

COMPARISON OF COLD-GEL PACK THERAPY WITH ORAL IBUPROFEN FOR THE PAIN RELIEF BEFORE INTRALESIONAL INJECTION OF TRIAMCINOLONE ACETONIDE IN THE TREATMENT OF KELOIDS

Asad Maqbool, Muammad Rizwan Aslam, Hamza Mumtaz, Rao Saood Ahmed, Syed Iftikhar Ali, Usama Bin Zubair*

Combined Military Hospital/National University of Medical Sciences (NUMS) Rawalpindi Pakistan, *Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences Islamabad Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare the efficacy of cold gel pack therapy with oral ibuprofen for the pain relief before intralesional injection of triamcinolone acetonide in the treatment of keloids.

Study Design: Randomized controlled trial.

Place and Duration of Study: Study was conducted at Combined Military Hospital Rawalpindi, from Apr 2017 to Sep 2017.

Material and Methods: Patients of both genders with keloids larger than 3 cm in greatest dimension were included in the study. They were divided into two equal groups by lottery method. In first group i.e. group A, cold gel pack was applied 20 minutes before intralesional steroid injection whereas group B received oral ibuprofen 400 mg single tablet 30 minutes before the intralesional steroid injection. Patients rated their pain on a 10 point numeric rating scale (NRS) with 0 as no pain and 10 as worst possible pain immediately after procedure. Mean pain score was recorded for both the groups.

Results: The mean NRS score for group A patients receiving the cold gel pack therapy was 3.22 ± 0.20 whereas mean NRS score in group B with oral ibuprofen was 5.92 ± 0.40 (p -value <0.001). The pain scores in the cold gel pack group were significantly lower than the ibuprofen group.

Conclusion: Cold gel pack therapy before administration of intralesional steroid injection into the keloids emerged as an effective tool to reduce the pain of the patients. It was found superior to the ibuprofen and its routine use before the intralesional injections can help the patients to cope well with the painful therapy.

Keywords: Cold-gel pack, Ibuprofen, Injection site pain.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Keloid is a Greek word derived from “chele” meaning “crab’s claws” pertaining to penetration into the surrounding skin¹. This condition has a debilitating effect on the physical and psychological health of the patients because of its chronic nature². There is approximately 5-15% chance of keloid formation in all the wounds with both genders equally predisposed to it³. The average age at onset is 10-30 years and people at extremes of age rarely develop them³.

There are multiple treatment options available for the keloids. It is difficult to assess the efficacy of each modality because of diverse

range of influencing factors such as age, race, gender and location of the lesion³. Intralesional injection treatments have shown good results over the years in improving both functional and aesthetic appearance of the keloids⁴. Although variety of agents are used for this purpose but evidence is lacking regarding their individual effectiveness¹. Corticosteroids, most commonly triamcinolone acetonide has been a major intralesional injection option (alone or in combination) for keloids in our part of the world^{5,6}. The corticosteroids need to be injected at correct depth in mid-dermis⁵ and then repeated every 3-4 weeks for the optimal response^{7,8}.

Corticosteroid therapy is an effective treatment for the keloids but one of the main reasons for discontinuation of this therapy has been the severe pain encountered by the patient

Correspondence: Dr Usama Bin Zubair, House No 222, Lane No 10, Askari 13 Rawalpindi Pakistan

Email: drusamabinzubair@yahoo.com

Received: 14 Dec 2017; revised received: 04 Apr 2018; accepted: 23 May 2018

during injection administration⁹. Many studies have shown that this injection fear can cause a variety of deleterious effects including the discontinuation of therapy^{10,11}. Therefore pain alleviation is important for reducing the fear of patients and ensuring compliance towards the treatment¹².

Addition of lidocaine injection has traditionally been used to decrease the pain but its effectiveness is in question¹³ as it is the injection site pain which is more distressing for the patient than the post procedural pain itself. Many methods have been in practice to reduce the intralesional injection site pain including cooling¹⁴, oral pain killers and vibration anesthesia¹⁵ but exact effectiveness of any of these options has not been established. Therefore we planned this study with the intention to compare the effectiveness of cold-gel packs with oral ibuprofen for relief of pain due to intralesional injection of steroid therapy.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

After approval from hospital ethical committee this randomized control trial was conducted at Plastic Surgery Department Combined Military Hospital Rawalpindi from April 2017 to September 2017. Patients were selected using purposive sampling technique. Sample size was calculated by using the WHO calculator. Patients of both genders coming to outpatient department with keloids on any site for >1 year, >3 cm in greatest dimension, and with or without any previous history of intralesional steroid therapy were included in the study. Patients less than 12 years and more than 80 years and with uncontrolled diabetes mellitus were excluded from the study. Patients having clinically active infection in keloid lesion marked by any discharge, warmth and erythema were also excluded from the study. Patients were randomly divided into two equal groups through lottery method. Group A received cold gel packs which were kept at temperature <4°C, applied directly on the keloid for 20 minutes¹⁶. Group B received oral ibuprofen 400 mg single tablet 30 minutes

before the intralesional injection¹⁷. In both the groups, intralesional steroid injection 20mg/ml diluted triamcinolone acetonide with lignocaine plain injection 2% was administered with a 27 G 11 mm needle attached on a dental syringe. Administration of injection to all the patients by the same surgeon was ensured to reduce the chance of operator bias. Immediately after the procedure patients completed the self-rating pain questionnaire.

