ANTIBIOTIC PROPHYLAXIS - IS IT NECESSARY IN CLEAN GENERAL SURGERY?

Raheel Anjum, Muhammad Parvez

Combined Military Hospital Raheem Yar khan

ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this study was to compare the use of prophylactic antibiotics in reducing wound infection in clean elective general surgical operations with no use of prophylactic antibiotics in these operations.

Study Design: Randomized Controlled Trial (RCT)

Place and duration of study: Six months study from January 2007 to June 2007 conducted at surgical department of Combined Military Hospital Peshawar.

Patients and Method: One hundred and twenty patients were included in the study and divided into two groups A and B. Group A received prophylactic antibiotics and Group B did not both groups were compared for post operative wound infection.

Result: In Group A, one patient (1.6%) developed infection and in Group B, four patients (6.6%) developed infections. The results were not statistically significant by Chi-Square test (P > 0.5).

Conclusion: Preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis is not recommended routinely in clean general surgical operations.

Keywords: Antibiotics, Prophylaxis, Surgical wound infection.

INTRODUCTION

Wound infections are the commonest hospital acquired infections in surgical patients¹. It is one of the oldest known ailments after trauma and amputations². Post operative wound infections have an enormous impact on patient's quality of life and contribute substantially to the financial cost of patient care³ as well as prolonged hospitalization⁴. administered Appropriately antibiotic prophylaxis reduces the incidence of surgical wound infection⁵.

The optimum time for antibiotic administration is during the two hours before surgery. When antibiotics were given after this time, the infection rate increased progressively⁶.

Postoperative wound infection constitutes the most common postoperative complication⁷. Approximately one million patients have such wound infections each year in the United States, with disability lasting more than six months in 18%, extending the average hospital stay by one week, and increasing the cost of

Correspondence: Major Raheel Anjum, Surgical Specialist, CMH Raheem Yar Khan Email: raheelanjum50@yahoo.co.uk *Received: 26Oct 2009; Accepted: 12 May 2010*

hospitalization by 20%^{3,8}. There is common consensus that antibiotic prophylaxis is not indicated in clean surgery⁹⁻¹³, although a few still favor antibiotic prophylaxis in such cases¹⁴⁻²¹.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate whether the use of prophylactic antibiotics has an effect on postoperative wound infection in clean general surgical operations in our setup.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

These randomized controlled trials (RCT) were conducted in surgical department of Combined Military Hospital Peshawar from January 2007 to June 2007. One hundred and twenty consecutive patients under going clean elective general surgical operations were included in the study. Patients reporting to surgical OPD of Combined Military Hospital Peshawar for indoor/out door treatment for clean elective general surgical operations were included.

Operations included herniotomies, herniorrhaphies, operations for varicose veins, thyroidectomies, excision of lipomata, neurofibromata, ganglia, skin biopsies, breast biopsies, testicular biopsies and excision biopsy of lymph nodes, operation for hydrocele, varicocele, testicular tumors and cysts. Patients with age less than five years and more than 65 years, patients suffering from systemic illnesses and operations lasting for more then two hours were excluded. Informed consent was obtained.

A total of 120 patients were randomly divided into two equal groups A and B, using random number tables. Patients in group A were given prophylactic antibiotics while patients in group B were not given prophylactic antibiotics. Both the groups were compared for the frequency of patients developing postoperative wound infection.

Patients in group A were given injection cefuroxime 750 mg eight hourly intravenously (doses adjusted according to body weight for children). The antibiotics were started half an hour before operation and were continued for first twenty four hours after operation i.e., a total of three doses were given. Patients in group B were not given any prophylactic antibiotics.

The surgical technique used was identical in both the groups with similar operation theater discipline. All wounds in both the groups of patients were closed by interrupted silk suture except in all thyroid operations. All thyroid operations were closed with prolene subcuticularly. Postoperatively the first dressing was changed on third postoperative day. All wounds were examined on 3rd and 6th day in wards and on 8th , 15th and 30th day after surgery as out door cases for the presence or absence of pus, serous fluid, redness and local oedema.

