Pak Armed Forces Med J 2020; 70 (2): 610-16

Difficult Cholecystectomy

PREOPERATIVE ASSESSMENT OF SCORING SYSTEM DESIGNED FOR PREDICTION OF DIFFICULT CHOLECYSTECTOMY IN PATIENTS WITH SYMPTOMATIC GALL STONES

Abdullah Iqbal, Sughra Perveen, Imran Khan, Tanweer Ahmed, Muhammad Iqbal Khan, Mehwish Tunio

Jinnah Post Graduate Medical Centre, Karachi Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Objective: To carry out preoperative assessment of scoring system designed for prediction of difficult cholecystectomy in patients with symptomatic gall stones.

Study Design: Prospective observational study.

Place and Duration of Study: The study was conducted in General Surgery Unit 1, ward 3, JPMC, from Jun 2017 to Aug 2018.

Methodology: During the study, 208 patients were enrolled who presented for the elective cholecystectomy. Personal data, comorbid illness, sonographic, clinical and biochemical parameters were assessed. Based on the preoperative scoring, outcome measure was easy, difficult or very difficult cholecystectomy.

Results: On the basis of preoperative assessment and scoring, 157 (75.5%) patients were preoperatively predicted for easy cholecystectomy whereas 51 (24.5%) patients were predicted for difficult cholecystectomy. Sensitivity and specificity of this scoring method were 96.5% and 68.5% respectively. Positive predictive value of this scoring method was 89.1% and 72.5% for easy and difficult cases, respectively.

Conclusion: The scoring system proposed in our study incorporating all the known factors for difficult cholecystectomy does provide a definite, objective and reliable prediction of difficult case pre operatively.

Keywords: Difficult cholecystectomy, Laparoscopic cholecystectomy, Preoperative scoring system.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy, since its introduction in 1987 has replaced conventional open technique and became the procedure of choice for routine gallbladder removal from its bed¹. It has many advantages over previously done open cholecystectomy with respect to minimal postoperative pain, shorter hospital stay, better cosmesis and early recovery2. The procedure of laparoscopic cholecystectomy is considered as "difficult cholecystectomy" when safe completion of this procedure cannot be ensured³. These conditions may include dense adhesions at calot's triangle, fibrotic and contracted gallbladder, acutely inflamed or gangrenous gallbladder and cholecystoenteric fistula etc. Various clinical and ultrasonogical parameters that do help to predict the difficulty level preoperatively has

Correspondence: Dr Abdullah Iqbal, General Surgery Dept, Jinnah Post Graduate Medical Centre, Karachi Pakistan

Email: mediworm@hotmail.com

Received: 27 Mar 2019; revised received: 29 Apr 2019; accepted: 03 May 2019

been noted and reported in many published studies4-8. Such risk factors include old age, male sex, attacks of acute cholecystitis with fever and leukocytosis, obesity, previous abdominal surgery, clinical signs of acute cholecystitis and certain ultrasonographic findings i.e. thickened gallbladder wall, distended gallbladder, pericholecystic fluid collection, impacted stone etc9. As laparoscopic cholecystectomy is the most common procedure that is being done by the surgical residents, such prediction scales will certainly sure patient safety and will help the surgeon to better prepare for the intraoperative challenges. With such prediction scores, the expertise of the fellow senior surgeons can also be seeked which will decrease the complication rate.

In recent study conducted by Vivek¹⁰ additional preoperative and per-operative findings were analyzed which were not considered in other studies and certainly predicts the difficulty of cholecystectomy. This study was commenced

after obtaining approval from the ethical committee of the institution.

METHODOLOGY

This prospective observational study was conducted in a single unit, department of general surgery, ward 3, Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre, Karachi during a period of 14 months from June 2017 to August 2018. Total of 228 consecutive patients who were admitted for cholecystectomy with symptomatic gall stones were included in the study after prior informed consent.

Patients who had common bile duct stones, who had additional procedure to be done beside laparoscopic cholecystectomy (for e.g. hernia repair), patients who had conversion or delay due to anesthetic complications or equipment failure were excluded from the study. Patients who were unwilling to give consent for the procedure or procedures which were performed by trainees under direct supervision were also excluded from the study.

After the OPD workup which included baseline investigations and general anesthesia fitness, patients were admitted in ward. One day prior to surgery, patients were assessed based on their history; clinical examination and investigation were reviewed. Based on the findings, scoring was done on printed pro forma for each patient and were categorized as easy, (score less than ≤6) difficult (score of 7-15) or very difficult (more than >15) cholecystectomy. Preoperative scores and prediction was blinded from the operating surgeon.

