

## Predictors and Outcomes in Gestational Diabetes Requiring Insulin Therapy

Ambreen Ehsan, Abida Islam\*, Zahir-Ud-Din Babar\*\*, Maryam Zubair\*\*\*, Sadaf Zohra\*, Shehzad Bashir Momina\*\*\*\*

Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Combined Military Hospital Jhelum/National University of Medical Sciences (NUMS) Pakistan,

\*Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Pak Emirates Military Hospital/National University of Medical Sciences (NUMS) Rawalpindi Pakistan,

\*\*Armed Forces Post Graduate Medical Institute/National University of Medical Sciences (NUMS) Rawalpindi Pakistan,

\*\*\*Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Azad Jammu Kashmir Medical College Muzaffarabad, Azad Jammu & Kashmir, Pakistan,

\*\*\*\*Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Combined Military Hospital Sargodha/National University of Medical Sciences (NUMS) Pakistan.

### ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To evaluate risk factors that predict Insulin requirements and outcomes in women with gestational diabetes in a resource-limited country.

**Study Design:** Retrospective longitudinal study.

**Place and Duration of Study:** Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Combined Military Hospital, Mangla Pakistan, from Jan to Dec 2019.

**Methodology:** Our study included 100 pregnant women with gestational diabetes over a period of one year. The primary outcome was to calculate the frequency of gestational diabetes and the correlation between maternal age and parity with subdermal Insulin therapy in a peripheral (Class C) hospital. The secondary outcomes of this study included mode of delivery and neonatal birth weight.

**Results:** Out of 1509 deliveries, our study included 100 pregnant women (6.62%). Sixty-two (62%) required Insulin to control hyperglycemia in addition to oral Metformin; 36% required oral Metformin alone. Maternal age ( $p=0.078$ ), presence of comorbidity ( $p=0.260$ ), and parity ( $p=0.242$ ) did not predict Insulin requirement. A caesarean section (69%) was the most common mode of delivery. Insulin requirements to control hyperglycemia didn't correlate with mode of delivery ( $p=0.825$ ). The neonatal birth weight was  $3.30\pm 0.33$  kg in patients requiring Insulin versus  $3.26\pm 0.25$  kg in other treatments ( $p=0.86$ ). There was one intrauterine death.

**Conclusion:** The frequency of gestational diabetes was 6.62%. Maternal age, parity, and the presence of maternal comorbidities did not predict Insulin requirements. There was no correlation between Insulin therapy, mode of delivery, or neonatal outcome.

**Keywords:** Birth weight, Gestational diabetes, Insulin requirement, Maternal age, Mode of delivery, Parity.

**How to Cite This Article:** Ehsan A, Islam A, Babar ZD, Zubair M, Zohra S, Momina SB. Predictors and Outcomes in Gestational Diabetes Requiring Insulin Therapy. *Pak Armed Forces Med J* 2024; 74(3): 652-656. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51253/pafmj.v74i3.10075>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### INTRODUCTION

Hyperglycemia during pregnancy can present as diabetes mellitus (DIP) or gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM). GDM is one of the most frequent metabolic disorders encountered during pregnancy, with an increasing prevalence of 1-45% globally.<sup>1,2</sup> Hyperglycemia has become a public health issue due to its short- and long-term fetomaternal effects, and it requires planned periconception, antenatal, intrapartum, and postnatal care.<sup>3,4</sup> GDM may lead to fetomaternal risks like miscarriage, foetal anomalies, macrosomia, preterm births, perinatal death, maternal retinopathy, nephropathy, neuropathy, hypertensive disorder, etc.

