.0%) 4(9.1%) 1.10 The laws Yes 95 (95.0%) 41 (93.2%) 0.6 Own family Yes 98(8.0%) 41 (93.2%) 0.6 Own family Yes 98(8.0%) 44(100.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 98(98.0%) 44(100.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 99 (97.0%) 43(97.7%) ? Suicidal attempts Yes 9 (0%) 0 (0%) 1.00 Baby with nother in postnatal period Yes 94 (94.0%) 37 (84.1%) 0.06 Relationship difficulties with Husband Yes 94 (94.0%) 37 (84.1%) 0.06 Relationship difficulties with Husband Yes 3 (3.0%) 0 (0%) 0.06 Relationship difficulties with Husband Yes 3 (3.0%) 0 (0%) 0.06 Relationship difficulties with Husband				0.03	
Second S		90(90.0%)	44(100.0%)		
No 95 (95.0%) 43 (97.7%) 0.6 Past history Yes 2 (2.0%) 0 (0%) 31(100.0%) 1.00 No 98(98.0%) 31(100.0%) 1.00 History of PPD Yes 8 (8.0%) 1(2.3%) 0.27 Stressful life event Yes 3 (3.0%) 2 (4.5%) 0.6 Social support by Husband Yes 97 (97.0%) 42 (95.5%) 0.6 Social support by Husband Yes 98 (93.0%) 40 (90.9%) 0.73 In-laws Yes 99 (95.0%) 41 (93.2%) 0.6 Own family Yes 99 (95.0%) 41 (93.2%) 0.6 Own family Yes 98 (98.0%) 44 (100.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 3 (3.0%) 9 (44(100.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 3 (3.0%) 1 (2.3%) 2 (4.5%) 0.6 Suicidal attempts Yes 98 (98.0%) 44 (100.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 0 98(98.0%) 43 (97.7%) 2 (1.2%) 2	, ,	5(5.0%)	1 (2 3%)		
Past history Yes 2 (2.0%) 0 (0%) 1.00 No 98(98.0%) 31(100.0%) 1.00 History of PPD Yes 8 (8.0%) 1(2.3%) 0.27 Stressful life event Yes 3 (3.0%) 2 (4.5%) 0.6 No 97 (97.0%) 42 (95.5%) 0.6 Social support by Husband Yes 93 (93.0%) 40 (90.9%) 0.73 Yes 93 (93.0%) 40 (90.9%) 0.73 Yes 95 (95.0%) 41 (93.2%) 0.6 In-laws Yes 95 (95.0%) 3 (6.8%) 0.6 Own family Yes 98(98.0%) 44 (100.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 97 (97.0%) 42 (10.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 97 (97.0%) 43 (10.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 97 (97.0%) 43 (10.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 97 (10.0%) 1 (2.3%) 2 (10.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 97 (10.0%) 1 (10.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 97 (10.0%) 1 (10.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 97 (10.0%) 1 (10.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 97 (10.0%) 1 (10.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 97 (10.0%) 1 (10.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 97 (10.0%) 1 (10.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 97 (10.0%) 1 (10.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 97 (10.0%) 1 (10.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 97 (10.0%) 1 (10.0%) 1 (10.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 97 (10.0%) 1 (10.0				0.6	
No 98(98.0%) 31(100.0%) 1.00 History of PPD Yes 8(8.0%) 1(2.3%) 0.27 Stressful life event Yes 3(3.0%) 2 (4.5%) 0.6 Social support by Husband Yes 93 (93.0%) 40 (90.9%) 0.73 no 7 (7.0%) 40 (91.%) 0.6 Social support by Husband Yes 95 (95.0%) 3(6.8%) 0.6 Own family Yes 98 (98.0%) 41 (93.2%) 0.6 Own family Yes 98(98.0%) 44 (100.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 98(98.0%) 44 (100.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 97(97.0%) 43 (97.7%) ? Suicidal attempts Yes 9 (96.0%) 10 (100.0%) 10 (100.0%) 1.00 Baby with mother in postnatal period Yes 94 (94.0%) 37 (84.1%) 0.66 Relationship difficulties with Husband Yes 9 (96.0%) 7 (15.9%) 0.66 Relationship difficulties with Husband Yes 9 (94.0%) 7 (15.9%) 0.66	Past history				
No	Yes		0 (0%)	1.00	
Yes	No	98(98.0%)	31(100.0%)	1.00	
Stressful life event		2/2 22/2	1/2.22()		
Stressful life event Yes 3 (3.0%) 2 (4.5%) 0.6 No 97 (97.0%) 42 (95.5%) 0.6 Social support by Husband Yes 93 (93.0%) 40 (90.9%) 0.73 no 7 (7.0%) 4(91.%) 10.1 no 10.0 (100.0%) 1.00 100.0%) 1.00 100.0%) 1.00 100.0% 10.0 100.0% 10.00 100.0% 10.00 10.00 100.0% 10.00				0.27	