All patients received per operative prophylactic antibiotic. Patient was drapped and positioned in tredelenburg position. Camera port was inserted either in supra umbilical position or infraumbilical position with the help of 10mm trocar which was inserted with open technique. Pneumoperitoneum was created with the help of carbon dioxide and pressure was kept at 14mm of Hg. One epigastric 10mm and two 5mm working ports were inserted as in standard cholecy-stectomy. Per operative findings, intraoperative

events (i.e. bile/stone spillage, injury to duct/ artery or conversion to open cholecystectomy) and the timing of surgery from the first port site incision till the last port closure was noted. Per operative objective assessment was compared with preoperative prediction based on the scores to calculate the sensitivity and specificity of the pre-operative scoring system.

RESULTS

Total 228 patients were admitted in ward during the 12 months of study period. Out of these 228 patients, twenty patients were excluded due to various reasons. In the final analysis, a total of 208 patients were assessed. Among these patients we found female preponderance as 175 (84.1%) were females while 33 (15.9%) were male. The mean age was 40.84 ± 12.12 years with a range from 22 to 72 years.

On the basis of preoperative assessment and scoring, 157 (75.5%) patients were preoperatively predicted for easy cholecystectomy whereas 51 (24.5%) patients were predicted for difficult cholecystectomy. None of the patient was predicted for very difficult cholecystectomy. The relationship between the prediction of difficulty level of the cases preoperatively and the actual outcome of cases is shown in table-III.

For patients with preoperative prediction of easy cholecystectomy, sensitivity and specificity of this scoring method were 96.5% and 68.5% respectively. Positive predictive value of this scoring method was 89.1% and 72.5% for easy and difficult cases, respectively. It is worth to note that no patient was preoperatively predicted for very difficult cholecystectomy and a total of 9 cases were converted to open procedure for various reasons shown in table-IV.

DISCUSSION

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is the most common surgery that is being performed all over the world and is undergoing continuous upgrades with improving technology to make it safer, cosmetically acceptable and cost effective. Initially the complication rate with laparoscopic cholecystectomy was high but now with increase in the expertise, it has reached a remarkably low rate¹¹.

Preoperative prediction of difficult cholecys-

case to an experienced surgeon and assistant, especially in cases where an inexperienced surgeon come across a difficult cholecystectomy. Not only this, but it also helps to plan the patient

Table-I: Pre-operative Scoring System.

History		Score	Maximum Score	Biochemical		Score	Maximum Score
Age in Years	≤60	0	1	White blood	≤11,000	0	1
	>60	1		cell count	>11,000	1	1
Sex	Female	0	1	Total Bilirubin	≤1.1	0	1
	Male	1			>1.1	1	
History of acute	No	0	4	Alanine transaminase	≤45	0	1
cholecystitis	Yes	4			>45	1	
Comorbid Illness				transammase	>45	1	
Diabetes Mellitus	No	0	4	Alkaline	≤306	0	1
	Yes	1		phosphatase	>306	1	
Chronic Obstructive	No	0					
Airway disease	Yes	1					
Congestive Cardiac	No	0					
Failure	Yes	1					
Other systemic	No	0					
illness	Yes	1					
Sonography							
Wall thickness	Thin <4mm	0	1				
	Thick >4mm	1					
Pericholecystic	No	0	_				
collection	Yes	1	1				
Impacted stone at	No	0					
neck of gallbladder	Yes	1	1				
Contracted gall	No	0	1				
bladder	Yes	1	1				
Clinical							
Body mass index	<25	0	2				
	25-27.5	1					
	≥27.5	2					
Abdominal Scar	No	0	2				
	Infraum bilical	1					
	Suprau mbilical	2					
Palpable Gallbladder	No	0	1				
	Yes	1					

tectomy has several advantages not only for surgeons but also for the patients and their family. For the surgeons, it may help to plan the operative list accordingly and assign a difficult surgery first on list to avoid time pressure as well as unexpected conversion to open procedure. For patients and family, preoperative assessment helpsthem to understand the possibility of difficulties during the surgery, i.e. conversion to open

Table-II: Per operative assessment of difficulty.