Maternal and neonatal outcomes can only be improved by early diagnosis and prompt management. International guidelines recommend medical nutrition therapy, oral medication, and Insulin the-

rapy. Medical nutrition therapy (MNT) is empirically used in cases with pre-existing diabetes, with risk factors, on diagnosis and initiation of treatment, and during postnatal care. Resistant cases that do not respond to MNT and exercise should be treated with Metformin.<sup>5</sup> Cases with Metformin contraindication, FBG  $\geq 7.0$  mmol/L at diagnosis, and complications, such as macrosomia or polyhydramnios, should start Insulin immediately, with or without Metformin.<sup>6</sup> Insulin therapy is reserved for pregnant women with Insulin-dependent diabetes type 1 and patients whose MNT and oral medications fail to achieve euglycemia. Insulin therapy has been shown to be safe during pregnancy and lactation.<sup>7</sup> Hence, understanding the risk factors that can predict Insulin requirements in GDM can enable obstetricians to timely intervene and improve outcomes.<sup>8</sup>

Pakistan, a resource-limited country, suffers from a lack of awareness about reproductive health, social taboos associated with pregnancy complications that

**Correspondence:** Dr Ambreen Ehsan, Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, CMH Jhelum Pakistan.

Received: 13 Mar 2023, revision received: 30 Nov 2023; accepted: 04 Dec 2023

lead to delayed hospital presentations, and compromised care for fetomaternal patients. Our institute is a peripheral hospital that serves not only the armed forces, but also provides maternal healthcare to over 16 thousand locals. There are only a few studies available on GDM and its indigenous population. The authors could not find any published material on predictors of Insulin therapy and fetomaternal outcomes associated with Insulin therapy. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the frequency of GDM, the risk factors that predict Insulin therapy in GDM, and the outcomes of patients on Insulin therapy.

## METHODOLOGY

After receiving approval from the Hospital Ethical Review Committee (IERC # 205/Adm/Gen, dated May 10, 2022), the retrospective longitudinal study was conducted at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Combined Military Hospital, Mangla Pakistan, from January to December 2019. The WHO sample size calculator was used to estimate a sample size with the prevalence of gestational diabetes of 8.9%.<sup>8</sup> The patients with hyperglycemia or diabetes presented to the hospital were included by convenience sampling.

**Inclusion Criteria:** Pregnant females of all age groups with singleton or multiple pregnancies; whether vaginal or caesarean section, with a diagnosis of gestational diabetes, were included.

**Exclusion criteria:** All pregnant women with morbidities other than GDM and pre-existing diabetes mellitus were excluded.

The diagnosis of maternal diabetes was based on an OGTT test for new cases and BSF, as well as 2 hours of PP (BSR) for already diagnosed diabetic patients based on World Health Organisation (WHO) disease criteria.<sup>9</sup> During the Out-Patient consultation, a consultant obstetrician assessed all of the patients and managed them according to the institute's protocols. Consultant obstetricians manually collected retrospective data from a medical record sheet. The primary outcome was to calculate the frequency of gestational diabetes and the correlation between maternal age and parity with subdermal Insulin therapy in a peripheral (Class C) hospital. The secondary outcomes of this study included mode of delivery and neonatal birth weight.

To analyse the results, IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 23.00 was used. The qualitative data was presented as frequency and percentage. The

quantitative data was presented as Mean $\pm$ SD. Chi-square test and independent sample t-test were applied to explore the inferential statistics. The *p*-value of  $\leq 0.05$  was set as the cut-off value for significance.

## RESULTS

At our Institute, a total of 1509 obstetric cases delivered babies. 100(6.62%) pregnant women developed gestational diabetes. The mean age of the study population was 29.8 $\pm$ 4.71 years. The majority of 67 were multiparous, 23 were grand multiparous, and 10 were primigravida. 62% required Insulin to control hyperglycemia in addition to oral Metformin. 36% of pregnant women required oral Metformin alone; 7% required subdermal enoxaparin; and 1% required oral methyl dopa as part of their treatment. At term, the mean subdermal Insulin 70/30 requirement was 31.6 units  $\pm$ 18.7 over 24 hours. In addition, 13% required additional subdermal regular Insulin, and 1% required long-acting Insulin (12 units).

Most of the pregnant ladies were aged 26–30 years ( $n=46$ ), had no co-existing maternal co-morbidities ( $n=91$ ), and most had previous vaginal deliveries ( $n=67$ ). The co-existing maternal morbidities included pregnancy-induced hypertension ( $n=3$ ), thalassemia minor ( $n=2$ ), deep venous thrombosis ( $n=1$ ), etc. The comparison of maternal factors with the Insulin required is given in Table-I.