The numeric rating scale (NRS) for pain is a one-dimensional measure of pain intensity in adults¹⁷. Although various variations exist, the most commonly used is the 11-item NRS¹⁸ with '0' represents no pain and '10' represents worst pain possible. The NRS can be administered verbally or graphically for self-completion¹⁹. The patient indicates the numeric value on the segmented scale that best describes their pain intensity. Higher scores indicate greater pain intensity.

Data was analyzed by using SPSS 21.0. Descriptive statistics were used for the age, gender and site of the keloid. Mean NRS score was calculated for both the groups. Student t-test was applied to establish the difference in the efficacy of the treatments and NRS score of the two groups. A *p*-value <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

A total of 90 patients were approached to participate in the study. Three refused participation and 04 were ineligible due to exclusion criteria (02 had uncontrolled DM and 02 had infected keloid). After being consented, an additional 03 did not provide complete data at baseline, leaving 80 patients. Mean age of the patients was 35.96 (± 5.975). Commonest site of keloid was the chest (65%) followed by shoulder (25%) and the back (3%). General characteristics of study participants are given in table-I. In group A (cold-gel pack therapy group) 24 patients were male and 16 were females. Whereas in group B 25 patients were male and 15 were female. Overall out of 80 patients, 70 (87.5%) patients

received first injection therapy whereas only 10 (12.5%) had second or third session. Table-II shows that the mean NRS pain score for group A was 3.22 ± 0.20 and for group B it was 5.92 ± 0.40 (p -value <0.001).

DISCUSSION

Injection site pain can be a major concern for any patient undergoing repeated injections. It has always been under prioritized and under-treated^{11,12}. Management of pain is a human right. Alleviation of pain has a direct link with

the local as well as foreign literature^{5,13}. Though local studies have been done on their treatment option^{5,6} but no study is conducted in our setup to look for the pain and discomfort associated with this treatment.

Pain is a complex phenomenon with perceptual, affective, motivational, cognitive and personality components. This is the reason that many modalities not directly linked to neuro-chemical effects of pain may show efficacy in reducing the anxiety and thus increasing the

Table-I: Baseline characteristics of the study patients (n=80).

Age (years)					
Mean \pm SD	35.96 (\pm 5.975)				
Range (min-max)	12-48 years				
Site of keloid					
Chest	52 (65%)				
Shoulder	20 (25%)				
Back	02 (2.5%)				
Forearm	01 (1.25)				
Others	05 (6.25)				
Average duration (years)					
Mean \pm SD	7.5 \pm 2.5				
Range (min-max)	0.6-13				
Gender	Group A (n=40)	Percentage (%)	Group B (n=40)	Percentage (%)	p-value
Male	24	60	25	62.5	0.343
Female	16	40	15	37.5	

Table-II: Pain scores of the subjects in both the groups.

Characteristics	Group A (Cold gel pack)	Group B (Ibuprofen)	p-value
Pain score after procedure, mean (SD)	3.22 \pm 0.20	5.92 \pm 0.40	<0.001

compliance and continuation of the therapy^{12,20}. Intralesional steroid therapy for keloids is notorious for severe pain and discomfort^{9,20}. Patients usually hesitate to complete these therapies due the fear of needle and pain associated with this procedure²⁰. Most of our patients had NRS score >5 showing considerable pain despite administration of cold-gel pack or ibuprofen. Multiple factors are important for injection site pain including injection site, injection volume, viscosity and patient's pain threshold¹¹.

Most of our patients had keloids on chest and the shoulders, which is in accordance with

threshold for pain. Reading of verses of Holy Quran before the procedure is one of them²¹. Reducing the inflammatory response by NSAIDs is along tested and well documented method¹⁶. Use of local anesthetics or ice packs is also under trial and shown positive results in various studies^{13,14}.

Direct comparison of an oral NSAID and local application of cold gel pack has never been done before. Ibuprofen has been studied to irreversibly inhibit the cyclooxygenase system thus causing reduction in the pain¹⁶, while application of cold gel packs reduces the cellular metabolic rate and oxygen demand limiting the

production of tissue damaging free radicals via suppression of exotoxins. Additionally, it decreases free nerve ending sensitivity and increases nerve firing thresholds and slow down the synaptic activity. All these mechanisms increase the patient's pain threshold and he can tolerate the painful procedure with ease¹⁴. This multifactorial model of working of cold gel pack may be responsible for the superiority of this modality in our analysis. This is not only more efficacious but also cheaper in cost than ibuprofen which makes it more lucrative for a developing country like ours.

