

Acceptance of COVID-19 Vaccine Among Undergraduate Students

Muhammad Ali Abid, Muhammad Ashraf Buzdar, Shizma Junejo, Nasim Karim

Bahria University, Medical and Dental College, Karachi Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess the acceptance of the COVID-19 vaccine among medical and non-medical undergraduate students and compare the COVID-19 vaccine receptivity differences between medical and non-medical undergraduate students.

Study Design: Cross-sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: Bahria University Medical & Dental College, and Bahria University Karachi Campus Pakistan, from Mar to Jun 2021.

Methodology: In this study, a total of 392 participants, were selected by non-probability convenience sampling. Students aged 19-26 years were enrolled. Participants were required to sign a voluntary consent form prior to participation. The questionnaire included 21 questions inclusive of demographic characteristics, insight regarding COVID-19 disease, prior EPI vaccine exposure, attitude towards COVID-19 vaccine, including the importance of vaccine to end the pandemic, acceptance of vaccine along with reasons and non-acceptance and sent by email as a Google form to participants.

Results: There were 171(43.6%) males and females 218(55.6%). The mean age of participants was 20.7±2.29 years. 217(55.4%) students were from the MBBS programs, and 175(44.6%) were from non-MBBS programs. COVID-19 vaccine acceptability was better amongst medical students, with 182(83.9%) considering it safe for use in contrast to 5(2.8%) non-medical students who considered it safe.

Conclusion: The comparison of knowledge and attitudes towards accepting the COVID-19 vaccine between medical students and non-medical students revealed better acceptance amongst undergraduate medical students than non-medical undergraduate students.

Keywords: Acceptance, COVID 19, Knowledge, Non-acceptance, Students, Vaccine.

How to Cite This Article: Abid MA, Buzdar MA, Junejo S, Karim N. Acceptance of COVID-19 Vaccine Among Undergraduate Students Pak Armed Forces Med J 2023; 73(2): 595-597. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51253/pafmj.v73i2.7632>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

A novel coronavirus, an RNA virus with a structure resembling SARS-CoV-1, has produced a drastic Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) outbreak globally.¹ The efforts to protect workers from COVID-19 can lead to good preparedness for other infections in future.² Chief preventable targets include transmission and lowering new infections.³

It is a perpetuity among those who accept vaccines without a doubt to thorough refusal devoid of a doubt.^{4,5} Regrettably, around a third of final-year medical students feel they need more preparation to deal with the clinical aspects of vaccination.⁶

Various vaccines have already been launched into the market for the control of COVID-19.⁷ Although the use of human vaccines has led to quite a lot of achievement, the potential for further impact is necessary. Scientific advances can be applied to enhanced production as well as simplification of the delivery of vaccines.⁸ Likewise, social and political commitment to

immunization programs needs to be maintained to acquire the full benefits of these excellent medical discoveries.⁹ COVID-19 has remarkably impacted the educational system, particularly medical education and career.¹⁰

As the reception of COVID-19 vaccines by medical students is important, this study aims to assess the response of medical students towards the COVID-19 vaccine. The study will constitute a cornerstone study, which can be used as a baseline study to assess medical students' response to future novel vaccines.

METHODOLOGY

The cross-sectional study was conducted in March 2021 at Bahria University Medical and Dental College Karachi and Bahria University Karachi campus Pakistan, following ethical approval from the Ethical Review Committee (vide ERC 26\2021). Sample size was calculated taking 57.6% prevalence of participants who intended to be vaccinated.¹¹ The questionnaire was hosted in the Google form and distributed to students as a Google form link employing emails.

Inclusion Criteria: The participants in this study were medical and non-medical undergraduate students. The

Correspondence: Shizma Junejo, Bahria University, Medical and Dental College, Karachi Pakistan

Received: 15 Dec 2021; revision received: 18 Apr 2023; accepted: 26 Apr 2023

non-medical participants were from BDS, DPT, ACCA, BS Accounting and Finance, BSCS, BCS Engineering, CS BSE, Pharm D, and BS Psychology.

Exclusion Criteria: Non-consenting students were excluded.

The questionnaire was designed as most suited to the research. The questionnaire addressed all relevant areas concerning inquiring about the COVID-19 vaccine. An informative consent statement was added at the beginning of the online questionnaire, and participants were provided with informed consent to be digitally signed before participating in the survey. Confidentiality and privacy of data were ascertained during the study and data were assembled and stored in secure folders that could only be accessed by researchers and protected from unauthorized access.

Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21.0 was used for the data analysis. Quantitative variables were expressed as mean±SD and qualitative variables were expressed as frequency and percentages. Chi-square test was applied to find out the association. The *p*-value of ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

In this study, males were 171(43.6%), and females were 218(55.6%). In addition, participants in this study who were from Karachi, including individuals from all districts of Karachi and individuals from locations other than Karachi, were also part of this study. Participants were inquired regarding the side effects of the vaccine being likely to be worse than COVID-19 itself. The response included yes (100, 25.5%) and no (290, 24.0%).

Knowledge regarding novel coronavirus, self-rated overall health, immunity by exposure to an infected individual, herd immunity being sufficient to protect everyone and side effects of the vaccine being likely to be worse than COVID-19 itself was significantly more *p*<0.05 amongst undergraduate medical students. The difference in attitude in connection with the COVID-19 vaccine is shown in Table-I. The difference of opinion regarding vaccine acceptance between medical and non-medical students is shown in Table-II.

DISCUSSION

University students are assumed to be a knowledgeable and aware group of society with an open attitude. During the current COVID-19 era, the public service role of students is expected. It is

expected particularly for university students in this role, in Health Schools/Faculties, to promote clear scientific-based helpful messages, for example, the role of vaccination as a cornerstone in public health.¹¹

Table-I: Attitude regarding Vaccine Difference between MBBS and non-Medical Students (n=392)

Difference in Attitude Towards Vaccine between MBBS and Non MBBS	Study Groups		<i>p</i> -value
	Group-A (MBBS) (n=217)	Group-B (Non-Medical) (n=175)	
If a COVID-19 vaccine is made publicly available and it would need to be administered yearly (similar to the flu shot), would you get vaccinated?			
Yes	200(52.7%)	65(60.0%)	<0.001
No	17(47.3%)	110(40.0%)	
If a vaccine for COVID-19 was made available and you were told it would protect half of the people who received it, would you get vaccinated?			
Yes	190(29.1%)	25(30.9%)	0.012
No	27(70.9%)	150(69.1%)	
Other people being vaccinated against COVID-19 will be helpful in controlling the Pandemic?			
Yes	170(78.34)	20(11.43%)	<0.001
No	47(21.66%)	155(88.57%)	
A vaccine is important to end the COVID-19 pandemic?			
Yes	205(94.47%)	50(28.57%)	0.092
No	12(5.53%)	125(71.43%)	
I am likely to be vaccinated for COVID-19 when a vaccine becomes available?			
Yes	180(82.95%)	30(17.14%)	<0.001
No	37(17.05%)	145(82.86%)	

Table-II: COVID-19 Vaccine Acceptability difference between MBBS and non-Medical Students (n=392)

Variables	Group-A (MBBS) (n=217)	Group-B (Non-Medical) (n=175)
It has side effects		
Yes	90(41.5%)	172 (95.6%)
No	122(56.2%)	3(1.7%)
It is safe for use		
Yes	182(83.9%)	5(2.8%)
No	31(14.3%)	170(94.4%)
It is effective for use		
Yes	190 (87.6%)	2(0.7%)
No	24(11.1%)	173(62.7%)
I need the vaccine		
Yes	170(59.9%)	63(35.6%)
No	45(38.2%)	112(63.3%)
Against mutating organism		
Yes	198(91.2%)	16(9.0%)
No	19(8.8%)	159(89.8%)
I have trust on Pharmaceutical companies		
Yes	132(60.8%)	42(23.7%)
No	85(39.2%)	133(75.1%)
It is easily available		
Yes	184(22.6%)	38(21.5%)
No	33(77.4%)	137(77.4%)
I dread the complications of vaccine		
Yes	49(22.6%)	144(81.4%)
No	168(77.4%)	31(17.5%)

Our study contrasts with the study by Lucia *et al.* wherein medical students displayed COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy.¹² Similar to the study by Leela *et al.* our study revealed an elevated rate of acceptability in medical students mentioning acceptance towards getting vaccinated.¹³ In our study, medical students were of the view that other people being vaccinated against COVID-19 would help control the pandemic; this was similar to the study by Jain *et al.* wherein over three fourth medical students deemed COVID-19 vaccine mandatory for both health care workers and international travellers.¹⁴ Grech *et al.* exhibited the highest willingness towards getting vaccinated among healthcare students, medical students were the most willing to be vaccinated.¹⁵ Similar to the study by Dube *et al.* vaccine acceptability was highest amongst medical students.¹⁶ Likewise, synchronous with a study by Perger *et al.* non-medical students were most hesitant towards the vaccine.¹⁷ Similar to the study by Dror *et al.* in our study, participants who exhibited acceptability had a fear of the virus.¹⁸

CONCLUSION

The comparison of knowledge and attitudes towards accepting the COVID-19 vaccine between medical students and non-medical students revealed better acceptance amongst undergraduate medical students than non-medical undergraduate students.