Yes 3 (3.0%) 2 (4.5%) 0.6 No 97 (97.0%) 42 (95.5%) 0.6 Social support by Husband Yes 93 (93.0%) 40 (90.9%) 0.73 no 7 (7.0%) 4(9.1%) 0.73 In-laws Yes 95 (95.0%) 41 (93.2%) 0.6 Own family Yes 98(98.0%) 44(100.0%) 1.00 Own family Yes 98(98.0%) 44(100.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 3(3.0%) 1 (2.3%) ? Suicidal attempts Yes 0 (0%) 0 (0%) - Suby with mother in postnatal period Yes 94 (94.0%) 37 (84.1%) 0.06 Yes 94 (94.0%) 37 (84.1%) 0.06 Relationship difficulties with Husband 0 (0%) 0 (0%) 0.06		92 (92.0%)	43(97.7 %)		
No 97 (97.0%) 42 (95.5%) 0.6 Social support by Husband Yes 93 (93.0%) 40 (90.9%) 0.73 no 7(7.0%) 4(9.1%) 1.0 In-laws Yes 95 (95.0%) 3(6.8%) 0.6 Own family Yes 98 (98.0%) 44(100.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 98 (98.0%) 44(100.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 3 (3.0%) 1 (2.3%) ? Suicidal attempts Yes 0 0 (0%) 43(97.7%) ? Suicidal attempts Yes 0 0 (0%) 0 (0%) Suicidal attempts Yes 0 0 (0%) 37 (84.1%) Baby with mother in postnatal period Yes 94 (94.0%) 7 (15.9%) 0.06 Relationship difficulties with Husband Yes 3 (3.0%) 0 (0%) 0.06 Relationship difficulties with Husband Yes 3 (3.0%) 0 (0%) 0.06		3 (3.0%)	2 (4.5%)		
Yes 93 (93.0%) 40 (90.9%) 0.73 In-laws Yes 95 (95.0%) 41 (93.2%) 0.6 Own family Yes 98 (98.0%) 44 (100.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 3(3.0%) 1 (2.3%) ? Yes 97 (97.0%) 43 (97.7%) ? Suicidal attempts Yes 0 (0%) 0 (0%) - no 100 (100.0%) 44 (100.0%) - Suicidal attempts Yes 9 (94.0%) 37 (84.1%) 0.06 Baby with mother in postnatal period Yes 94 (94.0%) 37 (84.1%) 0.06 Relationship difficulties with Husband Yes 3(3.0%) 0 (0%) 0.0%)	No			0.6	
Triangle	Social support by Husband		•		
In-laws	Yes			0.73	
Yes 95 (95.0%) 41 (93.2%) 0.6 Own family Yes 98 (98.0%) 44 (100.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 3 (3.0%) 1 (2.3%) ? Suicidal attempts 5 (0%) 43 (97.7%) 2 Suicidal attempts 0 (0%) 0 (0%) - Yes 0 (0%) 44 (100.0%) - Baby with mother in postnatal period 94 (94.0%) 37 (84.1%) 0.06 Relationship difficulties with Husband 40 (0%) 7 (15.9%) 0.06 Relationship difficulties with Husband - 0 (0%) 0 (0%) 0.53	no	7 (7.0%)	4(9.1%)		
Description		05 (05 08/)	41 (02 20)		
Own family Yes 98(98.0%) 44(100.0%) 1.00 Use of antidepressants Yes 3(3.0%) 1 (2.3%) ? no 97(97.0%) 43(97.7%) ? Suicidal attempts Yes 0 (0%) 0 (0%) - no 100 (100.0%) 44(100.0%) - Baby with mother in postnatal period Yes 94 (94.0%) 37 (84.1%) 0.06 No 6 (6.0%) 7 (15.9%) 0.06 Relationship difficulties with Husband Yes 3(3.0%) 0 (0%) 0.53				0.6	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		3(3.0%)	3(6.8%)		
No 1.00 1.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	98(98.0%)	44(100.0%)		
Use of antidepressants Yes 3(3.0%) 1 (2.3%) ? no 97(97.0%) 43(97.7%) ? Suicidal attempts Yes 0 (0%) 0 (0%) - no 100 (100.0%) 44(100.0%) - Baby with mother in postnatal period Yes 94 (94.0%) 37 (84.1%) 0.06 No 6 (6.0%) 7 (15.9%) 0.06 Relationship difficulties with Husband Yes 3(3.0%) 0 (0%) 0.53				1.00	
No 97(97.0%) 43(97.7%) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Use of antidepressants		` , ,		
No				?	
Yes 0 (0%) 0 (0%) - <		97(97.0%)	43(97.7%)	·	
100 (100.0%) 44(100.0%)	*	0 (00)	0.(02/)		
Baby with mother in postnatal period Yes 94 (94.0%) 37 (84.1%) 0.06 No 6 (6.0%) 7 (15.9%) 0.06 Relationship difficulties with Husband Yes 3(3.0%) 0 (0%) 0.53				-	
Yes $94 (94.0\%) \\ 80 (6.0\%) \\ 94 (94.0\%) \\ 97 (15.9\%) \\ 90.06$ Relationship difficulties with Husband Yes $3(3.0\%) \\ 94 (94.0\%) \\ 97 (15.9\%) \\ 90.06$		100 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)		
No 6 (6.0%) 7 (15.9%) 0.06 Relationship difficulties with Husband Yes 3(3.0%) 0 (0%) 0.53	, <u>1</u> 1	94 (94.0%)	37 (84.1%)		
Relationship difficulties with Husband Yes 3(3.0%) 0 (0%) 0.53	No			0.06	
	Relationship difficulties with Husband		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
No 97 (97.0%) 44 (100.0%) 0.33	Yes			0.53	
	No	97 (97.0%)	44 (100.0%)	0.33	

