# Co-Existence of Uterine Myomas and Endometriosis in Women Undergoing Myomectomy/Hysterectomy

#### Arwa Fatima, Tehreem Yazdani, Bushra Zafar, Hira Shafqat

Department of Obs & Gynae, Pak Emirates Military Hospital/National University of Medical Sciences (NUMS) Rawalpindi Pakistan

#### ABSTRACT

*Objective:* To determine the frequency of coexistence of myomas and endometriosis in patients undergoing myomectomy or hysterectomy.

Study Design: Cross-sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: Pak Emirates Military Hospital Rawalpindi, Pakistan from Sep 2022 to Sep 2023.

*Methodology:* Seventy (70) patients undergoing above mentioned myomectomy/hysterectomy were visually examined for the presence of endometriosis. The Chi-square test and Fisher's exact test were used in SPSS-20 to determine the significance of the relationship between the two conditions. Categorical data were expressed as descriptive statistics through frequency percentile. Analysis of continuous variables was carried out through the one-way ANOVA. Results were considered significant at  $p \le 0.05$ .

**Results:** In this study, 13 out of 70(18.57%) of the patients operated for myomectomy/ hysterectomy were found to have coexisting uterine myomas and endometriosis. Statistically non-significant (p=0.08) association between these two ailments was noted. Age, parity and body weight were not found to influence the existence or coexistence of these ailments. Pain was a significant finding 13(18.57%) in cases of endometriosis and coexisting uterine myomas (p=0.00). Abnormal uterine bleeding was found significantly (p=0.02) associated with uterine myomas 54(77.14%). Subfertility though a predominant feature was not found to have a significant association with both the conditions and their coexistence (p=0.35, p=0.34 & p=0.44).

*Conclusion:* A non-significant association between uterine myomas and endometriosis. Pain was a significant finding where the two diseases coexisted.

Keywords: Comorbidity, Endometriosis, Hysterectomy, Myomas.

How to Cite This Article: Fatima A, Yazdani T, Zafar B, Shafqat H. Co-Existence of Uterine Myomas and Endometriosis in Women Undergoing Myomectomy/Hysterectomy. Pak Armed Forces Med J 2024; 74(6): 1639-1644. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.51253/pafmj.v74i6.11230</u>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Uterine myoma and endometriosis are two major causes of gynaecological complaints, among women. Myomas by definition are benign tumours of the myometrium developing in smooth musculature. Structurally these are composed of glycoproteins and collagen fibers. Fibroids have a spectrum of symptoms in women ranging from mild pain or pressure, difficulty in urinating or defecating to heavy menstrual bleeding which sometimes can be life-threatening. In such conditions, surgical intervention like myomectomy or hysterectomy is advised. Endometriosis, on the other hand, involves the presence of endometrial glands and stroma at extra-uterine locations. Due to severe pain associated with this condition, surgical intervention is usually required. These two are though, benign conditions of the uterus, but may adversely affect the reproductive life of a woman.<sup>1,2</sup> Infertility and poor health are major outcomes of these issues; however, untreated cases may lead to serious complications. Each one of the two has its effects on patients' health; however, simultaneous presence may aggravate the condition. Patients suffering from either or both of these conditions have poor life quality due to variable complaints which include voluminous menstrual bleeding or menorrhagia, anaemia, painful periods, urinary incontinence, discomfort during sex, constipation, backache, lower abdominal as well as pelvic pain and poor fertility.<sup>3</sup> Uterine myomas are enormously common conditions in women over 45 years of age where an incidence of over 60% has been reported.<sup>4</sup> In an earlier study conducted at Rawalpindi, Pakistan, more than 50% of the women reporting at a tertiary care centre with menstrual irregularity were diagnosed to have uterine myomas out of which 77.14% were multiparous.<sup>5</sup> Sometimes myomas are incidental finding during surgeries planned for other malignant and premalignant diseases like severe adenomyosis and deeply infiltrating endometriosis. When myomas and endometriosis co-occur, clinicians face a complex decision-making process regarding the appropriate surgical approach, as well as considerations for fertility preservation.

