

REVIEW ARTICLES

SCREENING IN GENETICALLY INDUCED PANCREATIC CANCER: AN UPDATE

Tariq Parvez, *Babar Parvez

Department of Oncology, King Fahad Hospital Al-Madina Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, *PSCP, Lahore Pakistan

INTRODUCTION

It is likely that hereditary factors play a role in 17% or more of pancreatic cancers. Ten percent of patients have a familial history that causes disease. Another 7% who apparently have a history of sporadic pancreatic cancer but carry a genetic mutation that causes the disease [1]. Pancreatic cancer is the fifth leading cause of cancer death in the United States [2]. Studies from Pakistan have shown that in 1989 it was 0.47% [3]. There was no significant increase over last two decades [4]. It is an aggressive disease that is uniformly fatal [5,6]. Improvements in surgical techniques have not changed the prognosis which still remains poor. As a result, much focus has been placed on the identification and detection of the specific genetic abnormalities that leads to this disease. After identifying these abnormalities, the effected individuals have a chance for curative treatment at early stage. Family history of genetic abnormality is the key to finding the susceptible individuals [7,8]. After highlighting these individuals, any molecular testing can be offered [9].

Without resection, the overall median survival is 4-6 months with an estimated 5 year survival rate of 0.4%-5% [10]. Chemotherapy has only a modest effect in improving survival by just a few weeks or months [11]. Unfortunately, due to the lack of specific symptoms and current limitations in imaging, only 10%-15% of patients are suitable for a potentially curative resection on presentation. Genetic factors not only play an individual role in cancer formation but this is further augmented many times under the

effect of environmental factors like tobacco smoking [12,13]. Molecular analysis is allowing us to detect the specific genetic mutations which can be detected at an early stage so that an effective treatment can be offered to these patients [14]. The aim of this update has been to present a discussion on the genetic factors involved in pancreatic cancer and their clinical use.

Background of Genetic Disorders

Malignant growth results from mutations in several genes involved in cell cycle control [15]. These genes are of two types, tumor suppressor genes and an oncogene (K-ras). In pancreatic cancers, K-ras mutations have been found frequently (80%-100%), and they could be a good marker to detect tumor DNA in the plasma. BRCA2 mutations are the commonest inherited disorder [16,17]. Evidence for genetic alterations in pancreatic cancer has been obtained. Loss of chromosomal arms 1p, 9p (p16), 17p (p53), and 18q (DPC4) has been seen. This leads to inactivation or mutation of tumor suppressor genes in pancreatic cancer which leads to failure of normal growth [18]. Various genes effect at different stages of tumor development. Pancreatic cancer is associated with a high rate of inactivation of tumor suppressor genes. Potential loss of function of these genes has been reported in more than 50% of cases. As a result up regulation of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) occurs. VEGF promotes angiogenesis in solid tumors.

Progression of Disease

A progression model for pancreatic cancer like that for many other cancers is also established [19]. The term pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PanIN) now

Correspondence: Dr Tariq Parvez, Consultant Oncologist, King Fahad Hospital, Al-Madina, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

describes the various changes seen in the pancreatic duct system, and is graded as 1 to 3 according to the degree of structural dysplasia and cytological atypia. Cell proliferation rates increase with advancing PanIN lesions, consistent with the theory that these are progressive lesions. PanIN-3, previously referred to as carcinoma in situ lesions, demonstrates severe atypia and are likely to progress to invasive carcinoma [20]. The genetic mutations that take place in these precursor lesions appear to occur in a temporal fashion. These appear one after the other and are cumulative; progressing from 9% in normal ducts to 85% in invasive carcinoma and may signify a poorer prognosis [21].

Genetic Risk Factors

Up to 5%-10% of pancreatic cancer cases are due to a primary genetic factor. In certain families, this is associated with an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance [12]. These can be divided into three groups. First group is genetic syndrome associated with pancreatic cancer. The second group is familial clusters of pancreatic cancer without obvious genetic syndrome. The third one is those with pancreatic cancer, in primary relatives of patients with a nonpancreatic cancer. Several of these hereditary disorders predispose persons to both endocrine and exocrine pancreatic cancer. These include the multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1 syndrome, hereditary pancreatitis, hereditary nonpolyposis colon cancer/Lynch syndrome-II, von Hippel Lindau syndrome, ataxia-telangiectasia, and the familial atypical multiple mole melanoma syndrome. Case reports and formal epidemiologic studies have suggested the possibility of familial aggregations of pancreatic cancer outside the context of these rare familial syndromes.