In-laws				
Yes	2 (2.0%)	4 (9.1%)	0.07	
No	98 (98.0%)	40 (90.9%)	0.07	
Family	<u> </u>		•	
Yes	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		
no	100(100.0%)	44 (100.0%)	-	
Sleep Deprivation				
Yes	13(13.0%)	8(18.2%)	0.44	
no	87(87.0%)	36 (81.8%)	0.44	
Maternal Co-Morbid				
Yes	5(5.0%)	9(20.5%)	0.01	
No	95(95.0%)	35(79.5%)	0.01	
Neonatal Characteristics Babies' Gender				
Male	39(39.0%)	16(36.4%)	0.76	
Female	61(61.0%)	28(63.6%)	0.76	
Feeding Practice				
Breast-fed	85(85.0%)	24(54.5%)	< 0.001	
Bottle-fed	15(15.0%)	20(45.5%)	<0.001	
Length of Hospital Stay				
Early neonatal period	9(9.0%)	22(50.0%)		
Neonatal period	47(47.0%)	3(6.8%)	< 0.001	
Not hospitalized	64(64.0%)	19(43.2%)		
Ongoing Illness				
Yes	5(5.0%)	8(18.2%)	0.02	
No	95(95.0%)	36(81.8%)	0.02	
EPDS Score				
>12	23 (23.0%)	21 (47.7%)	0.003	
< 12	77 (77.0%)	23 (52.3%)		

