Treatment Outcomes of Remdesivir in COVID-19 Patients

Hira Iqbal, Syed Waqar Abbas*, Ahmer Rasheed, M Faheem ur Rehman, Farooq*, Umar Iqbal**, Hafiz Asad Saeed*

Department of Medicine, Combined Military Hospital, Bahawalpur /National University of Medical Sciences (NUMS) Pakistan, *Department of Medicine, Combined Military Hospital, Nowshera /National University of Medical Sciences (NUMS) Pakistan, **Department of Medicine, Combined Military Hospital, Skardu/National University of Medical Sciences (NUMS) Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate effectiveness of Remdesivir in COVID-19 patients in terms of length of hospital stay, duration of oxygen dependency, and mortality.

Study Design: Quasi-experimental study.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Medicine, Combined Military Hospital, Bahawalpur Pakistan, from Nov 2021 to Apr 2022.

Methodology: We included 170 patients diagnosed with COVID-19 and recorded their clinical characteristics in addition to outcomes related towards oxygen dependence, death, and hospital stay duration on a self-designed data collection tool which was administered by the researchers. The data was analyzed, and statistical correlations were determined.

Results: Our total sample size of 170 patients, comprised of 91(53.5%) males and 79(46.5%) females with an average age of 53.95 \pm 14.09 years. We noted mild disease to be present in 17.6% of patients, moderate in 65.9%, and severe in 16.5% while 65 (38.2%) patients were oxygen-dependent at the time of admission. Fever was the most frequent complaint experienced by 84.7% of patients. Remdesivir was administered to 90(52.9%) patients, among which 65 recovered early with a hospital stay of less than a week while 20(23.3%) patients had prolonged stay due to oxygen dependency, other complications (10, 11.1%) or comorbidities (11, 12.2%) respectively (*p*-value=0.000) while the rate of mortality was also significantly reduced in patients who received Remdesivir (4.44%) compared to those who did not (17.5%) (*p*-value<0.001).

Conclusion: Remdesivir significantly decreased the duration of hospital stay, oxygen dependency, and rate of mortality without causing any adverse effects.

Keywords: COVID-19, Remdesivir, SARS-CoV-2, Safety, Treatment outcome.

How to Cite This Article: Iqbal H, Abbas SW, Rasheed A, Rehman MFU, Farooq, Iqbal U, Saeed HA. Treatment Outcomes of Remdesivir in COVID-19 Patients. Pak Armed Forces Med J 2025; 75(2): 316-319. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.51253/pafmj.v75i2.10327</u>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

In December 2019, Wuhan reported a case of atypical pneumonia, which eventually spread all over the world and became the COVID-19 pandemics.¹ The World Health Organization (WHO) classified it as an outbreak in January, 2020, and later, a pandemic, by which time COVID-19 had spread across 210 countries with relatively higher mortalities reported from Algeria (15%) and Belgium (13.95%), whereas mortalities in Qatar (0.17%) and Singapore (0.2%) were low.² Pakistan reported its first case of SARS-COV-2, a coronavirus with an enveloped single-stranded RNA virus with spike glycoproteins, in Karachi, on 26 February 2020.³ Transmission occurred via respiratory droplets with clinical manifestations ranging from asymptomatic presentations to severe complications, such as, multi-organ failure.⁴ To mitigate COVID-19 spread, social distancing and personal protective equipment were advised with symptomatic treatment encompassing inflammation control, oxygen therapy, and fluid management while potential therapies were also studied, including plasma antibodies, Remdesivir, Chloroquine, and corticosteroids.⁵ Remdesivir, a broad-spectrum intravenous antiviral consisting of an adenosine analogue nucleotide prodrug with antiviral activity, stops the early termination of RNA synthesis by interacting with the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase to halt viral replication,6 due to which the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) granted emergency use approval on May 1, 2020.7 Anticoagulants are advised for hospitalized patients while antibiotics should be reserved for those with confirmed secondary bacterial infections, as they offer no benefit in disease management.⁸ As of February 2021, a meta-analysis of ten clinical trials found that Remdesivir administration was linked to a notable increase in rescue and a decrease in the requirement for mechanical breathing,9 however, the WHO SOLIDARITY trial revealed that Remdesivir had no statistically substantial impact on death rates.¹⁰ Thus,

Correspondence: Dr Hira Iqbal, Department of Medicine, Combined Military Hospital, Bahawalpur Pakistan

Received: 11 May 2023; revision received: 16 Oct 2023; accepted: 15 Mar 2024

we planned to assess the effectiveness of Remdesivir in terms of mortality, oxygen requirement, and hospital admission duration in managing moderate to severe COVID-19 cases.