**Correspondence: Dr Arwa Fatima**, Department of Obs & Gynae, Pak Emirates Military Hospital, Rawalpindi Pakistan *Received*: 03 Dec 2023; revision received: 05 Mar 2024; accepted: 22 Jul 2024

This study aimed to report the prevalence of co-existence of uterine fibroids and endometriosis noticed during surgical interventions and establish a correlation if any between the two conditions as well as enumerate the risk factors associated with them. If any association is established between myomas and endometriosis, new non-invasive treatments and pharmacotherapies can be developed to target these conditions.<sup>6</sup>

## METHODOLOGY

This cross-sectional study was carried out at the Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Pak Emirates Military Hospital, Rawalpindi Pakistan from September 2022 to September 2023 after seeking approval vide number CPSP/REU/OBG-2021-124-10954 dated 27 August 2022. Formal ethical approval was obtained from the hospital Ethical Review Committee (A/28/ERC/619/23). Sample size was calculated using WHO sample size calculator,<sup>7</sup> with the reported prevalence of uterine myometra 40%.<sup>8</sup> The estimated sample size came out to be 369; however, for this study only 70 women patients could be made available.

**Inclusion Criteria:** Patients aged between 20 to 60 years, both nulliparous and parous, who were prediagnosed with either fibroids or endometriosis clinically or on ultrasound/MRI or had severe symptoms indicating exploration via open surgery/laparoscopy were included.

**Exclusion Criteria:** Patients who had well established and diagnosed co-occurrence of both conditions were excluded from the study.

A total of seventy patients who underwent myomectomy or hysterectomy during the above mentioned study duration were included in the study. Pre-operatively, all patients were assessed and detailed history and examination were taken. Age, parity, marital status and primary complaints were taken as variables. Endometriosis was diagnosed during laparotomy/laparoscopy by visualisation of deposits of endometrial tissue on the outer surface of the uterus, fallopian tubes, ovaries, peritoneum or any other organs. In cases where no gross deposits were seen but patients had significant history, further confirmation was made through histopathology.

Data was entered in an excel sheet for subsequent analysis. Categorical data like the presence or absence of disease were expressed as descriptive statistics through frequency percentile. To determine the association between categorical variables, data collected were cross-tabulated and subjected to statistical analysis under the Chi-square test using SPSS version 20. The coefficient of association was calculated in a 2x2 cross table. Analysis of continuous variables was carried out through one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) in SPSS. Results were considered significant at  $p \le 0.05$ .

## RESULTS

The mean age and body mass index (BMI) of the subjects were  $37.57\pm5.60$  years and  $26.7\pm5.0$  (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) respectively whereas parity ranged from 0 to 6. Overall abnormal uterine bleeding was the major complaint 54(77.14%) followed by subfertility 40 (57.17%) and pain 35(50%). During surgery 63(90%) patients were found to have myomas whereas endometriosis was the least common finding 13(18.57%). Thirteen (18.57%) of the patients operated for myomectomy/hysterectomy were found to have coexisting uterine myomas and endometriosis. The statistical analysis showed a non-significant association between the two ailments (p=0.08, Table-I). Age (p=0.97) and body weight (p=0.16) were not found to influence the existence or coexistence of these ailments (Table-II). Pain was a significant finding in endometriosis 13(18.57%, *p*<0.001) and when

Table-I Coexistence of Gynaecological Conditions Observed During Surgery (n=70)

Baseline Characteristics of Patients		Prevalence of Uterine Myomas n(%)		Total		
		Present	Absent	10(a)	<i>p</i> -value	
Prevalence of Endometriosis n(%	Present	10(14.28%)	3(4.29%)	13(18.57%)		
	Absent	53(75.71%)	4(5.71%)	57(81.42%)	0.08	
Total		63(90%)	7(10%)	70(100%)		
	Diseases					
Variables						
Variables	Myon	nas	Diseases Endometriosis	Myomas+	* <i>p</i> -value	
	-		Endometriosis	Endometriosis	•	
Variables Age (Years) (Mean±SD)	Myon 37.68±			5	* <i>p</i> -value	