*BMI=Body Mass Index; SVD=Spontaneous Vaginal Delivery; LSCS=Lower Segment Cesarean Section; PPD=Postpartum depression; EPDS= Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale **Missing values

Ethical committee (EC-432/2022), the study was initiated. Patients were divided into two groups; 44 patients had low-birth-weight babies, while 100 mothers delivered babies with normal weight. Screening of postpartum depression was executed using the Edinburgh postpartum depression scale (EPDS), a 10-item self-report scale where a cut-off score of 12 or more was considered as positive for depression. EPDS is a reliable and valid tool with a sensitivity of 85% and a specificity of 77%. Urdu version was used by Hussain et al., for the participant's understanding. Demographic variables included age, education status, ethnicity, occupation, parity, socioeconomic status, and BMI. Maternal obstetric factors included gestational age at delivery, mode of delivery, and comorbidity. Psychiatric variables included antenatal depression, past history or family history of Post-partum depression, suicidal attempts, history of taking antidepressants, and any stressful life events. Social factors were socioeconomic status, support by family or husband, relationship difficulties with family or husband, and sleep deprivation. Among baby characteristics, birth weight, gender, feeding practice, length of hospital stays, stay with mother in neonatal period, and ongoing illness were checked. Patients were divided into two groups based on birth weight. The cut-off limit of normal birth weight was 2.5 kg.

Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26.00 was used to analyze data. The analysis of variables was presented in the form of frequencies and percentages for categorical variables and Mean±SD for continuous variables. Pearson Chi-square or Fisher's exact test was applied for categorical data to find association. An independent samples t-test was run for normally distributed continuous variables to make the comparison between both groups. The p-value of \leq 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

A total of 144 pregnant mothers participated in this study. Mean age of the patients was 23.90±2.95 years in the normal birth weight group and 25.90±4.00 years in the low-birth-weight group. Majority fell in 25-29.9 kg/m2 category of BMI. Mean Gestational age at delivery was 39.23±1.50 weeks in normal birth weight group and 33.3±1.05 weeks in low-birth-weight group. Table-I displays the comparison of the characteristics and sociodemographic of mothers of normal and low-birth-weight babies.

When maternal sociodemographic factors were independently assessed for their association with PPD, lower socioeconomic status (p=0.018), preterm delivery (p=0.003), stressful life events (p=0.03), lack of social support by in-laws (p=0.05), and antenatal depression (p=0.001) were associated with significant p-values as shown in Table-II.

When baby demographic variables were independently assessed, baby not being with mother in the postnatal period (p=0.003), mothers who could not breastfeed their newborn (p<0.001), ongoing illness of baby (p=0.002), and prolonged hospital stay of baby (p<0.001) were associated with significant p-values as shown in Table-III.

Mothers of low-birth-weight babies had a higher rate of postpartum depression (47.4%) as compared to mothers of normal birth-weight babies (23%). Analysis revealed a significant association between postpartum depression and low birth weight babies (p=0.003) as shown in Table-IV.

DISCUSSION

Depression postpartum is associated with

significant morbidity and mortality both physically ultimately socially which affects development and learning skills.7 In UK, Confidential Enquiry into deaths of mothers have emphasized that suicide in pregnancy and during the first postnatal year has become a major cause of maternal death.8 The overall prevalence of postpartum depression found in this study was 30 % which is in accordance to some recent studies.^{6,9} Studies in Asian population have consequences of postpartum shown negative depression on upcoming generations psychological support can lead to better outcomes both in terms of mental and physical health.¹⁰

Our analysis has shown that there is a significant difference in postpartum depression of mothers with

