PREVALENCE OF CONTRAST INDUCED ACUTE KIDNEY INJURY IN PATIENTS UNDERGOING PRIMARY PCI AFTER ACUTE MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION

Muhammad Naeem Tariq, Naseer Ahmed Samore, Shaheer Farhan, Mohsin Saif, Aleena Khan, Ghoza Chaudhery*, Muhammad Husnain Yousaf, Ali Farahe, Muhammad Amad Abbasi, Muhammad Awais

Armed Forced Institute of Cardiology/National Institute of Heart Diseases/National University of Medical Science (NUMS) Rawalpindi Pakistan, *The Children Hospital and Institute of Child Health, Lahore Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the prevalence of contrast-induced acute kidney injury after primary percutaneous coronary intervention among patients of acute myocardial infarction.

Study Design: Descriptive cross-sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Interventional Cardiology, Armed Forces Institute of Cardiology & National Institute of Heart Diseases (AFIC/NIHD) Rawalpindi, from Jan 2021 to Mar 2021.

Methodology: Five Hundred patients between 30-80 years of age, diagnosed with Acute Myocardial Infarction were planned to undergo primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) at cardiac catheterization lab. Blood sample was taken before and after 24 and 72 hours of primary percutaneous coronary intervention. Acute kidney injury was labeled when serum creatinine rise \geq 25% after 72 hours of primary percutaneous coronary intervention as compared to the baseline. Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 20 was used to enter and analyze the collected data. Frequency (%) were estimated for variables like gender, diabetes, hypertension and acute kidney injury. Mean and standard deviation were estimated for variables like age and body mass index.

Results: Out of 500 patients enrolled in the study, 402 (80.4%) were males and 98 (19.6%) were females. The mean age of patients was 64.34 ± 8.68 years. In our study, 313 (62.6%) were diabetic, 287 (57.4%) had hypertension, 88 (17.6%) had history of smoking, while 51 (10.2%) were ex-smokers. The mean serum creatinine level at baseline was 0.86 ± 0.13 mg/dl which was increased to 0.95 ± 0.18 mg/dl after 72 hours. The mean change in serum creatinine level was 0.08 ± 0.07 mg/dl. There was $9.16 \pm 7.1\%$ rise in the serum creatinine level from baseline (p<0.05). There were 26 (5.20%) patients who had got acute kidney injury while 474 (94.80%) patients had normal kidney functions.

Conclusion: The prevalence of contrast-induced acute kidney injury (CK-AKI) was very low in our population due to contrast medium used for primary percutaneous coronary intervention after acute myocardial infarction.

Keywords: Acute myocardial infarction, Acute kidney injury, Contrast induced nephropathy, Primary percutaneous coronary intervention, Serum creatinine.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is a very effective treatment strategy, which is applied to restore the patency of the atherosclerotic coronary arteries and protecting the ischemic myocardium. This treatment strategy can be helpful in preventing the left ventricular dysfunction and improve the chances of survival of the patients who develop acute myocardial infarction¹. Patients usually undergo primary PCI after acute myocardial infarction by using contrast medium. It has been observed that patients are at risk of developing Contrast-induced acute kidney injury who undergo primary PCI as compared to those who undergo elective PCI. Numerous risk factors are associated with Contrast-induced acute kidney injury after primary PCI, like nature and volume of the used contrast media, lack of pre and post procedural hydration of the patient, hemodynamic instability,

Correspondence: Dr Muhammad Naeem Tariq, Fellow Interventional Cardiology, AFIC/NIHD, Rawalpindi Pakistan

reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF)².

Contrast-induced acute kidney injury is a serious and most common complication of primary PCI. It is the third leading cause of prolonged hospital stay after primary PCI3. The occurrence of Contrast-induced acute kidney injury is associated with the prolonged hospital stay and increases the rate of morbidity and mortality during hospital stay and long term renal dysfunction^{4,5}. Thus; the precise prediction of the risk of acute kidney injury after primary PCI at the time of admission of patients for acute myocardial infarction is precariousfor both; efforts for its prevention and informed decision-making likeinforming about invasive procedure. The prevalence of Contrast-induced acute kidney injury may vary asdescribedin literature. This may be due to presence of pre-existing risk factors like level of serum creatinine before undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention, age, type-I or II diabetes, chronic hypertension, congestive heart failure, hemoglobinlevel, body mass indexand gender⁶.