Tuble 11. I et operative assessiment et ammeurty.				
Facu	Time taken is less than 60 minutes			
Easy (0-6)	and No bile spillage and			
(0-0)	No injury to duct/artery			
	Time take 61 - 120 minutes and/or			
Difficult	Bile/stone spillage and/or			
(7-15)	Injury to duct and/or			
, ,	No conversion to open procedure			
Very difficult	Time >120 mins or			
(16-25)	Conversion to open procedure			

procedure so they may plan accordingly keeping in mind the possibility of prolonged hospital stay and increased expenses.

In our study, among 208 patients, different

tomy in many studies^{15,16} and higher conversion rate from laparoscopic to open procedure has been shown. In our study, 34 (16.34%) of patients were old age or above 55 years of age. Out of these, only 10 patients (29.4%) had easy cholecystectomy compared to 24 (71.6%) of patients who experienced either difficult or very difficult cholecystectomy. These results were significant with a p-value of <0.001.

Male gender is associated with a significant risk of difficult cholecystectomy as reported in several studies^{15,17}. In one study, conversion rate and significantly higher mortality rate has been reported in male sex¹⁸. However in our study, it has not been found to be a significant factor.

Table-III: Relationship between the prediction of difficulty level of the cases preoperatively with peroperative assessment.

Per-Operative Assessment Total Difficult Very Difficult **Easy** 140 (67.3%) **Preoperative** Easy 17 (8.17%) 157 Prediction Difficult 5 (2.40%) 37 (17.7%) 9 (4.32%) 51 9 (4 3%) Total 145 (69 7%) 54 (26%) 208

predictive risk factors were assessed which were based on history, comorbid, sonographic or clinical finding and biochemical predictors. First proposed by Randhawa¹², we have done several modifications including other factors under the heading of biochemical parameters along with the extended history of the patient. Such factors have shown significance in various studies^{13,14}. As these factors do not put an additional cost to the

Table-IV: Reasons for lap converted to open cholecystectomy.

Reason	n (%)
Frozen calot's triangle	2
Adhesions	3
Anatomical anomaly	1
Bleeding	2
Gut injury	1

patient, they were included in the final analysis of the factors. Each predictor was assessed separately as a predictive risk factor for difficult cholecystectomy.

Increase age (>55 years) has been found to be significant risk factor for difficult cholecystec-

The most significant factor among all the predictors was previous history of acute cholecystitis¹⁹. It had the maximum weightage for difficult cholecystectomy and conversion to open procedure due to adhesions secondary to ongoing inflammatory processes. These adhesions usually require more time for dissection at calot's triangle and dissection of gall bladder from liver bed. In our study, similar results were observed and this factor was found to be significant (*p*-value ≤0.001) in predicting the probability of difficult laparoscopic procedure.

Among the patients enrolled for study, 29 (13.94%) patients were found to have some comorbid. These comorbid have shown the increase likelihood of difficulties during cholecystectomy in several studies²⁰. Among all the comorbid 16 (55.17%) patients were diabetic; 4 (13.8%) patients had previous history of pancreatitis secondary to cholelithiasis; 4 (13.8%) were hypertensive; 2 (6.9%) patients were of chronic liver disease; 2 (6.9%) patients were both diabetic and hypertensive; 1 (3.44%) patient was having

CRF; and 1 (3.44%) patient had tricuspid regurgitation. In our study, these comorbid have also shown to be significant risk factor (0.001) for a difficult cholecystectomy.

Based on evidence from multiple studies

pericholecystic collection, impacted stone at neck and contracted gall bladder. Among these factors wall thickness (<0.001) and contracted gall bladder (0.008) were found to be significant. However, impacted stone at neck (0.212) was insignificant in our study and none of the patient

Table-V: Association of individual parameter with difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