Familial pancreatic cancer (FPC) was first described in 1987. The causative mutation remains unknown, although recent work has identified a subset of patients with BRCA2 germline mutation [22]. The BRCA2 protein

product plays a diverse role through its interaction with proteins involved in cell cycle regulation, transcriptional regulation, and DNA repair [23]. Loss of function is thought to lead to chromosomal instability, and carriers of the defective gene have a 26%-86% increased risk of developing breast cancer [24]. The penetrance for pancreatic cancer appears to be lower; in recent studies. It was found in approximately 5% of patients with pancreatic cancer who had no family history of pancreatic cancer and in up to 17% in FPC families. More recently, in one study 19% families were found to harbor significant BRCA2 germ line mutations and suggested that BRCA2 testing may be appropriate in pancreatic cancer screening [22].

The familial atypical multiple mole melanoma (FAMMM) syndrome is an autosomal dominant inherited syndrome with incomplete penetrance. Its pathogenesis has been linked to inactivation of tumor suppressor gene, and carriers have a 2-fold increased risk of pancreatic cancer [25]. Hereditary pancreatitis is an autosomal dominant condition characterized by recurrent childhood attacks of acute pancreatitis resulting in the development of chronic pancreatitis in teenage years. Any form of pancreatitis is thought to pose a risk for pancreatic cancer development, ranging from a 15-25 time risk in sporadic chronic pancreatitis to a 70-100 time risk in hereditary pancreatitis [26].

Peutz-Jeghers syndrome consists of multiple oromucosal and intestinal hamartomas. It is associated with the development of cancer at multiple sites and has an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance with a high risk of pancreatic cancer [27]. Hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal carcinoma has an increased risk of pancreatic cancer. Affected individuals of this autosomal dominant condition also have an increased risk of colonic and extra-colonic cancers. These are caused by mutations in the DNA repair genes but the exact risk of pancreatic cancer is unknown [28]. Ataxia

telangiectasia is an autosomal recessive condition that is associated with the loss of the ataxia telangiectasia mutated gene. Carriers of the mutated gene have an approximately 3 times relative risk of pancreatic cancer [29].

Li-Fraumeni syndrome is an autosomal dominant inherited condition, which predisposes to several neoplasms. Pancreatic neoplasms however are rare and the exact risk is unknown due to limited data [30]. Familial adenomatous polyposis is an autosomal dominant condition with near complete penetrance. There is an approximately 4.5 times increased risk of pancreatic cancer [31]. Patients with Fanconi anemia (FA), the pancreatic cancers that arise have low penetrance for the pancreatic cancer phenotype [32]. In patients with multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1 (MEN1), the most common functional pancreatic endocrine tumor (PET) syndrome is Zollinger Ellison syndrome (ZES). Some progress in its early diagnosis and management have been appreciated [33]. Physician knowledge about pancreatic cancer's natural history and syndrome identification is important for these lesions to be picked up early [34].

Prevention and Screening

Clinicians should be aware of the tumour syndromes that are associated with an increased risk of PC. Screening tests are only recommended in high risk patients. BRCA2 mutational testing in FPC and p16 testing in patients with FAMMM have shown the most promising results to date but have yet to reach widespread clinical application [22,35]. Patients considered to be high risk should be offered participation in screening programs in a specialist research environment [36]. Individuals who test positive should undergo secondary screening to detect the cancer at a potentially preneoplastic stage, which may be up to a year before a neoplasm is clinically apparent [37]. If genetic analysis is suggestive of dysplasia and there is evidence to support this, then it would be reasonable to proceed to

total pancreatectomy since the multifocal nature of these dysplastic lesions in high risk groups precludes any form of pancreas preserving procedure [38]. Indeed, reports now suggest that high risk individuals benefit from intensive screening.