Every year, in United States, >30 million iodinated contrast material - enhanced imaging scans are acquired, making the iodinated contrast medium as one of the most recommended agent in current medical clinical practice. In these imaging modalities, iodinated contrast mediumis infused to enhance the conspicuity of the tissue and so improve the diagnostic accuracy of the modality. In astruggle to lessen the occurrence of Contrast-induced acute kidney injury, the "American College of Radiology, Canadian Association of Radiologists, and European Society of Urogenital Radiology" published guidelines for the administration of intravenous contrast medium, depending on the pre-existing level of serum creatinine^{7,8}.

A literature has been widely reviewed and published on the risk factors and clinical effects of coronary procedures on the acute kidney injury^{9,10}. However, no information is available for tertiary care hospitals and National Post graduate Institutes in Pakistan. This research aims to assess the contrast induced acute kidney injury frequency and clinical predictors in the unselected group of patients of acute myocardial infarction, candidates of primary PCI.

METHODOLOGY

This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted at department of Interventional Cardiology, Armed Forces Institute of Cardiology and National Institute of Heart Diseases (AFIC/NIHD) Rawalpindi, from January 2021 to March 2021. By using the Non probability, consecutive sampling technique and by using the 95% confidence level, 3% margin of error and 10-15% frequency of contrast induced acute kidney injury; the sample size was estimated to be 500 cases ^{11,13}. Five Hundred patients of both genders between 30-80 years of age, presented with acute myocardial infarction at emergency and Primary PCI was decided to be done in all enrolled patients after their informed consents. Acute myocardial infarction was considered when 2 of following 3 criteria met: typical or a typical chest pain lasting for >20 minutes duration, Cardiac enzymes elevation > reference range set by pathology lab and development of new ST-T-wave changes or new Q waves on electrocardiography (ECG). Patients with chronic kidney disease, renal congenital abnormalities, single kidney either congenitally or having previous nephrectomy, candidates of renal transplant or on dialysis, previous history of Urinary system surgery were excluded. Patients who were not hospitalized initially for acute myocardial infarction or

transferred from other hospitals after >24 hours were also excluded from the study.

After getting the approval of research study from the hospital ethical board review committee, 500 patients presenting in the emergency of cardiology department fulfilling the inclusion criteria were included in the study after explaining the primary PCI procedure in detail and fully informed written consents. Serum creatinine level, factors related to procedure and risk factors that may lead to acute kidney injury were taken through medical records. Blood samples were taken at baseline before undergoing primary PCI. Then primary PCI was performed under local anesthesia through radial or femoral artery approach by the competent Interventional Cardiologist's team of AFIC/ NIHD according to International clinical practice. Type of contrast agent and volume of contrast, angioplasty technique, and supportive pharmacologic therapies were left to the discretion of the interventional cardiologist. Successful lesion dilatation after PCI was defined as "achievement of <10% residual diameter stenosis, including at least a 95% improvement, by visual estimate." Procedural success was defined as "successful treatment of 1 or more lesions without in-hospital death, Q-wave myocardial infarction, or emergency bypass surgery". Then blood samples were obtained again to assess serum creatinine level after 24 hours and 72 hours. Acute kidney injury was labeled as defined as EUSR guidelines "an increase of 25% in serum creatinine level from baseline with inand after 72 hours from contrast media administration"14. Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 was used to enter and analyze the collected data. Frequency (%) were estimated for variables like gender, diabetes, hypertension and acute kidney injury. Mean and standard deviation were estimated for variables like age and BMI.