METHODOLOGY

After taking approval from Institutional Review Board at Combined Military Hospital (CMH), Bahawalpur, Pakistan, via letter EC-10-2021, our study was begun and lasted for a duration of six months from November 2021 to April 2022. A sample size of n=97 was estimated by taking confidence interval of 95% and 6.7% mortality in patients treated with Remdesivir,¹¹ however, to increase the strength of our study, we enrolled a total of 170 patients through nonprobability consecutive sampling technique.

Inclusion Criteria: Patients of either gender, admitted to a hospital with symptoms, High-Resolution Computed Tomography (HRCT) findings, positive Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) test for COVID-19 through nasopharyngeal sampling and requiring immediate hospitalization were included.

Exclusion Criteria: Patients with alanine transaminase (ALT) or aspartate transaminase (AST) > 5 times the upper limit of normal, assessed glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) < 30 ml/min (including patients receiving hemodialysis or hemofiltration), chronic liver disease, malignancy or allergy to Remdesivir were excluded.

Demographic information, the severity of the illness, and comorbidities, including history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), tuberculosis, hepatitis, chronic liver disease, and chronic kidney disease, were noted. Laboratory tests for serum ferritin, D-dimer, lactate dehydrogenase, C-reactive protein, liver function tests, renal function tests, and complete blood count (CBC) were done. Severity of illness was categorized into mild, moderate and severe depending upon the HRCT severity scoring and oxygen saturation at room air where mild disease meant patient had HRCT chest with a severity score of less than 10/25 and oxygen saturation ≥94% on room air, moderate disease indicated Oxygen saturation <94% but >90% and HRCT chest with infiltrates and severity score of 11-18/25 while severe disease meant SpO2 \leq 90% on room air and HRCT chest with infiltrates and severity score of greater than 18/25.8 All patients received paracetamol, steroids, oxygen inhalation, anti-coagulation and mechanical ventilation as per protocol while patients with symptomatic mild to moderate disease, with positive

RT PCR and normal Liver Function Tests (LFTs), were given Remdesivir as 200 mg single dose on Day 1 then 100 mg daily for next 4 days. The drug's dosage, duration, and results were noted, as well as the span of hospital stays, complications, and patient mortality. We conducted statistical analysis using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) where the mean and standard deviation were used to display quantitative data, whereas frequency and percentage were used to present qualitative data. To compare the quantitative results between the treatment and control groups, the Chi-square test was performed where a *p*value of ≤ 0.05 was taken as statistically significant.

RESULTS

In final analysis, there were 91(53.5%) males and 79(46.5%) females with mean age being 53.95 ± 14.09 years, ranging from 22 to 85 years. At presentation, 30(17.6%) patients had mild disease, 112(65.9%) had moderate disease and 28(16.5%) had severe disease while 65(38.2%) patients were reliant on oxygen at the time of admission. Fever was the most frequent presenting complaint (84.7\%) patients followed by cough (61.7%), shortness of breath (38.9%), gastrointestinal symptoms (10%) and neurological symptoms (1.7%) as shown in Table-I.

Table-I: Clinical Characteristics of COVID-19 patients, (n=170)

Gender	n (%)
Male	91(53.5%)
Female	79(46.5 %)
Clinical Presentation	n(%)
Fever	144(84.7 %)
Shortness of breath	66(38.9%)
Cough	105(61.7%)
Gastrointestinal symptoms	17(10.0%)
CNS symptoms	3(1.7%)
Radiological Classification	n(%)
Mild <10%	30(17.6%)
Moderate10-18%	112(65.9%)
Severe>18%	28(16.5%)
Oxygen Dependent at Admission	n(%)
Yes	65(38.2%)
No	105(61.8%)
Duration of Hospitalization	n(%)
Less than 1 week	99(58.2%)
More than 1 week	71(41.8%)