Biological Markers for Screening

It is possible to detect specific K-ras mutations in the pancreatic juice, fine-needle aspirates, duodenal fluid, bile, and stool samples of patients with pancreatic cancer [39,40]. Serum screening for K-ras mutations in combination with measurement of the tumor marker CA19-9 is very helpful in diagnosis [41]. Detection of p53 mutations appears to be more promising, with a greater specificity for pancreatic cancer, even in cases with chronic pancreatitis. In conjunction with mutant K-ras analysis, p53 detection in both stool and pancreatic juice offers enhanced detection of pancreatic cancer. Telomerase activity that is highly specific for malignancy can be detected in small cellular samples such as pancreatic juice and bile as well as in fine-needle aspirates [42,43]. Serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE) and microarray technology can analyze hundreds and thousands of genes at a time and thus making them useful in screening programs. Serum glycoprophosphoprotein osteopontin (OPN) may have utility as a diagnostic marker in patients with pancreatic cancer [44].

Secondary Screening

If molecular analysis of either serum or pancreatic juice reveals a mutation, secondary screening using tests such as endoscopic luminal ultrasound (EUS) and multislice computed tomography (CT) are used [45,46]. A more aggressive screening approaches such as endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) may be used. Both CT and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can be used to image the pancreas. However, they have limitations. ERCP can detect subtle changes in the pancreatic ducts. Currently, pancreatic juice is

probably the most suitable sample for the genetic analysis of early pancreatic cancer. However, ERCP has a 5%-10% complication rate, and these effects can sometimes be severe.

Alternatively, an intraluminal ultrasound may be used to obtain detailed images of the pancreas and also the parenchymal tissue. Inflammation and fibrosis are common in pancreatitis. However, the parenchyma is often normal in other cancer conditions such as FPC or Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. Hahn SA et al reported their experience of screening high risk individuals from three families with FPC.³⁰ Both ERCP and EUS may have a role in screening examinations; however, in the presence of background pathology, the power of these modalities to identify early pancreatic neoplasia remains to be established. For a number of solid tumors, including pancreatic cancer, efforts aimed at disease prevention may be more successful than currently available anticancer treatments. However, preclinical and epidemiologic studies suggest that several drugs may have chemopreventive potential in pancreatic cancer [47].

CONCLUSION

Although these genetic diseases leading to pancreatic cancer are not so common but these individuals need counseling and should be considered for germline mutation analysis as an initial step to secondary screening [48]. Screening of these individuals is justifiable both scientifically and economically [49]. In the future, risk stratification will improve with the identification of more genetic alterations responsible for developing an increased risk to pancreatic cancer and with advances in radiological techniques.

New developments in molecular genetics may contribute to the identification of cancer prone families. Appropriate screening and management protocols may be initiated in order to prevent cancer and/or to detect cancer at an early stage, thereby complete cure in these cases [50].

REFERENCES

1. Brentnall TA. Management strategies for patients with hereditary pancreatic cancer. **Curr Treat Options Oncol** 2005; 6 (5): 437-45.
2. Parvez T, Dawood T. Pancreatic cancer: New strategies available, but long battle ahead. **J Coll Phys Surg Pak** 2003; 13(6): 303-4.
3. Parvez T. Prevalence of cancer in different hospitals of Lahore (Retrospective study). **The Cancer Research** 1992; 1: 6-13.
4. Parvez T. Percentage comparison of Malignancies between 1976 & 1989 (Hospital based study). **The Cancer Research** 1992; 1: 88-93.
5. Vimalachandran D, Ghaneh P, Costello E, Neoptolemos JP. Genetics and prevention of pancreatic cancer. **Cancer Control** 2004; 11 (1): 6-14.
6. Lynch HT, Deters CA, Lynch JF, Brand RE. Familial pancreatic carcinoma in Jews. **Fam Cancer** 2004; 3 (3-4): 233-40.
7. Brand RE, Lynch HT. Identification of high-risk pancreatic cancer-prone families. **Gastroenterol Clin North Am** 2004; 33 (4): 907-18.
8. Rieder H, Bartsch DK. Familial pancreatic cancer. **Fam Cancer** 2004; 3 (1): 69-74.
9. Lilley M, Gilchrist D. The hereditary spectrum of pancreatic cancer: the Edmonton experience. **Can J Gastroenterol** 2004; 18 (1): 17-21.
10. Bramhall SR, Allum WH, Jones AG, Allwood A, Cummins C, Neoptolemos JP. Treatment and survival in 13,560 patients with pancreatic cancer, and incidence of the disease in the West Midlands: an epidemiological study. **Br J Surg** 1995; 82: 111-5.
11. Shore S, Raraty MG, Ghaneh P, Neoptolemos JP. Chemotherapy for pancreatic cancer. **Aliment Pharmacol Ther** 2003; 18: 1049-69.