RESULTS

The mean age of all the patients was 64.34 ± 8.68 years. Out of 500 cases, 402 (80.4%) were males and 98 (19.6%) females. The male to female ratio in our study was 4.1:1. The mean BMI was $31.98 \pm 5.39 \text{ kg/m}^2$. In our study, 313 (62.6%) were diabetic, 287 (57.4%) had hypertension, 88 (17.6%) had history of smoking, while 51 (10.2%) were ex-smokers. There was no patient who had history of previous heart failure before myocardial infarction, previous PCI/CABG was done in 102 (20.4%) patients and no patients had history of preprocedural shock in our study population. The mean timefrom symptoms to PCI was 3.54 ± 1.38 hours. The

mean duration of procedure was 26.93 ± 4.56 min table-I.

| Mean ± SD, n (%) |
|------------------|
| 500 |
| 64.34 ± 8.68 |
| |
| 402 (80.4%) |
| 98 (19.6%) |
| 31.98 ± 5.39 |
| 313 (62.6%) |
| 287 (57.4%) |
| 88 (17.6%) |
| 51 (10.2%) |
| - |
| 102 (20.4%) |
| - |
| 3.54 ± 1.38 |
| 26.93 ± 4.56 |
| |

Table-I : Baseline characteristics of patients.

Before PCI, the mean serum creatinine level was 0.86 ± 0.13 mg/dl which was increased to 0.95 ± 0.18 mg/dl. The mean change in the serum creatinine level was 0.08 ± 0.07 mg/dl within 72 hours of primary PCI. There was $9.16 \pm 7.1\%$ rise in the serum creatinine level as compared to the baseline (*p*<0.05) table-II. There were 26 (5.20%) patients, who developed the acute kidney injury while 474 (94.80%) patients had normal kidney function figure.



Figure-1: Distribution of acute kidney injury.

We stratified data for different effect modifiers. The acute kidney injury was significantly higher in patients aged 66-85 years (12.2%). The acute kidney injury was significantly more in males (6.5%) while no female developed acute kidney injury. The contrast induced acute kidney injury was noticed in 8.3% diabetic patients and in 9.1% patient who had hypertension. While among smokers no acute kidney injury develop, however 3.6% non-smokers and 25.5% ex-

smokers developed acute kidney injury. Among patients who had previous PCI, 12.7% developed acute kidney injury table-III.

Table-II: Change in serum creatinine level before and after procedure.

| F | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Before PCI | After PCI | Change | Percentage Change | | | | |
| n | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | | | | |
| Serum | 0.86 ± | 0.95 ± | $0.08 \pm$ | 0.16 ± 7.1 | | | | |
| creatinine | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 9.16 ± 7.1 | | | | |
| D: 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 5 C 1 10 0 0 0 1 | | | | | | | | |

Paired sample t-test = 26.562, p-value <0.0001

Tabl-III: Comparison of acute kidney injury according to effect modifiers.

| | | Acute Ki | <i>p</i> - | |
|--------------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------|
| | | Yes | No | value |
| n | | 26 | 474 | |
| Age (years) | 45-65 | - | 287 (100%) | 0.000 |
| | 66-85 | 26 (12.2%) | 187 (87.8%) | 0.000 |
| Gender | Male | 26 (6.5%) | 376 (93.5%) | 0.010 |
| | Female | - | 98 (100%) | 0.010 |
| Diabetes | Yes | 26 (8.3%) | 287 (91.7%) | 0.000 |
| | No | - | 187 (100%) | 0.000 |
| Hypertension | Yes | 26 (9.1%) | 261 (90.9%) | 0.000 |
| | No | - | 213 (100%) | 0.000 |
| Smoking | Yes | - | 88 (100%) | |
| | No | 13(3.6%) | 348 (96.4%) | 0.000 |
| | Ex-smoker | 13 (25.5%) | 38 (74.5%) | |
| Previous PCI | Yes | 13 (12.7%) | 89 (87.3%) | 0.001 |
| / CABG | No | 13 (3.3%) | 385 (96.7%) | 0.001 |

DISCUSSION

Contrast-induced acute kidney injury constitute around 12% of cases of acute renal injury that develop in the hospital during stay after administration of contrast medium¹³. The prevalence of acute renal injury ranges from 0-24% based on the risk factors of the patient¹⁵. It is usually an acute renal failure in temporary and reversible form. However, a longer hospitalization, increased morbidity and mortality plus increased financial costs are involved with acute kidney injury¹⁶. Acute kidney injury is described as the abrupt rise of serum creatinine level of >25% from the baseline level within 48 hours after all causes of nephropathy have been excluded, like nephrotoxins, low blood pressure, urinary tract blockage, or atheromatous emboli. It's usually self-limiting, with serum creatinine concentrati on peaking in 3-5 days and back to normal in 7-10 days¹⁷⁻¹⁸.