No co-morbidities were reported by 72(42.3%) patients, 39(22.9%) had diabetes mellitus, 25(14.7%) had hypertension, 5(2.94%) had cerebrovascular disease, 6(3.5%) patients had chronic kidney disease, 2(1.17%) patients had ischemic heart disease, 7(4.1%)

people had COPD, and the remaining 13(7.64%) patients had more than one co-morbidity. Among 170 patients, Remdesivir was given to 90(52.9%) patients, among these 90 patients, 65 patients recovered early and stayed at hospital for less than a week while 20(23.3%) patients had prolonged stay due to oxygen dependency and other complications (10, 11.1%) or comorbidities (11, 12.2%) respectively (*p*-value <0.001) as shown in Figure-2. The rate of mortality was significantly less in patients who received Remdesivir (4.44%) compared to those who did not (17.5%) (*p*-value<0.001) as shown in Table-II.



Figure-1: Patient Flow Diagram (n= 170)



Figure-2: Clinical Outcomes of Remdesivir Group, (n=90)

Table-II: Comparison of Outcomes Between Both Groups (n=170)

cases, resulting in more than 30,000 fatalities (4) with significant detrimental influence on the country's healthcare system. Since the emergence of this disease, treatment protocols have been changing, with an absence of global consensus. In our study, 91(53.5%) patients were males similar to previous research, where one study reported 66% male distribution while another reported 60%,12,13 however, more females (83.7%) presented with moderate to severe disease compared to males (81.9 %) in our study, although this difference was statistically not significant. We noted 11 female deaths compared to 3 male ones, in contrast to other studies, which reported that males had more severe disease when they were first diagnosed, and they died twice as often as females did with bilateral lung involvement present along with moderate to severe disease, consistent with other studies.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ The most prevalent comorbid ailment in our cohort was diabetes mellitus, similar to another study which documented diabetes (29.69%) and hypertension (20.33%) as the most frequent comorbidities.¹⁷ Length of hospital stay in our study was significantly less among patients who were administered Remdesivir, where 65 recovered early and stayed at hospital for less than a week (p-value=0.000), comparable to the findings of another study, where 43(27%) patients were cleared in less than seven days, 109(68.5%) patients in 7-14 days, and 7 patients in 15-21 days (13), thus, span of hospital stay in treatment group was considerably shorter than the control group. In our study, twenty patients (23.3%) had prolonged stay due to oxygen dependency while mortality was significantly less in patients who received Remdesivir (4.44%) compared to those who did not (17.5 %) (pvalue=0.000) which was similar to the results from another study where remdesivir treatment was linked to a substantial improvement in low flow oxygen support from days one to fourteen and the 28-day recovery,9 but a different meta-analysis of eleven

Remdesivir	Outcome					<i>p</i> -value
	Prolong Hospital due to	Prolong O2	Early Recovery	Death	Total	
	Complication/Comorbidities	Therapy	Larry Recovery	Death	Total	
Given	11(25.0%)	10(38.5%)	65(75.6%)	4(28.6%)	90(52.9%)	< 0.001
Not Given	33(75.0%)	16(61.5%)	21(24.4%)	10(71.4%)	80(47.1%)	
Total	44	26	86	14	170	

DISCUSSION

Since the start of the coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) pandemic in late December 2019, Pakistan has recorded over 1.5 million laboratory-confirmed

trials,¹⁸ found clinical improvement and varying death rates among individuals who received remdesivir while a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter study including ten hospitals in Hubei, China, found no correlation between Remdesivir use and difference in the time to clinical improvement as patients with a sign duration of 10 days or less who received remdesivir had a statistically quicker time to clinical improvement than those who received placebo, albeit this difference was not statistically significant with adverse events recorded in 102(66%) of 155 patients taking remdesivir versus 50(64%) of 78 patients taking a placebo,¹⁹ unlike our study where no serious adverse effect of remdesivir were noted.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are thankful to all our colleagues for assisting in data collection and analysis. We also extend our gratitude to all healthcare workers for their services during the COVID-19 pandemic.

LIMITATIONS OF STUDY

The most important limitation encountered was the constrained sample size as this study was carried out in a single health care facility. A larger sample size involving multiple centers would have given richer data on the effect of Remdesivir on COVID-19 patients.

CONCLUSION

The use of Remdesivir significantly decreased duration of hospital stay, oxygen dependency and rate of mortality with no severe adverse effects noted.

Conflict of Interest: None.

Funding Source: None.