		Postoperative Assessment				
		Difficult	Easy	Very Difficult	<i>p</i> -value	
Age	<55 years	37 (21.3%)	135 (77.6%)	2 (1.1%)	<0.001	
	≥55 years	17 (50%)	10 (29.4%)	7 (20.6%)		
Gender	Female	46 (26.3%)	122 (69.7%)	7 (4%)	0.853	
	Male	8 (24.2%)	23 (69.7%)	2 (6.1%)		
History of acute	Yes	44 (38.6%)	61 (53.5%)	9 (7.9%)	ZO 001	
cholecystitis	No	10 (10.6%)	84 (89.4%)	-	<0.001	
Comorbids	No	35 (19.6%)	136 (76.4%)	7 (3.93%)		
	Diabetes	8 (50%)	6 (37.5%)	2 (12.5%)	0.001	
	Others	11 (78.6%)	3 (21.4%)	-		
*** 41 .4 . 4	Thin <4mm	48 (23.8%)	145 (71.8%)	9 (4.5%)	.0.004	
Wall thickness	Thick >4mm	6 (100%)	-	-	<0.001	
Pericholecystic	No	54 (26%)	145 (69.7%)	9 (4.3%)	_	
Collection	Yes	-	-	-		
Impacted stone at	No	30 (22.4%)	99 (73.9%)	5 (3.7%)	0.212	
neck	Yes	24 (32.4%)	46 (62.2%)	4 (5.4%)		
Contracted	No	48 (24.5%)	141 (71.9%)	7 (3.6%)	0.008	
gallbladder	Yes	6 (50%)	4 (33.3%)	2 (16.7%)		
Body Mass Index	<25	18 (13.3%)	112 (83%)	5 (3.7%)		
	25-27.5	32 (47.1%)	32 (47.1%)	4 (5.9%)	< 0.001	
	>27.5	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	-		
Abdominal scar	No	32 (21.6%)	110 (74.3%)	6 (4.1%)		
	Infraumbilical	20 (34.5%)	35 (60.3%)	3 (5.2%)	0.046	
	Supraumbilical	2 (100%)	-	-		
Palpable gallbladder	No	45 (23%)	145 (74%)	6 (3.1%)	10.001	
	Yes	9 (75%)	-	3 (25%)	<0.001	
White blood count	<11,000	50 (26.5%)	132 (69.8%)	7 (3.7%)	0.0250	
	>11000	4 (21.1%)	13 (68.4%)	2 (10.5%)	0.0358	
Total bilirubin	<1.1	49 (24.6%)	144 (72.4%)	6 (3%)	<0.001	
	>1.1	5 (55.6%)	1 (11.1%)	3 (33.3%)		
Alanine	<45	48 (24.4%)	143 (72.6%)	6 (3%)	40 001	
transaminase			2 (18.2%)	3 (27.3%)	<0.001	
Aspartate	<45	6 (54.5%) 50 (24.6%)	145 (71.4%)	8 (3.9%)	0.002	
transaminase	>45	4 (80%)	-	1 (20%)	0.002	
Alkaline	<360	40 (21.5%)	142 (76.3%)	4 (2.2%)	.0.001	
phosphatase	>360	13 (61.9%)	3 (14.3%)	5 (23.8%)	<0.001	

showing evidence of sonographic findings as important factor in assessing the difficult cholecy-stectomy²¹, we assessed similar sonographic factors in our study which include wall thickness,

had a pericholecystic collection at the time of procedure.

Obese patients may have a difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy²². Probable reasons

include difficult port placement and handling of instruments, difficult dissection at the calot's triangle due to obscure anatomy secondary to increase intra peritoneal fat. In our study, BMI was found to be a significant risk factor (<0.001) in predicting a difficult cholecystectomy.

Upper abdominal scar which is an indicator of previous abdominal surgery may cause formation of intra-abdominal adhesions that may lead to increase chances of intra-abdominal bleeding at the time of placement of umbilical port. In our study, it was found to be statically significant (<0.001).

Palpable gall bladder is also a clinical finding which is seen in patients with distended gall bladder either due to mucocele or empyema. During laparoscopic cholecystectomy, it is difficult to properly hold the gall bladder and often aspiration of the contents of gallbladder is required to hold the gallbladder properly. Such method is time consuming and chances of spillage of contents into the peritoneal cavity are high. Randhawa et al12, in his study correlated such factor with significant results. In our study, total 12 patients were found to have a palpable gall bladder, among these 9 (75%) had difficult cholecystectomy while 3 (25%) had very difficult cholecystectomy. Thus our results are significant (<0.001).

Biochemical markers which were assessed in our study were white cell count, total bilirubin, alanine and aspartate transaminase and alkaline phosphatase. All of these factors were found to be significant.

In our study 32 (15.3%) patients had bile spillage. Intraoperative time in 13 of these patients was <60 minutes but due to bile spillage they were included in difficult cases. Only 2 patients among 32 require conversion to open procedure other patients were managed by irrigation and suction alone.

Conversion rate reported in literature was between 7 and 35%²³. In our study, it is 4.32%. Without any doubt the experience of a surgeon is an important factor and all surgeries in our

department were performed by experience surgeons.

LIMITATION OF STUDY

Being a single centre study with small sample size the results may not be generalized. Although the operating surgeons were blind, performance bias cannot be ruled out.

CONCLUSION

The scoring system proposed in our studyincorporating all the known factors for difficult cholecystectomy does provide a definite, objective and reliable prediction of difficult case pre operatively.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

This study has no conflict of interest to be declared by any author.