Patients with mild to moderate chronic renal in sufficiency have 9-40% chance of developing diabetes, whereas people with severe chronic renal insufficiency have a 50-90% chance of developing diabetes¹⁹. Over-

all, 9.33% of patients may develop acute kidney injury due to infusion of contrast medium, which is described as 25% rise in serum creatinine level as compared to the level before PCI, with an occurrence of 0.88%²⁰. Another study found that after PCI, 21.5% of type-II diabetic patients developed acute kidney injury²¹. However, one survey found that after PCI, 40.4% of diabetic patients had acute kidney damage²².

After renal hypo-perfusion (42%) and postoperative renal damage, it is the third most frequent cause (18%). Acute kidney damage after PCI has a confirmed incidence of 0-24%, based on the frequency of related risk factors, with a higher prevalence that reported after primary PCI in emergency setting^{23,24}. In our study, there were 26 (5.20%) patients who developed acute kidney injury while 474 (94.80%) patients had normal kidney function. One meta-analysis of forty studies showed that contrast enhanced computed tomography caused 6 percent acute kidney damage²⁵.

Acute kidney failure is uncommon in people with normal renal function (0-5%)¹⁴. Moreover, despite the use of lowosmolar contrast media and proper hydration, an occurrence of around 50% was identified in cases having diabetic nephropathy, undergoing primary PCI or coronary angiography in one study. It's worth noting that up to 15% of them need renal dialysis. Acute kidney injuries are strongly related to the longer stay in the hospital, greater morbidity and mortality, as well as a higher cost. Acute kidney disease affects 8-16% of patients above the age of 60, according to different studies. Age of 75 years or more has also been found to be an additional risk factor for acute kidney failure in patients of acute myocardial infarction, who undergo percutaneous coronary intervention. In our study we also observed that the acute kidney injury developed in 12.2% patients, who were aged >65 years.

CONCLUSION

The frequency of contrast-induced acute kidney injury was very low in our population after primary PCI for acute myocardial infarction. Hence the conducted study gives the conclusive evidence for local population. But still there is a need to improve protocols for primary PCI after acute myocardial infarction to nullify the incidence of contrast-induced acute kidney injury in local population and improve our clinical medical practice.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

This study has no conflict of interest to be declared by any author.