12. de Vos tot Nederveen Cappel WH, Lagendijk MA, Lamers CB, Morreau H, Vasen HF. Surveillance for familial pancreatic cancer. **Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl** 2003; **239**: 94-9.
13. Uemura T, Hibi K, Kaneko T, Takeda S, Inoue S, Okochi O, Nagasaka T, Nakao A. Detection of K-ras mutations in the plasma DNA of pancreatic cancer patients. **J Gastroenterol** 2004; **39** (1): 56-60.
14. Brentnall TA, Bronner MP, Byrd DR, Haggitt RC, Kimmey MB. Early diagnosis and treatment of pancreatic dysplasia in patients with a family history of pancreatic cancer. **Ann Intern Med** 1999; **131**: 247-55.
15. Cowgill SM, Muscarella P. The genetics of pancreatic cancer. **Am J Surg** 2003; **186** (3): 279-86.
16. Lowenfels AB, Maisonneuve P. Epidemiology and prevention of pancreatic cancer. **Jpn J Clin Oncol** 2004; **34** (5): 238-44.
17. Martin ST, Matsubayashi H, Rogers CD, Philips J, Couch FJ, Brune K, et al. Increased prevalence of the BRCA2 polymorphic stop codon K3326X among individuals with familial pancreatic cancer. **Oncogene** 2005; **24** (22): 3652-6.
18. Knudson AG Jr. Mutation and cancer: a statistical study of retinoblastoma. **Proc Natl Acad Sci USA** 1971; **68**: 820-3.
19. Pour PM, Sayed S, Sayed G. Hyperplastic, preneoplastic and neo-plastic lesions found in 83 human pancreases. **Am J Clin Pathol** 1982; **77**: 137-52.
20. Hruban RH, Wilentz RE, Kern SE. Genetic progression in the pancreatic ducts. **Am J Pathol** 2000; **156**: 1821-5.
21. Tascilar M, Skinner HG, Rosty C, Sohn T, Wilentz RE, Offerhaus GJ, et al. The SMAD4 protein and prognosis of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. **Clin Cancer Res** 2001; **7**: 4115-21.
22. Hahn SA, Greenhalf B, Ellis I, Sina-Frey M, Rieder H, Korte B, et al. BRCA2 germline mutations in familial pancreatic carcinomas. **J Natl Cancer Inst** 2003; **95**: 214-21.
23. Daniel DC. Highlight: BRCA1 and BRCA2 proteins in breast cancer. **Microsc Res Tech** 2002; **59**: 68-83.
24. Warner E, Foulkes W, Goodwin P, Meschino W, Blondal J, Paterson C, et al. Prevalence and penetrance of BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene mutations in unselected Ashkenazi Jewish women with breast cancer. **J Natl Cancer Inst** 1999; **91**: 1241-7.
25. Efthimiou E, Crnogorac-Jurcevic T, Lemoine NR. Inherited predisposition to pancreatic cancer. **Gut** 2001; **48**: 143-7.
26. Howes N, Neoptolemos JP. Risk of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma in chronic pancreatitis. **Gut** 2002; **51**: 765-6.
27. Giardiello FM, Brensinger JD, Tersmette AC, Goodman SN, Petersen GM, Booker SV, et al. Very high risk of cancer in familial Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. **Gastroenterology** 2000; **119**: 1447-53.
28. Brentnall TA. Cancer surveillance of patients from familial pancreatic cancer kindreds. **Med Clin North Am** 2000; **84**: 707-18.
29. Geoffroy-Perez B, Janin N, Ossian K, Lauge A, Croquette MF, Griscelli C, et al. Cancer risk in heterozygotes for ataxia-telangiectasia. **Int J Cancer** 2001; **93**: 288-93.
30. Li FP, Fraumeni JF Jr, Mulvihill JJ, Blattner WA, Dreyfus MG, Tucker MA, et al. A cancer family syndrome in twenty-four kindreds. **Cancer Res** 1988; **48**: 5358-62.
31. Giardiello FM, Offerhaus GJ, Lee DH, Krush AJ, Tersmette AC, Booker SV, et al. Increased risk of thyroid and pancreatic carcinoma in familial adenomatous polyposis. **Gut** 1993; **34**: 1394-6.
32. Rogers CD, Van Der Heijden MS, Brune K, Yeo CJ, Hruban RH, Kern SE, et al. The Genetics of FANCC and FANCG in Familial Pancreatic Cancer. **Cancer Biol Ther** 2004; **3** (2).