**Table-I: Comparison of Maternal Factors and Insulin Requirement (n=100)**

Variables		Insulin requirement		<i>p</i> -value
		Yes (n=62)	No (n=38)	
Parity	Primigravida	5(8.1%)	5(13.2%)	0.552
	2-4	41(66.1%)	26(68.4%)	
	$\geq 5$	16(25.8%)	7(18.4%)	
Mean age (years)		30.4 $\pm$ 5.12	28.8 $\pm$ 3.83	0.164
Presence of other co-morbidity		7(11.3%)	2(5.3%)	0.260
Previous cesarean delivery		18(29%)	15(39.5%)	0.381

In their current pregnancy with GDM, caesarean section (69%) was more common than vaginal delivery, and two (2%) intrauterine deaths of fetuses. Previous history revealed neonatal risk factors, which included 10 previous perinatal deaths, 4 oligohydramnios, and 4 intrauterine growth retardation. The mean birth weight was 3.2kg $\pm$ 0.30 (minimum 2.4kg; maximum 4.1kg). Table-II compares the outcome with Insulin requirements.

**Table-II: Comparison of Outcomes with Insulin Requirement (n=100)**

Variables	Insulin Requirement		p-value
	Yes(n=62)	No(n=38)	
<b>Mode of delivery</b>			
Vaginal delivery	20(32.3%)	11(28.9%)	0.825
Cesarean section	42(67.7%)	27(71.1%)	
<b>Neonatal Birth weight (kg)</b>			
	3.30±0.33	3.26±0.25	0.860
<b>History of adverse peri-natal outcome</b>			
Yes	6(9.7%)	3(7.9%)	1.000
No	56(90.3%)	35(92.1%)	
<b>Present adverse outcome</b>			
Intrauterine growth retardation	4(6.5%)	-	0.197
Oligohydramnios	3(4.8%)	1(2.6%)	
Intra-uterine demise	1(1.6%)	-	
None	54(87.1%)	37(97.4%)	

## DISCUSSION

Globally, GDM is reported to affect 3.8–6.98% of all pregnancies in developed countries.<sup>9,10</sup> These results are similar to our 6.6% frequency of GDM. A systematic review of 16 countries in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) showed a prevalence of 13%, with the highest (24%) in Saudi Arabia, which is similar to Sub-Saharan Africa (14%), but higher than ours (6.2%) and European countries (2-6%).<sup>11,12</sup> Euglycemia has been shown to be associated with better maternal and neonatal outcomes.<sup>13,14</sup>

Our study has shown that 62% of GDM patients require subdermal Insulin to maintain euglycemia. This number is much higher than the 15–50% reported by other authors.<sup>15,16</sup> This higher Insulin requirement in our study population may be due to a genetic difference between the Caucasian and Asian populations: lower compliance with oral Metformin or non-adherence to the dietary and lifestyle modifications advised by the obstetrician.<sup>17,18</sup> However, these were not variables included in our study. A study in Germany (2021) studied 454 pregnant women diagnosed with GDM. They reported the use of diet in 275(60.5%), bolus Insulin only in 45(9.9%), basal Insulin only (16%), and multiple daily injections in 61(14%).<sup>19</sup> Most of the pregnant women in our study with GDM required multiple daily injections. This may result in poor compliance or hesitation due to fear of needles in pregnant women, especially in a country with low literacy rates, a lack of awareness, and stigmas associated with Insulin dependence. This, in turn, contributes to worse outcomes for mothers and newborns in developing nations.

In GDM, studies have been conducted to analyze predictors of Insulin requirements. Barnes *et al.*<sup>20</sup> presented a novel model for Insulin prediction in GDM. They reported that 85.7%–93.1% of women with 6-7 predictors required Insulin in addition to medical nutrition therapy, compared to 9.3–14.7% of women with 0–1 predictors. These predictors were: maternal age >30 years; family history of diabetes mellitus; GDM diagnosis at <24 weeks gestation; prior GDM; fasting venous blood glucose >5.3 mmol/L; and HbA1c >5.5% at GDM diagnosis. In a study, Eleftheriades *et al.*<sup>21</sup> reported maternal body mass index (BMI); higher fasting and one-hour post-prandial glucose levels are predictors of Insulin requirement. They didn't report significant variation in maternal age: 34.15±4.2 years in dietary control versus 34.5 years ±4.0 in those requiring Insulin;  $p=0.105$ . Similarly, they didn't report smoking or conception by artificial reproductive techniques as predictors of Insulin requirement in GDM. We did not investigate risk factors such as BMI, smoking, or method of conception. Additionally, they conducted a comparison between dietary and lifestyle modifications and Insulin requirements, while we advised all our patients with GDM to modify their lifestyles and take oral Metformin.