Statistical Analysis: Data was analyzed using SPSS version 10. Quantitative variables were expressed with mean and standard deviation (S.D). Qualitative variables were presented as frequencies and percentages. To test the significance between the two groups, chi square test was applied. *P*-value <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

The mean age of patients was 34.4 years in group A was 34.75 years (SD \pm 15.27) and in group B was 35.18 years (SD \pm 15.83). Majority in both groups were in 3rd, 4th and 5th decades of

their lives as shown in table 1. Male to female ratio was equal in both the groups i.e. 2:1. Both the groups were comparable with respect to age (P=0.196) and gender (P=0.106).

The breakdown of the operations in the two groups is depicted in table 2. *P*-value was 0.248/-.

In group A with chemoprophylaxis, one (1.6%) patient developed post operative wound infection. In group B without chemoprophylaxis, four (6.6%) patients developed postoperative wound infection (table 3).

The decreased frequency of post operative wound infection was seen in group A as compared to group B but this difference was insignificant (P=0.170).

Culture and sensitivity of fluid from infected wounds revealed that total of five patients developed post operative wound infections, one in group A and four in group B. *Staphylococcus aureus* was the causative organism in three patients, one in group A and two in group B. *E-coli* and *Paeruginosa* were the causative organisms in remaining two patients in group B.

DISCUSSION

Postoperative wound infections are the commonest postoperative complications in our setup. Surgical site infections (SSIs) are a real risk associated with any surgical procedure and represent a significant burden in terms of patient morbidity and mortality, and cost to health services around the world²². The incidence of infection varies from surgeon to surgeon, hospital to hospital, from one surgical procedure to another and – most importantly – from one patient to another²³. Four main sources of infection are personnel, equipment, environment and patient's risk factors²⁴.

The majority of the patients were males (66.6%). The reason seems to be that in our military setup, the male patients are entitled for free indoor and outdoor treatment even if they are retired from service. However, families are no more entitled for free indoor and outdoor treatment when the male person is retired from

service. The rate of infection in group A receiving chemo prophylaxis as compared to group B (1/60 Vs 4/60) was not statistically significant. This study shows that antibiotic prophylaxis does not significantly influence the rate of postoperative wound infection in clean elective general surgical operations. The factors which came into play to cause infection in our patients were related to the surgical team or surgical environment, i.e., the cause was exogenous not endogenous.

Studies by Solangi¹⁰, Terzi¹¹ and Ansari¹² shown that preoperative antibiotic have prophylaxis does not influence the rate of postoperative wound infection in clean general operations whereas, studies surgical by Abbasi¹⁵ Ali¹⁶, and Plonczynski¹⁷ have demonstrated that there is a significant decrease in the rate of postoperative wound infection with prophylactic use of antibiotics in clean elective general surgical operations.

CONCLUSION

Preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis does not significantly reduce the frequency of postoperative wound infection in clean elective general surgical operations. So the routine prophylactic use of antibiotics in clean elective general surgical operations is not recommended.

REFERENCES

- Khan Sohail Ahmed, Rao Padma G.M, Rao Anand, Rodrigues Gabriel. Survey and evaluation of antibiotic prophylaxis usage in surgery ward of tertiary level institution before and after the implementation of clinical setup. Indian Journal of surgery 2006; 68(3): 150-156.
- Akhtar S, Gondal K M, Ahmed M, Mohammad Y, Goraya AR, Karim F. Surgical wounds site infection – our experience. Ann KE Med Coll 2001; 7:211-2.
- Haley RW, Schaberg DR, Crossley KB, Van Allmen SD, McGowan JE Jr. Extra charges and prolongation of stay attributable to nosocomial infections: a prospective interhospital comparison. Am J Med 1981; 70:51-8.
- MC Gowan JE Jr. Cost and benefit of perioperative anti microbial prophylaxis: Methods for economic analysis. Rev infects Dis 1991; 13:879-89.