Variables	Depressed (n=44) Frequency (%)	Not Depressed (n=100) Frequency (%)	<i>p</i> -value
age (Years)		1	ı
Education	25.25±4.13	25.50±4.02	0.65
Illiterate	7/20 00/	19/73 09/)	0.24
Matriculate	7(28.0%)	18(72.0%)	0.34
Intermediate	27 (29.0%) 10 (43.0%)	69(70.0%) 13(56.0%)	
Graduate	10 (45.0%)	13(36.0%)	
Ethnicity	31(38.0%)	80(72.0%)	0.41
Punjabi	4(57.0%)	3(42.0%)	0.41
Urdu speaking	2 (33.0%)	4 (66.0%)	
Kashmiri	5(32.0%)	11(68.0%)	
Pathan	1(100.0%)	0(0%)	
Hindko	1(33.0%)	2(66.0%)	
Others	()	(,	
BMI (Kg/m2)**	13(46%)	15(53%)	0.15
18-24.5	23(26.7%)	63(73.2%)	
25-29.9	4(30.0%)	9(69.2%)	
30 and above Occupation	,	, ,	
Housewife	40(30.0%)	92(70.0%)	1.00
Working	4(30.0%)	8(70.0%)	
Socio-Economic Status**			
Lower			
Middle	25(24.6%)	77(75.4%)	0.01
Gestational Age at delivery (weeks)	19(47.5%)	21(52.5%)	
(Mean±SD)			
	35.80±3.20	37.70±3.60	0.003
Parity	30(37.0%)	49(62.0%)	0.162
1	13(24.0%)	43(76.0%)	
2-3	1(11.2%)	8(88.8%)	
4 or more			
Mode of Delivery**	25(34.0%)	46(64.0%)	0.23
SVD	19(82.0%)	4(18.0%)	
LSCS			
Relationship Difficulty With Husband			
Yes			
No	2(66.7%)	1(33.0%)	0.22
In-laws	44(30.0%)	99(69.0%)	
Yes	4/66 70()	2/22.20/	0.05
No Oran Francisco	4(66.7%)	2(33.3%)	0.07
Own Family Yes	40(29.0%)	98(71.0%)	
res no	0(0%)	0(0%)	
NO Sleep deprivation			_
Yes	44(30.5%)	100(69.5%)	
no	9(43.0%)	12(57.0%)	0.18
Maternal co-Morbids	35(29.0%)	88(71.0%)	0.10
Yes	33(27.076)	00(71.070)	
No	9(50.0%)	9(50.0%)	0.39
	38(29.0%)	91(70.5%)	0.57

Past History	1(70.0%) 43(31.0%)	2(30.0%) 98(69.0%)	1.00
Yes	45(51.0%)	98(69.0%)	
No	T(0T F0()	1/12 50()	
History of PPD	7(87.5%)	1(12.5%)	0.001
Yes	36(26.4%)	100(73.0%)	
no			
Stressful Life Event	4(80.0%)	1 (20.0%)	0.03
Yes	40(29.0%)	99(71.0%)	
No			
Social Support by			
Husband	40(30.0%)	93(70.0%)	0.73
Yes	4(36.0%)	7(64.0%)	0.75
No			
In-laws	37(28.0%)	97(72.0%)	0.05
Yes	5(62.5%)	3(37.5%)	0.03
no no			
	44(31.0%)	98(69.0%)	1.00
Own Family Yes	0(0%)	02(100%)	1.00
No Polosovith Mathemia Postavi I Posia I	` '	, ,	
Baby with Mother in Postnatal Period			
Yes	35(27.0%)	96(73.0%)	
No	9(69.0%)	4(31.0%)	0.003
BMI (Kg/m2)**	2 (0.107-)	-(/-)	
18-24.5	9(42.8%)	12(57.1%)	
25-29.9	10(43.0%)	13(57.0%)	0.03
30 and above	6(100.0%)	0(0%)	
Antenatal depression	0(100.070)	0(070)	
Yes	8(80.0%)	2(20.0%)	
No	36(27.0%)	98(73.0%)	0.001
History of Antidepressants	36(27.0%)	90(73.0%)	
Yes			
No	3(75.0%)	1(25.0%)	
Suicidal Attempt			0.085
Yes	41(30.0%)	99(70.0%)	
No	0/09/)	2(20)	
	0(0%)	0(0%)	-
	44(30.5%)	100(69.5%)	

^{*}BMI=Body Mass Index; SVD=Spontaneous Vaginal Delivery; LSCS=Lower Segment Cesarean Section; PPD=Postpartum depression; EPDS= Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale **Missing values

TABLE-III: Relationship of Baby's Demographic Variab	oles with Postpartum Depression (n=144)
	Low-Birth Weight Babies