\*ANOVA

#### Uterine Myometra Abdominal/pelvic pain n(%) **Baseline Characteristics** Total *p*-value Present Absent 30(42.86%) 33(47.14%) 63(90.00%) Present Prevalence n(%) Absent 6(8.57%) 1(1.43%) 7(10.00%) 0.06 70(100.00%) Total 36(51.43%) 34(48.57%) Endometriosis Abdominal/pelvic pain n(%) **Baseline Characteristics** Total p-value Present Absent 13(18.57%) 0(0.00%) 13(18.57%) Present Prevalence n(%) 23(32.86%) 34(48.57%) 57(81.42%) Absent < 0.001 36(51.43%) 34(48.57%) 70(100.00%) Total Myometra coexisting with Endometriosis Abdominal/pelvic pain n(%) **Baseline Characteristics** Total p-value Present Absent 10 (14.28%) Prevalence 10(14.28%) Present 0(0.00%)26(37.14%) 60 (85.71%) < 0.001 n (%) Absent 34(48.57%) 70(100.00%) Total 36(51.43%) 34(48.57%) Table-IV Association of Gynaecological Conditions with Abnormal Uterine Bleeding (n=70) Uterine Myometra Uterine Bleeding n (%) **Baseline Characteristics** Total p-value Absent Present Present 54(77.14%) 9(12.86%) 63(90.00%) Prevalence n(%) Absent 4(5.71%)3 (4.29%) 7(10.00%) 0.02 Total 70(100.00%) 58(82.85%) 12(17.15%) Endometriosis Uterine Bleeding n(%) **Baseline Characteristics** Total p-value Present Absent 13(18.57%) 9(12.86%) 4(5.71%) Present Prevalence n(%) 57(81.42%) Absent 45(64.29%) 12(17.15%) 0.33 Total 54(77.14%) 16(22.86%) 70(100.00%) Myometra coexisting with Endometriosis Uterine Bleeding n (%) *p*-value **Baseline Characteristics** Total Present Absent Present 8(11.73%) 2(2.86%) 10 (14.29%) Prevalence n(%) 46(65.71%) 14(20.00%) 60 (85.71%) 0.59 Absent Total 54(77.14%) 16(48.57%) 70(100.00%) Table-V Association of Gynaecological Conditions with Abnormal Subfertility (n=70) **Uterine Myometra** Subfertility n (%) **Baseline Characteristics** Total *p*-value Present Absent 35(50.00%) 63(90.00%) Present 28(40.00%) Prevalence n(%) Absent 5(7.14%) 2(2.86%) 7(10.00%) 0.35 Total 40(57.14%) 30(42.86%) 70(100.00%) Endometriosis Subfertility n(%) **Baseline Characteristics** Total p-value Present Absent 13(18.57%) Present 7(10.00%)6 (8.57%) Prevalence n(%) 24(34.29%) 57(81.43%) Absent 33(47.14%) 0.33 Total 40(57.14%) 30(42.86%) 70(100.00%)

#### Table-III Association of Gynaecological Conditions with Pain (n=70)

Myometra coexisting with Endometriosis

Baseline Characteristics		Subferti	lity n(%)	Total	<i>p</i> -value
		Present	Absent		
Prevalence n(%)	Present	5(7.14%)	5(7.14%)	10 (14.29%)	0.59
	Absent	35(50.00%)	25(35.71%)	60 (85.71%)	
Total		40(54.14%)	30(42.86%)	70(100.00%)	

coexisting with uterine myomas 10(14.28%, p<0.001). However, it showed a weak association with myomas 30(42.85%, *p*=0.06) (Table-III). Abnormal uterine bleeding was found significantly (p=0.02) associated with uterine myomas 54(77.14%), Table-IV). However, subfertility though a predominant feature was not found to have a significant association with myomas 35(50%), p=0.35), endometriosis 7(10%) and co-existing myomas and endometriosis 5(7.14%), p=0.59) (Table-V).