Conflict of Interest: None.

Author's Contribution

Following authors have made substantial contributions to the manuscript as under:

MAA: Conception, interpretation of data, drafting the manuscript, approval of the final version to be published.

MAB: Study design, data analysis, drafting the manuscript, critical review, approval of the final version to be published.

SJ: Critical review, approval of the final version to be published.

NK: Data acquisition, interpretation of data, approval of the final version to be published.

Authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

REFERENCES

1. Rahman A, Sathi NJ. Knowledge, attitude, and preventive practices toward COVID-19 among Bangladeshi internet users. *Electron J Gen Med* 2020; 17(5) : 1-6. doi:10.29333/ejgm/8223.

2. Sim MR. The COVID-19 pandemic: major risks to healthcare and other workers on the front line. *Occup Environ Med* 2020 ; 77(5): 281-282. doi: 10.1136/oemed-2020-106567.
3. Junejo S, Lateef M, Eme PE. Immune System Enriching Micronutrients: Essential Ingredient for Wellbeing in COVID-19. *Life Sci* 2020; 1(suppl): 120-123.
4. World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19): situation report 106. World Health Organization; 2020. Available at: www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200505COVID-19-sitrep-106.pdf?sfvrsn=47090f63_2. (Accessed on May 9, 2020).
5. Nicola M, Alsafi Z, Sohrabi C. The socio-economic implications of the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19): a review. *Int J Surg* 2020; 78(1) :185-193. doi:10.1016/j.ijsu.2020.04.018.
6. Phua J, Weng L, Asian Critical Care Clinical Trials Group. Intensive care management of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): challenges and recommendations. *Lancet Respir Med* 2020; 8: 506-517.
7. Parasher A. COVID-19: Current understanding of its Pathophysiology, Clinical presentation and Treatment. *Postgrad Med J* 2021 ; 97(1147): 312-320. doi: 10.1136/postgradmedj-2020-138577.
8. Brugliera L, Spina A, Castellazzi P, Cimino P, Arcuri P, Negro A. Nutritional management of COVID-19 patients in a rehabilitation unit. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 202 ; 74: 860-863.
9. Nasir N, Habib K, Iffat Khanum, Khan N, Muhammad ZA, Mahmood SF. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19: Experience at a major tertiary care center in Pakistan. *J Infect Dev Ctries* 2021; 15(4): 480-489. doi: 10.3855/jidc.14345.
10. Franco M, Barros PP, Vivas OD, González DJS, Consuelo VD. COVID-19, Fake News, and Vaccines: Should Regulation Be Implemented? *Int. J Environ Res Public Health* 2021; 18(744): 1-11.
11. Paterson P, Paterson P, Meurice F. Vaccine hesitancy and healthcare providers vaccine hesitancy and healthcare providers. *Vaccine* 2016; 34: 6700-6706. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.10.0425
12. Lucia VC, Kelekar A, Afonso NM. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among medical students. *J Public Health* 2020; 42 (4) : 1-5.
13. Leela GR, Pandurangaiah R, Rajamma CK. Acceptability of COVID-19 vaccine among medical students: a cross-sectional analysis. *Int J Adv Med* 2021 ; 8(6): 831-834.
14. Jain J, Saurabh S, Kumar P, Verma MK, Goel AD, Gupta MK, et al. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among medical students in India. *Epidemiol Infect* 2021; 149: e132. doi: 10.1017/S0950268821001205.
15. Grech V, Gauci C. WITHDRAWN: Vaccine hesitancy in the University of Malta Faculties of Health Sciences, Dentistry and Medicine vis-à-vis influenza and novel COVID-19 vaccination. *Early Hum Dev* 2020: 105258. doi: 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2020.105258.
16. Dubé E, Laberge C, Guay M, Bramadat P, Roy R, Bettinger J. Vaccine hesitancy: an overview. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* 2013; 9(8): 1763-1773. doi: 10.4161/hv.24657.
17. Verger P, Fressard L, Collange F. Vaccine hesitancy among general practitioners and its determinants during controversies: a national cross-sectional survey in France. *EBioMedicine* 2015; 2(8): 891-897. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2015.06.018.
18. Dror AA, Eisenbach N, Taiber S, Morozov NG, Mizrahi M, Zigron A, et al. Vaccine hesitancy: the next challenge in the fight against COVID-19. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2020; 35(8): 775-779.