REFERENCES

- Le VH, Smith DE, Johnson BL. Conversion of laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy in the current era of laparoscopic surgery. Am Surg 2012; 78(12): 1392-95.
- Rao DG, Suryanarayana K, Srinivas T, Satish B. A comparative study of laparoscopic versus open cholecystectomy. J Evolu Medi Dent Scien 2015; 4(74): 12916-20.
- Lipman JM, Claridge JA, Haridas M, Martin MD, Yao DC, Grimes KL, et al. Preoperative findings predict conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy. Surg 2007; 142(4): 556-65.
- Coccolini F, Catena F, Pisano M, Gheza F, Fagiuoli S, Di Saverio S, et al. Open versus laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis. Systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Surg 2015; 18(1): 196-04.
- Nachnani J, Supe A. Pre-operative prediction of difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy using clinical and ultrasonographic parameters. Indian journal of gastroenterology: Off J Ind Soc Gastro 2005; 24(1): 16-18.
- Adhikari SK, Acharya A. Preoperative Scoring System to Predict Difficult Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy. Post-Gradu Medi J NAMS 2015; 12(01): 01-05.
- Bhar P, Halder SK, Ray RP, Bhattacharjee PK. Preoperative prediction of difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Ind Med Gaze 2013: 12(2): 128-33.
- 8. Agrawal N, Singh S, Khichy S. Preoperative prediction of difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a scoring method. Niger J Surg 2015; 21(2): 130-33.
- Parmar H, Chauhan S, Arun R, Sutaria A. Pre-operative prediction of difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy from clinical, hematological and radiological parameters. Int Surg J 2018; 5(12): 3807-13.
- Vivek MA, Augustine AJ, Rao R. A comprehensive predictive scoring method for difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy. J Mini Acces Surg 2014; 10(2): 62-67.
- 11. Donkervoort SC, Kortram K, Dijksman LM, Boermeester MA, van Ramshorst B, Boerma D. Anticipation of complications

- after laparoscopic cholecystectomy: prediction of individual outcome. Surg Endoscopy 2016; 30(12): 5388-94.
- 12. Randhawa JS, Pujahari AK. Preoperative prediction of difficult lap chole: a scoring method. Ind J surg 2009; 71(4): 198-01.
- Lee NW, Collins J, Britt R, Britt LD. Evaluation of preoperative risk factors for converting laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy. Ameri Surg 2012; 78(8): 831-33.
- Lipman JM, Claridge JA, Haridas M, Martin MD, Yao DC, Grimes KL, et al. Preoperative findings predict conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy. Surgery 2007; 142(4): 556-65.
- 15. Hussain A. Difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy: current evidence and strategies of management. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech 2011; 21(4): 211-17.
- Lee NW, Collins J, Britt R, Britt LD. Evaluation of preoperative risk factors for converting laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy. Ameri Surg 2012; 78(8): 831-33.
- Joshi MR, Bohara TP, Rupakheti S, Parajuli A, Shrestha DK, Karki D, et al. Pre-operative prediction of difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy. J Nepal Medi Assoc 2015; 53(200): 221-26.
- 18. Bouarfa L, Schneider A, Feussner H, Navab N, Lemke HU,

- Jonker PP, et al. Prediction of intraoperative complexity from preoperative patient data for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Artificial Intellig Med 2011; 52(3): 169-76.
- Gupta N, Ranjan G, Arora MP, Goswami B, Chaudhary P, Kapur A, et al. Validation of a scoring system to predict difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Int J Surg 2013; 11(9): 1002-06
- Da Costa DW, Bouwense SA, Schepers NJ, Besselink MG, van Santvoort HC, Van Brunschot S, et al. Same-admission versus interval cholecystectomy for mild gallstone pancreatitis (PONCHO): a multicentrerandomised controlled trial. Lancet 2015; 386(10000): 1261-68.
- 21. Dhanke PS, Ugane SP. Factors predicting difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A single-institution experience. Int J Stud Rese 2014; 4(1): 03-07.
- 22. Khan I, Ahmed T, Iqbal MM, Khan MI, Shah SH, Perveen S. Relationship of BMI and age with cholelithiasis. J Surg Pak (Inter) 2017; 22(3): 3-6.
- Khan IA, El-Tinay OE. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. Can preoperative factors predict conversion? Saudi Med J 2004; 25(3): 299-02.

.....