# DISCUSSION

In our study, 18.57% of the patients operated for myomectomy or hysterectomy were found to have coexisting uterine myomas and endometriosis. Statistical analysis of the data collected revealed a nonsignificant association (p < 0.08) between these two ailments. These findings are partly in line with previous studies carried out on the subject which have reported a highly variable (12-86%) prevalence of coexistence.<sup>9</sup> Huang *et al.*,<sup>10</sup> stated a frequency of 86% coexistence of these two conditions among 131 patients operated on for myomectomy or hysterectomy. A retrospective review by Nezhat et al.,11 described a concomitant prevalence of myoma and endometriosis in 87% of the patients who underwent laparoscopic-assisted myomectomy or hysterectomy. A comparatively lower presence of coexistence (28.6%) was reported by Naphatthalung et al.,12 Another study by Uimari et al.,13 reported coexistence of 19.6% and 25.75% in the fibroid group and endometriosis group respectively. However, the said study did not find any association between the two diseases to affect fertility in women which is in agreement with our study. A comprehensive review carried out by Umari et al.,14 reported contrasting results of a number of studies regarding the coexisting incidence of the two disorders. An interesting study carried out to analyze insurance claims in Korea showed a negative correlation between the two diseases.<sup>15</sup> Conversely, a similar study carried out in Taiwan described a significant correlation which confirmed the increased risk of endometriosis in uterine myoma-affected women.<sup>16</sup> Such large variation in prevalence can be attributed to a number of factors like less number of included patients, restriction of age limits, strict exclusion criteria, associating the coexistence to a specific type of myomas, diagnostic techniques, reliance on documented record of patients or a particular region under study. Retrospective studies and specificities of complaints lead to a population bias.14 The lower comorbidity of the two diseases seen in our study might be due to our constraint to do it on patients only admitted during the study duration. A significant outcome of pelvic or

abdominal pain and trend of abnormal uterine bleeding observed in our study is also in line with previous research by Uimari et al.,14 and Nezhat et al.,17 Co-occurrence of uterine myomas and endometriosis is a well-recognized phenomenon in the medical literature. Eighty percent of women by the age of 50 years are affected with some type of uterine myomas and another 10-15% of them suffer from endometriosis during their reproductive age.<sup>18,19</sup> Emerging evidence suggests that these conditions can coexist in a considerable proportion of women. It is therefore essential to know the pathophysiology of both conditions to understand the underlying mechanism leading to their co-existence. Both conditions are estrogen-dependent, and their co-occurrence may involve shared molecular pathways. Although the exact cause of uterine myomas is still unclear, the predisposing factors responsible for their development may include genetic susceptibility, growth factors and estrogenic hormones.16 Endometriosis also remains an enigmatical condition, and the pathomechanism of its formation has yet to be definitively determined. Epigenetic changes, congenital traits, environmental influences, as well as histaminic reactions and autoimmune factors are often cited among the potential causes.<sup>19</sup> A recent study by Gallagher et al.,<sup>20</sup> carried out a meta-analysis of the genetic contribution to uterine myomas and identified four loci which were also associated with the risk of endometriosis. The said study reported that all of these four loci possess a gene carrying a signalling factor for female sex hormones oestrogen/progesterone underlying the biological aetiology of the two diseases.20 Another variant of genetic risk factor was also reported by Fung et al.,<sup>21</sup> Uterine myomas are monoclonal cells which grow on activation of progesterone receptors by the oestrogen resulting in increased mitotic activity of secretory cells and cytogenic abnormalities.<sup>22</sup> Moreover, various growth factors like vascular, insulin type and epidermal, synergistically contribute to the effect of oestrogen and progesterone.<sup>23</sup> As for endometriosis foci, primarily the exciting factor is retrograde menstruation. The menstrual blood enters the peritoneal cavity through the fallopian tubes and in this way, exfoliated cells of endometrial origin get implanted at the surface of various organs.19 Immunological disorders also play their role in the development of endometriosis, as an increased number of macrophages with compromised ability of phagocytic action against foreign cells are detected in the peritoneal cavity of affected patients.<sup>23</sup> In addition