Variables	Low-Birth Weight Babies (n=44) Frequency (%)	Normal Birth Weight Babies (n=100) Frequency (%)	<i>p</i> -value
Babies' Weight (kg)	riequency (70)	requercy (70)	
<2.5 >2.5	21(48.0%) 23(23.0%)	23(52.0%) 77(77.0%)	0.004
Babies' Gender			
Male Female	20(36.6%) 24(26.9%)	35(63.3%) 65(73.1%)	0.23
Feeding Practice			
Breast-fed Bottle-fed	24(22.1%) 20(57.0%)	85(77.9%) 15(43.0%)	<0.001
Length of Hospital Stay (days)**	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Early neonatal period Neonatal period Not hospitalized	18(58.1%) 3(60.0%) 14(46.6%)	13(41.9%) 2(40.0%) 16(53.4%)	<0.001
Ongoing Illness		·	
Yes No	9(69.2%) 35(26.7%)	4(30.7%) 96(73.2%)	0.002

Table-1v. 1 ostpartum Depression among withins of Low-Birth-vergit and Normal Birth Weight Bables (n=144)				
Variable	Mothers of Normal Birth Weight Babies Frequency (%)	Mothers of Low-Birth-Weight babies Frequency (%)	<i>p</i> -value	
Postpartum Depression	21(47.4 %)	23(23.0%)	0.003	

normal and low birth weight babies with a higher rate of depression among mothers having low birth weight babies. This was in conformity to an evidence based systemic review.¹¹ Social support by in laws and husband had a major impact on the presence of psychiatric illnesses like postpartum blues and depression. This has been emphasized in various studies where lack of social support was considered a

cause of PPD in immigrant African American women.¹² Two recently original articles found that when social support is increased, it could profoundly reduce the risk of PPD.13 In our study, the risk of depression was significantly higher among mothers who chose to bottle feed their newborn. This was consistent with a cross sectional study done at Hunan province, china in 2015. According to a research

conducted by Scime *et al.*, 2019, skin to skin care and baby being with mother in the postpartum period was associated with 1.04 % reduction in the rate of PPD. Our study also supported this fact by showing high rates of depression among mothers where baby was not kept with mother in the neonatal period. Our study revealed no association between sleep loss and postnatal depression which was not consistent to an updated review that pointed out that sleep disturbance affects day time alertness and productivity ultimately increasing PPD rates. ¹⁵

meta-analysis has illustrated depression among mothers with medical illnesses like diabetes mellitus.16 Our study fails to show any increased proportion of PPD among mothers with or without co-morbid. Some studies hypothetically say that insulin resistance co-occurs in both diabetics and patients with depressive illness hence supporting their association with each other.¹⁷ Several studies reveals strong positive associations between antenatal depression or depression pre pregnancy with postpartum depression which is consistent with our results.¹⁸ A quantitative study carried out by Hossein and colleagues pointed out a 63 % increase in PPD risk post cesarean section while lower rates were noted vaginal delivery.¹⁹ This after current demonstrates no association of mode of delivery with depressive illnesses postpartum.

Such higher rates of postnatal depression among new mothers brings us food for thought and workup needs to be done particularly at tertiary care level to provide support and encouragement to mothers. Adequate counselling of families and involvement of psychiatric team may prove to be beneficial in such cases.

LIMITATION OF STUDY

This study had some limitations. First, we could not assess all risk factors for depression postpartum and history of social support was only taken through maternal self-report hence the factor of bias could not be ruled out. Secondly, majority patients who presented to military hospital belonged to lower socioeconomic status. Thirdly, interventions to control this psychiatric illness has not been catered for in the current study, hence future endeavors are required to address this issue.

CONCLUSION

Giving birth to a low birth weight baby increases the risk of developing postpartum depression in mothers. Health care workers need to be mindful that prevention revolves around social support and building confidence

among mothers to nurture their newborn in the best possible ways.

Conflict of Interest: None. **Funding Source:** None.

Authors' Contribution

Following authors have made substantial contributions to the manuscript as under:

HS & AC: Data acquisition, data analysis, critical review, approval of the final version to be published.

HR & SM: Study design, data interpretation, drafting the manuscript, critical review, approval of the final version to be published.

ZAM & AK: Conception, data acquisition, drafting the manuscript, approval of the final version to be published.

Authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

REFERENCES

- Liu S, Yan Y, Gao X, Xiang S, Sha T, Zeng G, et al. Risk factors for postpartum depression among Chinese women: path model analysis. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2017; 17(1): 133. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-017-1320-x
- Norhayati MN, Nik Hazlina NH, Asrenee AR, Wan Emilin WMA. Magnitude and risk factors for postpartum symptoms: A literature review. J Affect Disord 2015; 175: 34-52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2014.12.041
- Klainin P, Arthur DG. Postpartum depression in Asian cultures: a literature review. Int J Nurs Stud 2009; 46(10): 1355-1373. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2009.02.012
- Sulaiman S, Premji S, Kanji Z, Azam I. Preterm Birth a Risk Factor for Postpartum Depression in Pakistani Women. Open J Depress 2013; 02: 72-81.
 - https://doi.org/10.4236/ojd.2013.24013.
- Goodman SH, Rouse MH, Connell AM, Broth MR, Hall CM, Heyward D. Maternal depression and child psychopathology: a meta-analytic review. Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev 2011; 14(1): 1-27. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10567-010-0080-1
- Fan Q, Long Q, De Silva V, Gunarathna N, Jayathilaka U, Dabrera T, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for postpartum depression in Sri Lanka: A population-based study. Asian J Psychiatry 2020; 47(1): 101855. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aip.2019.101855
- Abdollahi F, Zarghami M. Effect of postpartum depression on women's mental and physical health four years after childbirth. East Mediterr Health J 2018; 24(10): 1002-1009. https://doi.org/10.26719/2018.24.10.1002
- Bowyer L. The Confidential Enquiry into Maternal and Child Health (CEMACH). Saving Mothers' Lives: reviewing maternal deaths to make motherhood safer 2003–2005. The Seventh Report of the Confidential Enquiries into Maternal Deaths in the UK. Obstet Med 2008; 1(1): 54. https://doi.org/10.1258/om.2008.080017
- Vengadavaradan A, Bharadwaj B, Sathyanarayanan G, Durairaj J. Frequency and correlates of mother-infant bonding disorders among postpartum women in India. Asian J Psychiatr 2019; 44: 72-79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aip.2019.07.004
- Gajaria A, Ravindran AV. Interventions for perinatal depression in low and middle-income countries: A systematic review. Asian J Psychiatr 2018; 37: 112-120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajp.2018.08.014

Low-Birth-Weight Babies

- Zhao X-h, Zhang Z-h. Risk factors for postpartum depression: An evidence-based systematic review of systematic reviews and metaanalyses. Asian J Psychiatr 2020; 53: 102353. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102353
- Cannon C, Nasrallah HA. A focus on postpartum depression among African American women: A literature review. Ann Clin Psychiatry 2019; 31(2): 138-143.
- Li Y, Long Z, Cao D, Cao F. Social support and depression across the perinatal period: A longitudinal study. J Clin Nurs 2017; 26(17-18): 2776-2283. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.13817
- Scime NV, Gavarkovs AG, Chaput KH. The effect of skin-to-skin care on postpartum depression among mothers of preterm or low birthweight infants: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Affect Disord 2019; 253: 376-384. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2019.04.101
- Gao M, Hu J, Yang L, Ding N, Wei X, Li L, et al. Association of sleep quality during pregnancy with stress and depression: a prospective birth cohort study in China. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2019; 19(1): 444. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-019-2583-1

- Arafa A, Dong JY. Gestational diabetes and risk of postpartum depressive symptoms: A meta-analysis of cohort studies. J Affect Disord 2019; 253(1): 312-316.
 - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2019.05.001
- Hinkle SN, Buck Louis GM, Rawal S, Zhu Y, Albert PS, Zhang C. A longitudinal study of depression and gestational diabetes in pregnancy and the postpartum period. Diabetologia 2016; 59(12): 2594-602.
 - https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-016-4086-1
- Hymas R, Girard LC. Predicting postpartum depression among adolescent mothers: A systematic review of risk. J Affect Disord 2019; 246(4): 873-885.
 - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2018.12.041
- Moameri H, Ostadghaderi M, Khatooni E, Doosti-Irani A. Association of postpartum depression and cesarean section: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Epidemiol Glob Health 2019; 7(3): 471-480.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cegh.2019.02.009