REFERENCES

- Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, Antunes MJ, Bucciarelli-Ducci C, Bueno H, et al. 2017 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation: The Task Force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J 2018; 39(2): 119-177.
- Rear R, Bell RM, Hausenloy DJ. Contrast-induced nephropathy following angiography and cardiac interventions. Heart 2016; 102(8): 638-648.
- Mehta RL, Cerdá J, Burdmann EA, Tonelli M, García-García G, Jha V. International Society of Nephrology's 0 by 25 initiative for acute kidney injury (zero preventable deaths by 2025): a human rights case for nephrology. Lancet 2015; 385(9987): 2616-2643.
- 4. Silver SA, Shah PM, Chertow GM, Harel S, Wald R, Harel Z, et al. Risk prediction models for contrast induced nephropathy: systematic review. BMJ 2015; 351(1): h4395.
- 5. Awdishu L, Mehta RL. The 6R's of drug induced nephrotoxicity. BMC Nephrol 2017; 18(1): 1-12.
- Caspi O, Habib M, Cohen Y, Kerner A, Roguin A, Abergel E, et al. Acute kidney injury after primary angioplasty: is contrast induced nephropathy the culprit? J Am Heart Assoc 2017; 6(6): e005715.
- Gerhard-Herman MD, Gornik HL, Barrett C, Barshes NR, Corriere MA, Drachman DE, et al. AHA/ACC guideline on the management of patients with lower extremity peripheral artery disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2017; 69(11): 1465-1508.
- Vanommeslaeghe F, De Mulder E, Van de Bruaene C, Van de Bruaene L, Lameire N, Van Biesen W. Selecting a strategy for prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy in clinical practice: an evaluation of different clinical practice guidelines using the AGREE tool. Nephrol Dialysis Transplant 2015; 30(8): 1300-6.
- Nicola R, Shaqdan KW, Aran K, Mansouri M, Singh A, Abujudeh HH. Contrast-induced nephropathy: identifying the risks, choosing the right agent, and reviewing effective prevention and management methods. Curr Prob Diag Radiol 2015; 44(6): 501-4.
- Hoste EA, Kellum JA, Selby NM, Zarbock A, Palevsky PM, Bagshaw SM, et al. Global epidemiology and outcomes of acute kidney injury. Nat Rev Nephrol 2018; 14(10): 607-625.
- 11. Tsai TT, Patel UD, Chang TI, Kennedy KF, Masoudi FA, Matheny ME, et al. Contemporary incidence, predictors, and outcomes of acute kidney injury in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary interventions: insights from the NCDR Cath-PCI registry. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014; 7(1): 1-9.
- Narula A, Mehran R, Weisz G, Dangas GD, Yu J, Généreux P, et al. Contrast-induced acute kidney injury after primary percutaneous coronary intervention: results from the HORIZONS-AMI substudy. Eur Heart J 2014; 35(23): 1533-1540.
- El-Ahmadi A, Abassi MS, Andersson HB, Engstrøm T, Clemmensen P, Helqvist S, et al. Acute kidney injury – A frequent and serious complication after primary percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. PloS one 2019; 14(12): e0226625.
- ESUR guidelines on contrast agents, european society of urogenital radiology 2018, [Internet] Available from: https://www. sur.org/fileadmin/content/2019/ ESUR_Guidelines_10.0_Final_Version.pdf
- 15. Perrin T, Descombes E. Contrast-induced nephropathy in invasive cardiology: incidence, pathophysiology, diagnosis, prevention and prognosis. Swiss Med Weekly 2012; 142(1): w13608.

.....

- Sun G, Chen P, Wang K, Li H, Chen S, Liu J, et al. Contrastinduced nephropathy and long-term mortality after percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Angiology 2019; 70(7): 621-6.
- Kiss N, Hamar P. Histopathological evaluation of contrastinduced acute kidney injury rodent models. BioMed Res Int 2016: 1-15.
- Yang JS, Peng YR, Tsai SC, Tyan YS, Lu CC, Chiu HY, et al. The molecular mechanism of contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN) and its link to in vitro studies on iodinated contrast media (CM). Biomedicine 2018; 8(1): 1-11.
- Ma M, Wan X, Gao M, Pan B, Chen D, Sun Q, et al. Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system blockade is associated with higher risk of contrast-induced acute kidney injury in patients with diabetes. Aging 2020; 12(7): 5858-77.
- Zhang MM, Lv QZ, Li XY. Drug effects and clinical investigations for contrast-induced nephropathy after coronary angiography or percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with diabetes. Am J Therap 2017; 24(4): e423-e30.

- 21. Sany D, Refaat H, Elshahawy Y, Mohab A, Ezzat H. Frequency and risk factors of contrast-induced nephropathy after cardiac catheterization in type II diabetic patients: a study among Egyptian patients. Renal failure 2014; 36(2): 191-97.
- 22. Shamkhalova M, Zaĭtseva N, Kurumova K, Shestakova M, Deev A, Matskeplishvili S, et al. Contrast-induced nephropathy in coronarography of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: risk factors, prognostic significance, prophylactic approaches. Terapevticheskii arkhiv 2009; 81(8): 36-42.
- Breglia A, Godi I, Virzì GM, Guglielmetti G. Subclinical contrastinduced acute kidney injury in patients undergoing cerebral computed tomography. Cardioren Med 2020; 10(2): 125-36.
- 24. Kaltsas E, Chalikias G, Tziakas D. The incidence and the prognostic impact of acute kidney injury in acute myocardial infarction patients: current preventive strategies. Cardiovasc Drug Therap 2018; 32(1): 81-98.
- Kooiman J, Pasha SM, Zondag W, Sijpkens YW, van der Molen AJ. Meta-analysis: serum creatinine changes following contrast enhanced CT imaging. Eur J Radiol 2012; 81(10): 2554-61.