inflammatory response coupled with immune reaction results in enhanced production of cytokines and prostaglandins which further aggravates the condition.<sup>24</sup> It is assumed that uterine myomas may disrupt the uterine surface thus causing an increase in retrograde menstruation which is the major risk factor leading to the formation of endometriosis. The findings of our study showed a moderate association between uterine myometras and endometriosis in the observed number of cases which was our limitation. Further studies are suggested to check the strength of this association. The apparent association between the two suggests that patients diagnosed with myomas should be suspected for endometriosis or vice versa. Patients undergoing elective myomectomy/ hysterectomy should be advised to give consent for the removal of any endometriosis tissue on detection during surgery.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to Pak Emirates Military Hospital, Rawalpindi authorities, for permitting and facilitating us to conduct this research at their Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology.

### CONCLUSION

A coexistence of uterine myomas and endometriosis in 18.57% of the women undergoing myomectomy or hysterectomy. Statistical analysis showed a non-significant association between the two diseases. Age, parity and body weight had no influence on the prevalence of the two diseases. Pain was a significant feature in patients with comorbidity of the two diseases.

### Conflict of Interest: None.

Funding Source: None.

### Authors' Contribution

Th following authors have made substantial contributions to the manuscript as under:

AF & TY: Data acquisition, critical review, approval of the final version to be published.

BZ & HS: Conception, study design, drafting the manuscript, approval of the final version to be published.

5,6: Data analysis, data interpretation, critical review, approval of the final version to be published.

Authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

## REFERENCES

1. Verit FF, Yucel O. Endometriosis, leiomyoma and adenomyosis: the risk of gynecologic malignancy. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2013; 14(10): 5589-5597. http://doi.org/10.7314/APJCP.2013.14.10.5589  Mazhin A, Dadkhah A, Kor E, Sadri G, Noroozi SG, Mostafavi SRS, et al. Association between Endometrioma and Die and Other Gynecological Diseases such as Endometrial Polyp, Myomas and Adenomyosis in Ultrasonography. Arch Pharm Pract 2020; 1: 168. <u>https://pjmhsonline.com/2020/apr-june/1168.pdf</u>

 Zheng Q-M, Mao H-I, Zhao Y-J, Zhao J, Wei X, Liu P-S, et al. Risk of endometrial polyps in women with endometriosis: a meta-analysis. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 2015; 13: 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12958-015-0092-2

 Okolo S. Incidence, aetiology and epidemiology of uterine fibroids. Best pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2008; 22(4): 571-588. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2008.04.002

 Munusamy MM, Sheelaa WG, Lakshmi VP. Clinical presentation and prevalence of uterine fibroids: a 3-year study in 3-decade rural South Indian women. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 2017; 6(12): 5596-5602. http://doi.org/10.18203/2320-1770.ijrcog20175288

 Navarro A, Bariani MV, Yang Q, Al-Hendy A. Understanding the impact of uterine fibroids on human endometrium function. Front Cell Dev Biol 2021; 9: 633180. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.633180

 Charan J, Biswas T. How to calculate sample size for different study designs in medical research? Indian J of Psychol Med 2013; 35(2): 121-126. https://doi.org/10.4103/0253-7176.116232

 Ahrendt HJ, Tylkoski H, Rabe T, Szczes A, Friedrich C, Friedrich R, et al. Prevalence of uterine myomas in women in Germany: data of an epidemiological study. Arch Gynecol Obstet 293, 1243–1253 (2016). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-015-3930-8</u>