Our study had few limitations. As gel packs and tablets had to be administered in each group so blinding of patients and the staff was not possible. Moreover there was no placebo control group and self-rated scale was used which increases the chance of over or underrating of the symptoms by the patient. Outcome of this study cannot be generalized due to small sample size so we suggest further trials on a broader based and a more representative sample size using locally developed and standardized tools.

CONCLUSION

Cold gel pack therapy before administration of intralesional steroid injection into the keloids emerged as an effective tool to reduce the pain of the patients. It is superior to the ibuprofen and its routine use before the intralesional injections can help the patients to cope well with the painful therapy.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

This study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

1. Aurelia TP, Davide L, Weijie S. Recent Developments in the Use of Intralesional Injections Keloid Treatment Arch Plast Surg 2014; 41(6): 620-29.
2. Lemonas P, Ahmad I, Falvey H. Keloid Scars: The Hidden Burden of Disease. Pigmentary Disorders 2015; 2: 231.
3. Shaheen AA. Risk Factors of Keloids: A Mini Review. Austin J Dermatolog 2017; 4(2): 1074.
4. Ledon JA, Savas J, Franca K, Chacon A, Nouri K. Intralesional treatment for keloids and hypertrophic scars: A review. Dermatol Surg 2013; 39(12): 1745-57.
5. Uzair M, Butt G, Khurshid K, Pal SS. Comparison of intralesional triamcinolone and intralesional verapamil in the treatment of keloids. Our Dermatol Online 2015; 6(3): 280-84.
6. Khan MA, Bashir MM, Khan FA. Intralesional triamcinolone alone and in combination with 5-fluorouracil for the treatment of keloid and hypertrophic scars. J Pak Med Assoc 2014; 64(9): 1003-7.
7. Perdanasari AT, Torresetti M, Grassetti L, Nicoli F, Zhang YX, Dashti T et al. Intralesional injection treatment of hypertrophic scars and keloids: A systematic review regarding outcomes. Burns & Trauma 2015; 3: 14.
8. Manuskiatti W, Fitzpatrick RE. Treatment response of keloidal and hypertrophic sternotomy scars: Comparison among intralesional corticosteroid, 5-fluorouracil, and 585-nm flashlamp-pumped pulsed-dye laser treatments. Arch Dermatol 2002; 138(9): 1149-55.
9. Mustoe TA, Cooter RD, Gold MH, Hobbs FD, Ramelet AA, Shakespeare PG et al. International clinical recommendations on scar management. Plast Reconstr Surg 2002; 110(2): 560-71.
10. Shigeki S, Murakami T, Kiyonaka G, Yata N, Ikuta Y. Transdermal Iontophoretic delivery of triamcinolone acetonide: A preliminary study in hairless rats. Scand J Plast Reconstr Surg Hand Surg 1996; 30(3): 177-81.
11. Mcmurtry CM, Noel M, Taddio A. Interventions for individuals with high levels of needle fear Systematic Review of randomized controlled trials and quasi-randomized controlled trials. Clin J Pain 2015; 31(Suppl-10): S109-23.
12. Armfield JM, Milgrom P. A clinician guide to patients afraid of dental injections and numbness. SAAD Dig 2011; 27: 33-9.
13. Tosa M, Murakami M, Hyakusoku H. Effect of lidocaine tape on pain during intralesional injection of triamcinolone acetonide for the treatment of keloid. J Nippon Med Sch 2009; 76(1): 9-12
14. Mahshidfar B, Shevi SC, Abbasi M, Kasnavieh MH, Rezai M, Zavereh M, et al. Ice reduces needle-stick pain associated with local anesthetic injection. Anesth Pain Med 2016; 6(5): e38293.
15. Park KY, Lee JY, Hong JY. Vibration anesthesia for pain reduction during intralesional steroid injection for keloid treatment. Dermatol Surg 2017; 43(5): 724-26.
16. Breslin M, Lam P, Murrell GAC. Acute effects of cold therapy on knee skin surface temperature: gel pack versus ice bag. BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med 2015; 1(1): e000037.
17. Pozzi A, Gallelli L. Pain management for dentists: the role of ibuprofen. Annali di Stomatologia 2011; 2(3-4 Suppl): 3-24.
18. Farrar JT, Young JP, LaMoreaux L, Werth JL, Poole RM. Clinical importance of changes in chronic pain intensity measured on an 11-point numerical pain rating scale. Pain 2001; 94(2): 149-58.
19. Jensen MP, Karoly P, Braver S. The measurement of clinical pain intensity: A comparison of six methods. Pain 1986; 27(1): 117-26.
20. Muneuchi G, Suzuki S, Onodera M, Ito O, Hata Y, Igawa H. Long-term outcome of intralesional injection of triamcinolone acetonide for the treatment of keloid scars in Asian patients. Scan J Plast Reconstr Hand Surg 2006; 40(2): 111-16.
21. Shafiei N, Salari S, Sharifi M. Comparison between hearing the quran arabic voice and arabic voice with persian meaning on decreasing the anxiety and vital signs stabilization of patients before induction of anesthesia. Quran Med 2011; 1(1-2): 11-5.