- Weeds RK, Dellinger P. Current Guidelines for Antibiotic Prophylaxis of Surgical Wounds. American Family Physician 1998; 57(11): 1-14.
- Classen DC, Evans RS, Pestotnik SL, Horn SD, Menlove RL, Burke JP. The timing of prophylactic administration of Antibiotics and the risk of surgical wound infections. N Engl J Med 1992; 326: 281-86.
- Cuschieri SA, Steele RJ. Patients with postoperative complications In : Cuschieri SA, Steele RJ, Moosa AR Eds. Essential surgical Practice 4th ed. Oxford: Butterworth – Heinemann 2002;422-3.
- Wenzel RP. Preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis. N Engl J Med 1992; 326:337-9.
- Bhatti HA, Shahid A, Ahmad I, Qureshi Al. Role of Antibiotic prophylaxis in Clean Surgery. Pak Postgrad Med J 2000;11:87-8.
- Solangi RA, Memon GA, Dahri FJ, Qazi ARR, Yousifan SA. Does every clean surgical wound need antimicrobials? Med channel Sep 2004; 10:41-3.
- Terzi C. Antimicrobial prophylaxis in clean surgery with special focus on inguinal hernia repair with mesh. Journal of hospital infection April 2006; 62:427-436.
- 12. Sajjad A Ansari, Saddique M, Azim W. Antibiotic prophylaxis in clean surgery. Biomedica Dec 2005; 21:121-4.
- 13. Groselj GM, Derganc M, Trsinar B, Cizman M. Antibiotic prophylaxis for surgical procedures on children. J chemother Feb 2006; 18:38-42.
- Khan MM, Iqbal B, Rasool I, Qawi I. Prophylactic antibiotics in thyroid surgery. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 1994;4:59-62.
- 15. Abbasi AA, Chaudhry IA. Prophylactic antibiotic in clean surgical wounds. Rawal Med J June 2003; 28:2-6.
- Ali M, Raza A. Role of single dose antibiotic prophylaxis in clean orthopedic surgery. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak Jan 2006; 16:45-8.
- 17. Plonczynski DJ. Wise use of perioperative antibiotics. AORN Journal Jun 2005; 81:1260, 1264-8, 1271-2.
- Itani KMF, Wilson SE, Awad SS, Jensen EH. Ertapenem versus Cefotetan Prophylaxis in Elective Colorectal Surgery. The New England Journal of Medicine December 21, 2006; 355(25):2640-2651.
- Fujita S, Saito N, Yamada T. Randomized, multicenter Trial of Antibiotic Prophylaxis in Elective Colorectal Surgery. Arch Surg. 2007; 142(7):657-661.
- Tourmousoglon CE, Yiannakopoulou, E.Ch, Kalapothaki V. Adherence to guidelines for antibiotic prophylaxis in general surgery: a critical appraisal. Journal of antibiotic Chemotheraphy 2008; 61(1):214-218.
- Miliani K, Heriteau FL, Astagneau P. Non compliance with recommendations for the practice of antibiotic prophylaxis and risk of surgical site infection: results of a multilevel analysis from the INCISO Surveillance Network. Journal of antibiotic Chemotheraphy, 2009; 64(6): 1307-1315.
- Gottrup F, Melling A, Hollander Dirk A. An overview of surgical site infections: aetiology, incidence and risk factors. World wide wounds 2005; 1-8.
- Nichols RL. Preventing surgical site infections: A surgeon's perspective. Emerging infectious diseases 2001; 7(2): 220-4.
- 24. Nichols RL. Surgical infections: prevention and treatment 1965 to 1995. Am J Surg 1996; 172: 68-74.

Age in Years	Group A (n=60)	Group B (n=60)	
5-10	3(5%)	5(8.3%)	
11-20	8(13.3%)	8(13.3%)	
21-30	17(28.3%)	10(16.6%)	
31-40	14(23.3%)	14(23.3%)	
41-50	10(16.6%)	14(23.3%)	
51-60	4(6.6%)	8(13.3%)	
61-65	4(6.6%)	1(1.6%)	

Table 1: Distribution of Age.

Table 2: Types of operations

Case	Group A (n=60)	Group B (n=60)	
Hernia	10	16	
Limb	16	9	
Scrotal	11	11	
Breast	4	4	
Head	3	4	
Face/Neck	12	9	
Trunk	4	7	

Table 3: Frequencies of Wound Infections

Wound Infection	Group A n = 60	Group B n = 60	P value
Yes	1(1.6%)	4(6.6%)	
No	59(98.4%)	56(93.3%)	0.170