 Maclaran K, Agarwal N, Odejinmi F. Co-existence of uterine myomas and endometriosis in women undergoing laparoscopic myomectomy: risk factors and surgical implications. J Minim Invasive Gynecol 2014; 21(6): 1086-1090. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmig.2014.05.013

 Huang JQ, Lathi RB, Lemyre M, Rodriguez HE, Nezhat CH, Nezhat C. Coexistence of endometriosis in women with symptomatic leiomyomas. Fertil Steril 2010; 94(2): 720-723. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2009.03.052

 Nezhat C, Balassiano E, Abed S, Nezhat CH, Soliemannjad R, Nezhat FR, et al. Coexistence of Endometriosis in Women With Symptomatic Leiomyomas – A Reappraisal of the Current Literature [8]]. Obstet Gynecol 2016; 127: 835. http://doi.org/10.1097/01.AOG.0000483742.58479.4f

 Naphatthalung W, Cheewadhanaraks S. Prevalence of endometriosis among patients with adenomyosis and/or myoma uteri scheduled for a hysterectomy. J Med Assoc Thai 2012; 95(9): 1136. <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23140029/</u>

 Uimari O, Järvelä I, Ryynänen M. Do symptomatic endometriosis and uterine fibroids appear together? J Hum Reprod Sci 2011; 4(1): 34. https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-1208.82358

 Uimari O, Nazri H, Tapmeier T. Endometriosis and uterine fibroids (leiomyomata): comorbidity, risks and implications. Front Reprod Health 2021; 3: 750018. https://doi.org/10.3389/frph.2021.750018.

 Choi EJ, Cho SB, Lee SR, Lim YM, Jeong K, Moon H-S, et al. Comorbidity of gynecological and non-gynecological diseases with adenomyosis and endometriosis. Obstet Gynecol Sci 2017; 60(6): 579-586. https://doi.org/10.5468/ogs.2017.60.6.579

16. Lin KY-H, Yang C-Y, Lam A, Chang CY-Y, Lin W-C. Uterine leiomyoma is associated with the risk of developing endometriosis: A nationwide cohort study involving 156,195 women. PLoS One 2021; 16(8): e0256772. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256772 .....

- 17. Nezhat C, Li A, Abed S, Balassiano E, Soliemannjad R, Nezhat A, et al. Strong association between endometriosis and symptomatic leiomyomas. J Society Laparoendoscopic Surgeons. 2016; 20(3). https://doi.org/10.4293%2FJSLS.2016.00053
- Giuliani E, As-Sanie S, Marsh E. Epidemiology and management of uterine fibroids. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2020; 149(1): 3-9. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijgo.13102
- 19. Smolarz B, Szyłło K, Romanowicz H. Endometriosis: epidemiology, classification, pathogenesis, treatment and genetics (review of literature). Int J Mol Sci 2021; 22(19): 10554. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms221910554
- Gallagher C, Mäkinen N, Harris H, Rahmioglu N, Uimari O, Cook J, et al. Genome-wide association and epidemiological analyses reveal common genetic origins between uterine leiomyomata and endometriosis. Nat Commun 2019; 10(1): 4857. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12536-4</u>
- Fung JN, Holdsworth-Carson SJ, Sapkota Y, Zhao ZZ, Jones L, Girling JE, et al. Functional evaluation of genetic variants associated with endometriosis near GREB1. Hum Reprod 2015; 30(5): 1263-1275. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12536-4</u>
- 22. Valle RF, Ekpo GE. Pathophysiology of uterine myomas and its clinical implications. Uterine myoma, myomectomy and minimally invasive treatments. First Edition. Springer; 2015. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-10305-1\_1
- 23. Dmowski W, Steele RW, Baker GF. Deficient cellular immunity in endometriosis. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1981; 141(4): 377-383. https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9378(81)90598-6
- 24. Imperiale L, Nisolle M, Noël J-C, Fastrez M. Three Types of Endometriosis: Pathogenesis, Diagnosis and Treatment. State of the Art. J Clin Med 2023; 12(3): 994. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm12030994</u>