33. Gibril F, Schumann M, Pace A, Jensen RT. Multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1 and Zollinger-Ellison syndrome: a prospective study of 107 cases and comparison with 1009 cases from the literature. **Medicine (Baltimore)** 2004; 83 (1): 43-83.
34. Lynch HT, Deters CA, Lynch JF, Brand RA. Challenging pancreatic cancer-prone pedigrees: a nosologic dilemma. **Am J Gastroenterol** 2002; 97 (12): 3062-70.
35. Lynch HT, Brand RE, Hogg D, Deters CA, Fusaro RM, Lynch JF, et al. Phenotypic variation in eight extended CDKN2A germline mutation familial atypical multiple mole melanoma-pancreatic carcinoma-prone families: the familial atypical mole melanoma-pancreatic carcinoma syndrome. **Cancer** 2002; 94: 84-96.
36. Ellis I, Lerch MM, Whitcomb DC. Genetic testing for hereditary pancreatitis: guidelines for indications, counseling, consent and privacy issues. **Pancreatology** 2001; 1: 405-15.
37. Berthelemy P, Bouisson M, Escourrou J, Vaysse N, Rumeau JL, Pradayrol L. Identification of K-ras mutations in pancreatic juice in the early diagnosis of pancreatic cancer. **Ann Intern Med** 1995; 123: 188-91.
38. Bartsch DK. Familial pancreatic cancer. **Br J Surg** 2003; 90: 386-7.
39. Tada M, Komatsu Y, Kawabe T, Sasahira N, Isayama H, Toda N, et al. Quantitative analysis of K-ras gene mutation in pancreatic tissue obtained by endoscopic ultrasonography-guided fine needle aspiration: clinical utility for diagnosis of pancreatic tumor. **Am J Gastroenterol** 2002; 97: 2263-70.
40. Wilentz RE, Chung CH, Sturm PD, Musler A, Sohn TA, Offerhaus GJ, et al. K-ras mutations in the duodenal fluid of patients with pancreatic carcinoma. **Cancer** 1998; 82: 96-103.
41. Zhang Y, Ji SR, Feng DX, Ji J, Han TQ. Significance of detection of K-ras gene mutations and CA19-9 in serum for diagnosis of pancreatic carcinoma. **Ai Zheng** 2003; 22: 295-7.
42. Mizumoto K, Tanaka M. Genetic diagnosis of pancreatic cancer. **J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg** 2002; 9:39-44
43. Pearson AS, Chiao P, Zhang L, Zhang W, Larry L, Katz RL, et al. The detection of telomerase activity in patients with adenocarcinoma of the pancreas by fine needle aspiration. **Int J Oncol** 2000; 17: 381-5.
44. Koopmann J, Fedarko NS, Jain A, Maitra A, Iacobuzio-Donahue C, Rahman A, et al. Evaluation of osteopontin as biomarker for pancreatic adenocarcinoma. **Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev** 2004; 13 (3): 487-91.
45. Canto MI, Goggins M, Yeo CJ, Griffin C, Axilbund JE, Brune K, et al. Screening for pancreatic neoplasia in high-risk individuals: an EUS-based approach. **Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.** 2004; 2 (7): 606-21.
46. Rulyak SJ, Brentnall TA. Inherited pancreatic cancer: improvements in our understanding of genetics and screening. **Int J Biochem Cell Biol.** 2004; 36 (8): 1386-92.
47. Wolff RA. Chemoprevention for pancreatic cancer. **Int J Gastrointest Cancer** 2003; 33 (1): 27-41.
48. Greenhalf W, McFaul C, Earl J, Howes N, Neoptolemos J, Kress R, et al. Anticipation in familial pancreatic cancer. **Gut** 2005; 21; [Epub ahead of print].
49. Rulyak SJ, Kimmey MB, Veenstra DL, Brentnall TA. Cost-effectiveness of pancreatic cancer screening in familial pancreatic cancer kindreds. **Gastrointest Endosc** 2003; 57: 23-9
50. Koliopanos A, Wirtz M, Buchler MW, Friess H. The role of surgery in the prevention of familial cancer syndromes of the gastrointestinal tract. **Dig Dis** 2002; 20 (1): 91-101.