Authors Contribution

Following authors have made substantial contributions to the manuscript as under:

HI & SWA: Study design, data interpretation, drafting the manuscript, critical review, approval of the final version to be published.

AR & MFUR: Conception, data analysis, drafting the manuscript, approval of the final version to be published.

F & UI & HAS: Data acquisition, critical review, approval of the final version to be published.

Authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

REFERENCES

- Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and important lessons from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China: summary of a report of 72314 cases from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. JAMA 2020; 323(13): 1239-1242. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.2648</u>
- Noor AU, Maqbool F, Bhatti ZA, et al. Epidemiology of COVID-19 pandemic: recovery and mortality ratio around the globe. Pak J Med Sci 2020; 36(COVID19-S4): S79-84. <u>https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.36.COVID19-S4.2696</u>

- Abid K, Bari YA, Younas M, et al. Progress of COVID-19 epidemic in Pakistan. Asia Pac J Public Health 2020; 32(4): 154-156. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1010539520927259</u>
- Pollard CA, Morran MP, Nestor-Kalinoski AL, et al. The COVID-19 pandemic: A global health crisis. Physiol Genomics 2020; 52(11): 549-557. https://doi.org/10.1152/physiolgenomics.00089.2020
- Morse JS, Lalonde T, Xu S, et al. Learning from the past: possible urgent prevention and treatment options for severe acute respiratory infections caused by 2019-nCoV. ChemBioChem 2020; 21(5): 730-738.

https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.202000047

- Li Z, Wang X, Cao D, et al. Rapid review for the anti-coronavirus effect of remdesivir. Drug Discov Ther 2020; 14(2): 73-76. <u>https://doi.org/10.5582/ddt.2020.01015</u>
- Davies M, Osborne V, Lane S, et al. Remdesivir in treatment of COVID-19: a systematic benefit-risk assessment. Drug Saf 2020; 43(7): 645-656. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-020-00952-1
- Department of Health and Family Welfare. Clinical management guidelines for Covid19. Minist NHSRC 2020.
- Rezagholizadeh A, Khiali S, Sarbakhsh P, et al. Remdesivir for treatment of COVID-19; an updated systematic review and metaanalysis. Eur J Pharmacol 2021; 897: 173926. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2021.173926
- WHO Solidarity Trial Consortium. Repurposed antiviral drugs for COVID-19 – interim WHO Solidarity Trial results. N Engl J Med 2021; 384(6): 497-511.
- <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2023184</u>
 11. Dolin R, Hirsch MS. Remdesivir an important first step. N Engl J
- Med 2020; 383(19): 1886-1887. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMe2024928
- Abd-Elsalam S, Salama M, Soliman S, et al. Remdesivir efficacy in COVID-19 treatment: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2022; 106(3): 886-890. <u>https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.21-0876</u>
- Malik MI, Zafar SAF, Malik M, et al. The utility of remdesivir in SARS-CoV-2: a single tertiary care center experience from a developing country. Explor Res Clin Soc Pharm 2022; 5: 100107. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcsop.2021.100107</u>
- 14. Durrani M, Haq IU, Kalsoom U, et al. Chest x-rays findings in covid 19 patients at a university teaching hospital—a descriptive study. Pak J Med Sci 2020; 36(COVID19-S4): S22-26. https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.36.COVID19-S4.2773
- Fang L, Karakiulakis G, Roth M. Are patients with hypertension and diabetes mellitus at increased risk for COVID-19 infection? Lancet Respir Med 2020; 8(4): e21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30116-8</u>
- Guan W, Ni Z, Hu Y, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med 2020; 382(18): 1708-1720. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2002032
- Gupte V, Hegde R, Sawant S, et al. Safety and clinical outcomes of remdesivir in hospitalized COVID-19 patients: a retrospective analysis of active surveillance database. BMC Infect Dis 2022; 22(1): 1-8.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-022-07073-3

- Thiruchelvam K, Kow CS, Hadi MA, et al. The use of remdesivir for the management of patients with moderate-to-severe COVID-19: a systematic review. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 2022; 20(2): 211-229. https://doi.org/10.1080/14787210.2021.2013807
- Wang Y, Zhang D, Du G, et al. Remdesivir in adults with severe COVID-19: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial. Lancet 2020; 395(10236): 1569-1578. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31022-9</u>

.....