Hashaad *et al.*<sup>22</sup> (2021) reported that 71(50.71%) patients underwent caesarean delivery and 69(49.28%) had vaginal delivery. They further explained that among caesarean deliveries, 55.7% were treated with Insulin and 45.7% with Metformin; the  $p$ -value 0.237 remained insignificant. In their study, parity appears to have had no impact as a predictor ( $p$ -value 0.583), although 71.4% of multiparas were treated with Insulin. These results are comparable to our caesarean section rate (69%) in GDM. Among the caesarean group, 60.86% were treated with Insulin and 39.13% with oral Metformin,  $p$ -value 0.825, which is statistically insignificant. We reported a parity of 3.4±1.47 in the Insulin treatment group versus 3.0±1.62 in the oral Metformin group.

Our study has certain limitations. Although we were able to achieve euglycemia in GDM, we didn't include the laboratory value of fasting and the 1-hour post-prandial value of plasma glucose level, nor did we include HbA1C in our diagnostic criteria for our outcomes. Therefore, we are unable to comment on these aspects of the study. We did not include long-term maternal and neonatal outcomes, so our results

cannot be extrapolated to the long-term outcomes of GDM.

## CONCLUSION

The frequency of gestational diabetes was 6.62%. Maternal age, parity, and the presence of maternal comorbidity did not predict Insulin requirements. There was no correlation between Insulin therapy, mode of delivery, or neonatal outcome.

**Conflict of Interest:** None.

## Authors' Contribution

Following authors have made substantial contributions to the manuscript as under:

AE & AI: Conception, study design, drafting the manuscript, approval of the final version to be published.

ZDB & MZ: Data acquisition, data analysis, data interpretation, critical review, approval of the final version to be published.

SZ & SBM: Data acquisition, drafting the manuscript, approval of the final version to be published.

Authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

## REFERENCES

- Wang H, Li N, Chivese T, Werfalli M, Sun H, Yuen L, et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas Committee Hyperglycaemia In Pregnancy Special Interest Group. IDF Diabetes Atlas: Estimation Of Global And Regional Gestational Diabetes Mellitus Prevalence For 2021 By International Association Of Diabetes In Pregnancy Study Group's Criteria. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2022; 183: 109050. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109050>
- Msollo SS, Martin HD, Mwanri AW, Petrucka P. Prevalence Of Hyperglycemia In Pregnancy And Influence Of Body Fat On Development Of Hyperglycemia In Pregnancy Among Pregnant Women In Urban Areas Of Arusha Region, Tanzania. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2019; 19(1): 315. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-019-2463-8>
- Lowe Jr WL, Scholtens DM, Lowe LP, Kuang A, Nodzenski M, Talbot O, et al. Association of Gestational Diabetes With Maternal Disorders of Glucose Metabolism and Childhood Adiposity. *JAMA* 2018; 320: 1005-16. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.11628>
- Group HSCR. Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes. *N Engl J Med* 2008; 358: 1991-2002. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0707943>
- Brown J, Grzeskowiak L, Williamson K, Downie MR, Crowther CA. Insulin For The Treatment Of Women With Gestational Diabetes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017; 5(11): CD012037. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012037.pub2>
- Quintanilla Rodriguez BS, Mahdy H. *Gestational Diabetes*. In: StatPearls Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2022.
- Alfadhli EM, Osman EN, Basri TH, Mansuri NS, Youssef MH, Assaaedi SA, et al. Gestational Diabetes among Saudi Women: Prevalence, Risk Factors and Pregnancy Outcomes. *Ann Saudi Med* 2015; 35(3): 222-30. <https://doi.org/10.5144/0256-4947.2015.222>
- Nurain MN, Marmuji LZ, Mastura I, Michael FH, Barakatun-Nisak MY, et al. Management Of Diabetes In Pregnancy In Primary Care. *Malays Fam Physician* 2019; 14(3): 55-59.
- Lavery JA, Friedman AM, Keyes KM, Wright JD, Ananth CV. Gestational Diabetes In The United States: Temporal Changes In Prevalence Rates Between 1979 And 2010. *BJOG* 2017; 124(5): 804-813. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.14236>
- Lawrence RL, Wall CR and Bloomfield FH. Prevalence Of Gestational Diabetes According To Commonly Used Data Sources: An Observational Study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2019; 19: 349. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-019-2521-2>
- Ahmed LA. Prevalence of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus In The Middle East And North Africa, 2000-2019: A Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis And Meta-Regression. *Front Endocrinol* 2021.12: 668447. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-019-2521-210.3389/fendo.2021.668447>
- Hod M, Kapur A, Sacks DA, Hadar E, Agarwal M, Di Renzo GC, et al. The International Federation Of Gynecology And Obstetrics (FIGO) Initiative On Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: A Pragmatic Guide For Diagnosis, Management, And Care. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2015; 131 (Suppl 3): S173-211. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-019-2521-210.1016/S0020-7292\(15\)30033-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-019-2521-210.1016/S0020-7292(15)30033-3)
- Ryan DK, Haddow L, Ramaesh A, Kelly R, Johns EC, Denison FC, et al. Early Screening And Treatment Of Gestational Diabetes In High-Risk Women Improves Maternal And Neonatal Outcomes: A Retrospective Clinical Audit. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2018; 144: 294-301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2018.09.013>
- Subiabre M, Silva L, Toledo F, Paublo M, López MA, Boric MP, et al. Insulin therapy and its consequences for the mother, foetus, and newborn in gestational diabetes mellitus. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis* 2018; 1864(9 Pt B): 2949-2956. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-019-2521-210.1016/j.bbadis.2018.06.005>
- Oskovi-Kaplan ZA, Ozgu-Erdinc AS. Management Of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2021; 1307: 257-272. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-019-2521-210.1007/5584\\_2020\\_552](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-019-2521-210.1007/5584_2020_552)
- American Diabetes Association. Management of Diabetes in Pregnancy: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2021. *Diabetes Care* 2021; 44 (Supplement\_1): S200-S210. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc21-S014>
- Du R, Li L. Estimating The Risk Of Insulin Requirement In Women Complicated By Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: A Clinical Nomogram. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obestet* 2021; 14: 2473-2482. <https://doi.org/10.2147/DMSO.S310866>
- Ducarme G, du Roure FD, Grange J, Vital M, le Thuaud, Crespin-Delcourt I. et al. Predictive Factors Of Subsequent Insulin Requirement For Glycemic Control During Pregnancy At Diagnosis Of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 2018; 144(3): 265-270. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijgo.12753>
- Weschenfelder F, Lohse K, Lehmann T, ScheuBner E, Groten T. Predictor Of Treatment Requirements In Gestational Diabetes: A Retrospective Analysis. *J Clin Med* 2021; 10(19): 4421. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10194421>

## Gestational Diabetes

20. Barnes RA, Wong T, Ross GP, Jaaudin BB, Wong VW, Smart CE, et al. A Novel Validated Model for the Prediction Of Insulin Therapy Initiation And Adverse Perinatal Outcomes In Women With Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabetologia* 2016; 59: 2331-2338.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-016-4047-8>
21. Eleftheriades M, Chatzakis C, Papachatzopoulou E, Papadopoulos V, Lambrinouadaki I, Dinas K, et al. Prediction Of Insulin Treatment In Women With Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Nutr Diabetes* 2021; 11(1): 30.  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41387-021-00173-0>
22. Hashaad A, Raafat T, Kamal A, Mansour AEE. Neonatal Outcomes In Case Of Euglycemic Control In Gestational Diabetes Using Insulin Versus Metformin. Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Women Health Care Issue* 2021; 4(1):  
<https://doi.org/10.31579/2642-9756/045>
- .....